## GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ARCHÆOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA

## CENTRAL ARCHÆOLOGICAL LIBRARY

ACCESSION NO. 30970 CALL No. 294.1/ Ahi

D.G.A. 7H





10/10

THE



## TEACHING OF THE VEDAS

WHAT LIGHT DOES IT THROW

ON THE

ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF



MAURICE PHILLIPS

LANGER STREET, DANKAL

30970

The edgen mariner should be bone and even to fast

- An Addition

294·1

LONDON

LONGMANS, GREEN AND CO.

AND NEW YORK IN BAST 19th STREET

1895



# CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL LIBRARY, NEW DELHI. Acc. No... 30970.... Data 9, 4, 57.... Call No. 294.1



#### PREFACE.

This book is intended to answer two questions, viz., (t) what is the fundamental teaching of the Vedas? and (2) what light does that teaching throw on the origin and development of Religion?

There is no book in the English language giving a popular, succinct, and yet an adequate, account of the teaching of the Vedas, the oldest records of the Aryan nations. It is hoped, therefore, that this will supply a want long felt alike by missionaries, ministers, and all interested in the religious history of our fellow-subjects in India.

When I began my missionary career among the Hindus, thirty-three years ago. I felt keenly that, in order to be an efficient worker, a knowledge of the religious and speculative thought of the people was absolutely necessary. But there was no book available that could farnish me with such knowledge. I had to gather it little by little; at first from the works of learned specialists, and afterwards from the study of the Vedas, by the aid of pundits. The results are embodied in this volume.

The study of Comparative Religion, which has been popular on the Continent for some years, is now attracting attention in England. It is important, therefore, to point out the bearing of Vedic teaching on the profoundly interesting subject of the Origin and Development of Religion in general. I have endeavoured to do so in this volume: but should my conclusions in that respect be deemed erroneous by some, the value of the book, as an exposition of Vedic doctrines, will not be diminished in the least. And should it stimulate others, who possess learning and leisure, to study the subject more thoroughly, and expose what may be deemed untenable, none will rejoice more than myself.

I must caution the general reader against concluding that the doctrines of the Vedas, as shown in this book, constitute what is known as Hinduism, or the religion of India to-day. Hinduism is a mixture of corrupt Vedic doctrines and pre-Aryan cults. Its authoritative guides are the Mahābhārata, the Rāmāyana, the Purānas, the Law Books and the Philosophical Treatises. But, to understand its constituent elements, a knowledge of Vedic doctrines is indispensable. Should life and health continue. I hope, in a subsequent volume, to treat Hinduism on the same plan as I have treated Vedism, when it will become apparent that it is far more irrational and immoral than the religion of the Aryans in the far off Vedic age.

I have no new theory, either about the literature

of the Vedas, or about the aboriginal home of the Aryans. Hence the first chapter is little more than a compilation,—chiefly from the works of Professor Max Müller, whose opinions I accept in preference to those of others.

MAURICE PHILLIPS.

28 Albert Road, Lundon, N., 121 Nov., 1894.





### CONTENTS.

#### CHAPTER L.

#### THE LITERATURE OF THE VEDAS.

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	PAGE
(r) The Sanhitas; or, the Collections of the Vedas	1
(a) The Authors of the Vedu	
(j) The Age of the Vedas	14
(4) The Language of the Vedas	
(5) The Vedas Orally Transmitted	41
(0) The General Character of Vedic Lorerature	
The same and the s	
CORP. A WARRING TO	
CHAPTER II.	
THE THROLOGY OF THE VEDAS.	
(i) The Sumber of the Gods	25
(a) The Nature of the Gods	Jus.
(5) The Origin of the Vedic Concept God	Bis
Ol Mariana Company	-
CHAPTER III.	
THE COSMOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.	
(i) Vedic Connology not use Connected Narrative	XXX
(a) Creation the Work of an Intelligent Being	113
(j) Creation aut of Nothing	114
(4) Creation from Pre-existing Martes	122
(5) Creation a Phenomenal Enumation from the Deity .	132
(2) Citarion a Lineadifferent symmetric room the party :	-0-

#### CHAPTER IV.

#### THE ANTHROPOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.

121	The Origin	and	Dign	Cy c	f Ma	m						rgi		
(4)	The Consc	iconsti	esp of	Sin	1			T.	9		-	130		
(3)	Personal I	mmor	tality	0	61	-61			-		16	152		
(4)	The Origin	and	Grow	th o	f. Car	ste	-		4	-		171		
									-	931				
	CHAPTER V.													
THE SOTERIOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.														
(1)	Prayer and	Pinis	ec S	e	el	r				r	r	(8)		
(a)	Secrifice	4	,-				57					Type		
(3)	The Sacrific	CEED		4		a		4	4		à	ille		
10	The Origin	of S	wrifice			9			- 1		-6	217		
	Meditation													
	Retimpert													
IMP	and the second		-											

#### CHAPTER L.

#### THE LITERATURE OF THE VEDAS.

"Non Christian Ribles are all developments in the wrong direction. They all begin with some flashes of true light and and in darkness."—Sig Montan Williams.

#### 3 1 The Sanhihis; or the Collections of the Veder.

The Hindus divide their literature into two classes:

(1) Sruti, "what they have heard with their cars," or Revelation, and (2) Smriti, "what their fathers transmitted to them," or Tradition. The former includes the Vedas, and the latter all other broks based on the authority of the Vedas. This distinction between

Sourch comprehends all poir-Vedic hterature under four heads: 1. The six Vedangas, viz.: 1) Silaha, the science of pronunciation; (2) Chaodas, metre: (1) Vyškarana, grammar; (4) Nirukia, explanation of Vedic words: (5) Jystisha, astronomy; and (6) Kalpa, ceremonal, including Scauts-Surras, rules for applying the Mantras and Brahmanas to Vedic sacrifices; Grya-Sútras, rules relating to domestic rights; Sämnyä-Chārika-Sútras, rules relating to conventional usages: the last two are called Sujarta-Sútras. 2. The Daranas, systems of philosophy 3. The Dharma-Sútras, law books such as Mama.

Revelation and Tradition was made after the ascendancy of the Brahmans as a caste, and prior to the schism of Buddha.

The word Veda in derived from the Sansarit pid or widh, to know, and is the same word as appears in the Greek dos, Latin video, and the English wit This word is used by the Hindus to denote four collections (sankitās) of sacred books, called respectively, the Rig-Veda, the Yajur-Veda, the Sama-Veda, and the Atharva-Veda; of these the Rig-Veda is by far the most complete and interesting. "This," as Professor Max Maller says, "is the Veda par excellence, containing the real theogony of the Hindus" It is divided (1) into ten mandalas (books), containing 1017 metrical hymna (rinklas), arranged according to their authors and the gods to whom they are addressed; and (2) into eight achtalás (eights) nearly equal in length, each of which is subdivided into as many addrdvas (lectures), and each of these again into about thirty-three pargur (sections), usually containing five verses.

The Yajur-Veda consists principally of prayers and invocations applicable to the consecration of the utensils and materials of sacrificial worship. It has about half the number of hymns found in the Rig-Veda, but its contents are not entirely taken from the Rig, and it often combines prose with poetry. It is divided into two

Yājnavalkya, and others, which are supposed to have grown out of the Smarta-Sūtras 4. The Itihāsaa, viz.: the two epic poems, the Mahābarata and Rāmāyana; the eighteen Purānas, or ancient forendary, lore; and the Tantras.

parts: the white (cuklo) and the black (krishmo); the former is attributed to the sage Yājnavalkya and the latter to Tittiri.

The Sama Veda, which is only about half the size of the Yajur, is a collection of separate texts, taken almost entirely from the Rig, to be chanted at particular parts of the sacrifice. "In the Rig-Veda we find the entire hymna, In the first part of the Sama-Veda we find only isolated verses of those hymns, dialocated from their natural connected and of greater length." Hence Max Muller says, "These two Vedas, the Yajur-Veda and the Sama-Veda, were, in truth, what they are called in the Kaushitaki-brāhmana, the attendants of the Rig-Veda"."

The hymns of the Atharva-Veda are nearly as numerous as those of the Rig; but, with the exception of a few of the later hymns of the Rig, it has little in common with the other three Veslas, which are used for performing the prescribed sacrifices; whereas the Atharva teaches chiefly how to appease, to bless, to curse, and to rectify what has been wrongly done in the act of sacrifice. A sixth part of its contents is in proce, and about one sixth of its hymns are found in the Rig. Its language and style indicate a later age: " and it is not mentioned by many

<sup>!</sup> Muit's hans, Tasts, pt. 11., p. 203.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Hist. Aur. Soms. Lilly p. 437.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Professor Whitney remarks, "The greater portion of them (the hymna) are plainly shown, both by their language and internal character, to be of much later date than the

ancient writers in connection with the other three.' It was probably employed at first in the ritial of a different worship.

Each Veda is divided into Mantras and Brahmanas. The Mantras are a collection of hymns in which the praises of the gods are sung and their blessings invoked. The Brahmanas are treatises written in prose for the use of the Brahmanas, and contain both the liturgical institutes, in which the ceremonial application of the hymns is prescribed, and the Aranyakas and Upanishads, or the

general contents of the other historic Veda (the Rig), and even than its tenth book, with which they yet stand nearly connected in import and origin. The condition of the text also in those passages found likewise in the Rig, points as distinctly to a more recent period as that of their collection. (Mair's Saus Fests, pt. II., p. 201).

the is not mentioned in the ninth serve of the Parasha-Sakta (R.V., a., 90); neither is it mentioned in the following passages in the Khandogya-Upanishad: "Pragapari brooded for moditated upon) the worlds; and from them, so brouded, he dress farth their essences; Fire from the earth, Air from the atmosphere, the Sun from the sky. He broaded on these three delties, and from them, so brooded, he drew torth their essences: Rig-texts from Pire, Vajush-texts from Air, and Samatexts from the Sun. He brooded on this triple science, and from it, so broaded, he drew forth its easences; the particle Bhah from the Rig-texts; Bhurah from the Vajush-texts; Swar from the Sama-texts. Manu, t. 23, repeats the account given in the Khandogya-Upanishad, omitting the fourth Veda (Muir's Naus. Taste, pt. ii., pt. 200). It is, however, mentioned as a Veda in another passage of the same Upanishud, and also to the Satapatha Branmans " [Max Müller, Hat, Anc. Some Lift, pp. tax and atl

theological disquisitions, in which the spiritual aspirations gradually developed in the minds of the more devout of the Indian sages, find expression. It is evident, therefore, that the hymns are the original and the most essential portions of the Vedas; that the Brahmanas rose out of the hymns, and are subservient to their employment for the purpose of worship; while the Upanishads give expression to speculative ideas of a spiritual and mystical character, which, though discernible in some of the hymns and in the older Brahmanas, are much further developed and systematised in these later treatises.

#### \$ 2. The Authors of the Vedus.

It has been the prevalent belief in India for centuries that the Vedas came not from man, but from God. And though the Hymna are ascribed to various Rishis, or saints, whose names they bear, yet the Hindus have maintained for ages, and continue to maintain, that the Rishin were only "Seers," who intuitively saw them, or vehicles through which they were communicated by divine power. Hence many conflicting theories of inspiration have been propounded, and many contradictory schemes for proving the divine origin of the Vedas have been set forth. According to the Satapatha-Brahmana, and the Khandogya-Upanishad, Prajapati by brooding over the three elements, fire, air and the sun, produced from them respectively, the Rig., Vaine, and Sama-Vedus. The same origin is ascribed to them by the lawgiver Manu, who doubtless horrowed the idea from the Brahmanas. He says that Brahma,

"for the performance of sacrifice, milked out from fire, from air, and from the sun, the triple eternal Veda, distinguished as Rig, Vajur, and Sama". In the Vishnu and Bhagavata-Puranas, we are told that the Vedan were created by the four-faced Brahma from his several mouths; while in the Mahahharata their origin is ascribed to the goddess of wisdom, Sarasvati, who is denominated the "mother of the Vedax". The Brihad-arunyaka-Upanishad describes them as the breath of Brahma; and the Hari-Vamsa declares that they were produced from the Gayatri, the holiest verse in the Vedas According to the minth verse of the Purusha-Sükta of the Rig-Veda, the first three Vedas were derived from the mystical victim Purusha. "From that universal sacrifice were produced the hymna called Rig, and Saman, the metres and the Yajush." And according to the Athurva-Veda both the Rig and the Yajush sprang from time. Most of the philosophers argue with much ingenuity in favour of the superhuman origin of the Vedas; while a few go so far as to deny to them any origin, and atrenuously maintain. that they have always existed.

These conflicting accounts of the origin of the Vedas clearly show that the belief in a Book-Revelation is deeply rooted in the religious consciousness of the Hindus-Strange to say, however, there is but little in the hymns themselves to warrant such a belief. "The Rishis," as an ancient Hindu author remarks, "desiring various objects, hastened to the gods with metrical prayers. They represent themselves and their forefathers — for they distinguish between ancient and modern Rishis

and between old and new hymna-as the "makers," "fabricators" and "generators" of the hymns. "These your ancient exploits, O Asvins, our fathers have declared | Let us who are strong in bold men, making a hymn for you. O bountiful gods! atter our offering of praise."1 "Seeking heaven, the Kusikas have made a bynun to thee, O Indra !" "Thus have we wante a prayer for Indra, the productive, the vigorous, as the Bhrigus made a car " \* . An acceptable and honorific hymn has been uttered to Indra by Brihaduktha, maker of prayers," " Thus, O. hero, bath Gritsamada, desiring succour, justioned for thee a hymn, as men make roads."" "To this great hero, vigorous, energetic, the adorable, unshaken thunderer, I have with my mouth fabricated copious and pleasing prayers, which had never before existed." " Agni, do thou thrive through this our prayer, which we make according to our ability, according to our knowledge; do thou, therefore, lead us to opulence, and endow us with right understanding, securing food."1 "This hymn, Asvins, we have made for you; we have jabriated it as the Bhrigus constructed a car; we have decorated it as a bride for her husband, continuing the series of our praises like an unbroken line of descendants." " Indra, the wise Rishin, both ancient and modern, have generated prayers." "Ayasya, friend of all men, celebrating

Indra, hath generated the fourth song of praise." 
"From the sacred ceremony I send forth a prayer issuing from my mind." 
"

But though the ancient Rishis know nothing of the artificial theories of inspiration which have been elaborated in later times, and though they generally represent themselves or their ancestors as the nothers of the bymns, yet it must not be overlooked that they were not altogether unconscious of higher influences. Some of them seem to have had a vague idea that they were inspired by the gods, and hence speak of their prayers as "droine utterances," "hymna generated by the gods". One speaks of his prayer as "godgiven" (devalation)." Another says, "From no other but you (O Indra and Agni!) do I derive intelligence"; and another, "O Indra! gladden me; decree life for me; sharpen my intellect, like the edge of an iron instrument, Whatever I, longing for thee, now atter, do thou accept; give me divine protection." Genama prays, "Approach and listen to our prayers, Maghavan; since thou hast inspired us with true speech, thou art solicited with it"." And Vak, the goddess of speech, says, "By sacrifice they followed the path of Vak; they found her residing in the Rishis". "Indra and Varuna, I have seen through devotion, that which ye formerly gave to the Rishis, wisdom, understanding of speech, sacred lore,

<sup>1</sup> R. V. a. 57, 1.

<sup>1</sup> High, 111, 37, 4. 15, 37. 3.

That, in to all T.

<sup>3</sup> lbid., vill., 13, 26.

<sup>&</sup>quot;drida via 47, 10

<sup>&</sup>quot; Hild of No. 71. 3.

and all the places which the sages created when performing sacrifice" "May Varuna grant me wisdom, may Agni and Prajapati confer on me sapience: may Indra and Vaya venichsafe me knowledge; may providence give me understanding; be this oblation happily offered." "We meditate that excellent glory of the divine Savitri, or sun; may he stimulate our understanding." This last in the celebrated Gayatri, which for more than 3000 years has been the daily prayer of every Brahman, and is still repeated by millions of pious worshippers.

It is evident from these passages that the ancient Rishis were conscious of higher influences, of divine help: and this is not to be wondered at; for it is only a manifestation of that deep felt dependence on some superior power, or powers, which man everywhete has experienced more or less, and probably in no part of the world more than in India. This, however, is very different from the theories of inspiration now held by Hindus respecting the utterances of the Rishis, or by Christians respecting the subject-matter of the Bible. This was only an excitement, or a conscious exhibits and, similar to that experienced by the poets of Greece and

Valakhlya of the Rig-Veda

Vajur-Veda, as quoted by Calebranke, Minellaneaux Ecopy, p. 32

Tat Savitor varenyam hhargho devasya dhimahi dhiyo yo nah pracodayat (R.V., Hi., for, 10)

Rome when invoking the Muses to assist them in the composition of their songs; but it was probably the germ from which the present doctrine of inspiration grew.

The authors of the Vedas were from the Kshatriya or kingly, as well as from the Brahman or priestly, class. Viavāmītra, one of the seven great Rishis, and the author of the Gāyatri; Jamadagni, the reputed father of the Avatara (incarnation) Parasurāma; Devāpi, Madhuchchandās, Trasadasya, Māndhātar, Sibi, Vasumanas, Pratardana, and others, were of the kingly class. A few of the hymns of the Rig-Veda are ascribed even to females, real or imaginary, as Sraddhā, the daughter of Kāma; and Vāk, the daughter of Ambhrina. Visvavārā, a daughter of Atri, not only composed the twenty-eighth hymn of the fifth Mandala of the Rig-Veda, but discharged the duties of a priest, worshipping the gods at dawn with peaises and oblations.

Rishis and priests married the daughters of kings; such

Έσπετε νῶν μοι, Μοῦσια ὑλύματα δωματ ἔχοισται,
 Υμείς γὰρ δεαί έστε πάματε τε ἴστε τε παστά.
 Ημείς δε ελέσε οδον ἄκούσμεν ἀνδέ τε ἄμειο.

Tell to me now, O ye Muses, who dwell in Olympian mansions. Ye who are gotdesses present, and knowing all things which befall men.

Things of which we may hear rumours, but never get accurate innwiedge" (Iliad, ii., 484).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Musa milu causas memora, etc. (Ala, i., 11).

According to Wilson's Vishus Parana, p. 262, the seven great Rishis were. Vanisha, Kasyapa, Atra Jamadagra, Gautama, Visvamitra and Bharagvaja.

as Chyavana who married Sukunya; the daughter of Saryata; and Jamadagni who married Rennka, the daughter of Renu. The great Rishi Kakahivan married the ten daughters of Raja Svanaya, the son of Bharayavya, with whom he had a large dowry which he thus celebrates: "From which generous prince soliciting (my acceptance), I, Kakshivan, unhesitatingly accepted one hundred necklets, one hundred vigorous steeds, and one thousand buils, whereby he has spread his imperiabable fame through heaven. Ten charjots, drawn by bay steeds and carrying my wives, stood near me, given by Syanaya; and sixty thomsand cows followed. Forty hay horses, harnessed to the chariots, lead the procession in front of one thousand followers."1 The hard and fast lines of caste were unknown in those days, and women occupied a very much higher position than they do at present.

The Rishis, like the ancient Druids, were poets and priests. They stood between the people and the gods. They appeared the latter with offerings, and encouraged the former with songs in all conflicts with their enemies. They were in no sense ascetics, or Sanyasis, living a peculiarly holy life in the jumples, apart from wife, children, and the good things of this life, as is now generally supposed by Hindus. But they were men the burden of whose songs was temporal blessings—health, long life, offspring, riches, cattle, food, rain and victory. One Rishi acknowledges the substantial gift of one hundred steeds, and sixty thousand berds of pure cattle, made to

<sup>1</sup> R. V. L. 120, 2, 3, 4

him by a Raja, and prays the "immortal deity" that his wealth may be permanent.1 Another prays, "Be willing to grant as abundant food with cattle, (to grant us) protection, progeny and vigour. May that herd of swift horses which formerly shone among the people of Nahusha (be granted), Indra, to us. 18 In the same strain Vatsa, the son of Kanva, addresses the Asvins, "Delighters of many, abounding in wealth, bestowers of riches, Asvins, sustainers of all, approve of this mine adoration. Grant us, Asvins, all riches that may not bring us shame; make us the begetters of progeny in due season; subject us not to reproach. Give, Nāsatyas, food of many kinds drupping with butter to him, the Rishi Vatsa, who has magnified you both with hymns. Give. Asvins, invigorating food dripping with butter to him who praises you, the lords of liberality, to obtain happiness, who desires affluence. Confounders of the malignant, partakers of many (oblations), come to this our adoration, render us prosperous, O heroes, give these good things of earth to our desires." Another Rish prays, "Grant us abundant treasures, Grant the opulence which many crave, store of heroes, progeny, and high renown. Agni, most youthful of the gods, send evermore the gift of wealth." And another boastfully says, " Earning two hundred cows and two cars with mares, the gift of Sudas, grandson of Devayat, and son of Pijavana, I walk about as a priest does round a

R.V., viil. 4, 10, 20

<sup>\*</sup> Blik, vill., 0, 23, 24.

<sup>\*</sup> Hid., vill., 8, 12, 17.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vill., 44, 27, 28.

house offering praises. The four robust, richly caparisoned, brown horses of Sudas, the son of Pijayana, standing on the earth, carry me, son to son, onward to renown in perpetuity." I Rishi Sobhari extols the liberality of the Raja Trasadasyu, who had given him fifty brides; and Syava, the lord of kine, who had given him a present of seventy-three cows. And Rishi Nodhas prays, "Grant us, O Maruts, durable riches attended by posterity, and -mortifying to our enemies (riches) reckoned by hundreds and thousands, and ever increasing. May they who have acquired wealth by pious acts come bither, quickly; in the morning." In R.V., iv., 32, 17, 21, the worshipper asks Indra to give him a thousand yoked horses, a thousand jars of soma, hundreds of thousands of cows; acknowledges that he had received ten golden jars, and urges the god not to be sparing but to bestow abundantly in conformity with his character for liberality.

The Rishis were on most familiar terms with the gods, and occasionally administered a gentle rebuke to them for their apparent niggardliness to their votaries. One says, "If, Indra, I were as thou art, sole lord over wealth, then should my eulogist be possessed of cattle. Lord of might, I would give to that intelligent worshipper that which I should wish to give if I were the possessor of cattle." And another says, "If, Agni, thou wert a mortal and I an immortal, I should not abandon thee to

<sup>1</sup> R. V., vii., 18, 22, 23, Thid., viii., 19, 20, 7, 1 lbid., 1, 04, 13,

malediction or to wretchedness; my worshipper should not be misetable or distressed.". "If I were thou, and thou wert I, then thy wishes should be fulfilled." !

In the lifty-lifth hymn of the seventh Mandala of the Rig-Veda, the holy sage Vasishtha is represented as having entered the house of Varuna by night, in order to steal grain to appease his hunger after a fast of three days, and, when assailed by the watch-dog, as having ottered a prayer or incantation to make it sleep, and so having given a direct encouragement to theft. And Rishi Ajigarta, the son of Suyavasa, for one hundred cows, sold his son Sunasepha to be sacrificed.

Max Müller says, "In the Rig-Veda we find hymna which the Brahmans themselves allow to be the compositions of the son of a slave. Kavasha Ailūsha is the author of several hymna in the tenth book of the Rig-Veda; yet this same Kavasha was expelled from the sacrifice as an impostor and as the son of a slave (dayah-putra), and he was re-admitted only because the gods had shown him special favour. This is acknowledged by the Brähmanas of the Aitareyins and Kaushitakins, and in the Mahāhhārata also Kavasha is called a Nishāda, or out-caste."

#### 3 The Age of the Vedis.

The age of the Vedas can only be approximately ascertained. The Hindus have no history and no

<sup>1</sup> R. V., vin., 19, 25; viii., 44, 1

Max Müller's Hist. Ast. Sam. Lik. pp. 58. a.

authentic chronology. Life to them has always been a dream; an illusion "Their struggles were struggles of thought; their past the problem of creation; their future the problem of existence. The present alone, which is the real and living solution of the problems of the past and future, seems never to have attracted their thoughts or to have called out their energies." Hence they have no political history like the Egyptians, the Jews, the Babylonians, Assyrians, Persians, Greeks, and Romans; and no certain date in the wide range of their literature, except what is imported from Greek history. We learn from Greek writers that in the time of Alexander the Great and his successors there was a powerful king of the Prasii, Sandracottus or Sandrocyptus by name, whose capital was Palibothra, on the confluence of the Ganges. and the Erannahous, or Sone, which is probably the same as the modern Patna. He contracted an alliance with Seleucus Nicator, and Megasthenes visited his court several times in the capacity of an ambasaador. This Sandracottus of the Greeks is identified with the Hindu king Chandragupta, the usurper of the throne of the Nandas, and the founder of the Maurya dynasty at Pataliputra. He is supposed to have reigned from n.c. 315 to 291 He was the grandfather of Asoku, under whose authority Buddhism became the State religion of India, in the middle of the third century.

Under the preceding dynasty, that of the Nandas, Brahmanical traditions place a number of distinguished scholars, whose treatises on the Vedas are still extant; such as, Saunaka, Asvalāyana, Kātyāyana, Pānini, and

Katyayana is the author of two Anukramanis, or general indexes-one to the Rig-Veda, and the other to the white Yajur-Veda. He is also the author of certain works called "Sutras", "Sutra" means "string," and all works written in this style are nothing but strings of short sentences containing the essence of Brahmanical lore expressed in the most concise form possible, and thus forming a great contrast to the tedious prolixity of the Brahmanas. The Sütra style of composition was so universally prevalent in India at one time as to mark a definite literary era, called the "Sūtra period". According to Hindu traditions Katyayana was contemporary with King Nanda and his successor, Yogananda, at Pataliputra, immediately before the usurpation of Chandragupta. He was preceded by Asvalayana and his teacher Saunaka, whose works he studied. He also corrected and completed the grammar of Panini Max Muller, in his History of Aucient Sanscrit Literature, says, " If we place Katyayana in the second half of the fourth century s.c.; Asvaiāyana, the predecessor of Kātyāyana, about 350; and Saunaka, the teacher of Asvalayana, about 400; and if then, considering the writers of Sutras anterior to Saunaka and posterior to Katyayana, we extend the fimits of the Sūtra period of literature from 600 to 200 n.c., we are still able to say that there is no fact in history or literature that would interfere with such an arrangement \*1 All dates, however, previous to Chandragupta are merely hypothetical.

Herr duc. Some Lat., pp. 244. 3.

The Brahmanas are intermediate between the Sütras and the Mantras. As the Sütras presuppose the Brahmanas, so the Brahmanas presuppose the Mantras. There are old and new Brahmanas, and there are long lists in the Brahmanas of teachers, who handed down old Brahmanas, or who composed new ones. The interval between the composition of the latest Brahmana and the commencement of the Sütra period was so great as to enable the Brahmanas to raise the Brahmanas to the dignity of stuti, or Revelation, and so to place them on the same footing as the Mantras. When these facts are considered, it is concluded that 200 years, at least, were necessary for the production of the Brahmanas, or from about 800 to 600 BC.

But before a single line of the Brilmanas could have been composed, the four collections of hymns, as we now possess them, must have been completed, and the four classes of priests, for whose goldance the Brilmanas were composed, must have been formed. That a long time must have elapsed between the completion of the Brilmanas, is evident from the fact that the authors of the Brilmanas often fail to understand the meaning of the hymns. For example, in the spontaneous poetry of the Rishis, the sun is called the "golden handed" (himpoundaidah). but the authors of the Brilmanas, unable to understand the poetical meaning of this epithet, to explain it have invented a story that the

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., to 35, 10.

sun, having lost one hand, received a golden one. Again, in a beautiful hymn of the Rig-Veda, whose refrain is "Who is the God to whom we shall offer our sacrifice?" (Kasmaudresiva havisha vidhema), the authors of the Brahmanas, unable to enter into the spirit of the hymn and the yearning of the poet to know the true God, thought that the interrogative pronoun " ka," or " who," must be the God addressed, and that wherever the interrogative " ka" occurs, it is the same as Prajapati, the "lord of creatures". In like manner the name Indra, the Jupiter Pluvius of India, is fancifully derived from idamsten, "it seeing". Then there are ancient and modern hymns, hymns of the fathers, and hymns of the sons. Max Maller calls the former Chhandas, and the latter Mantras, and assigns a period of two hundred years to each

The age of the Vedic writings according to Muller from whose works most of the particulars in this section have been taken—will then be as follows:—

Professors Wilson, Whitney, and M. Barth regard Muller's limits for the "Mantra" and "Chhandas" periods as too narrow: and Dr. Haug, a high authority, considers the Vedic period to extend from a.c. 1200 to a.c. 2000, and the very oldest hymna (Muller's Chhandas) to have been composed a.c. 1400.

#### 1 4 The Language of the Vedas.

The Vedas were composed in the Sanscrit language, which means the sacred or the polished tongue. Sanscrit is closely connected in grammar and vocabulary with the Greek, Latin, Teutonic, Celtic, Sclavonic, and Zend. Hence all are grouped together by comparative philologists under one class, called the "Aryan," or "Indo-European". These seven languages are sisters, holding the same relation to one another as French, Spanish, and Italian; and they are related to some primitive lost tongue. as these Romance languages are to the Latin. A comparison of the Aryan languages has placed it beyond a doubt that the ancestors of the Greeks, Italians, Germans, Celta, Sclavoniana, Persiana, and Hindus were at one time living together as one family within the same precincts, separate from the ancestors of the Semitic and Toranian races; that they emigrated at different times and in different directions-the first five towards the North west, and the last two towards the South and the East-from a region in central Asia of which Bactriana was probably the centre; that they were originally a pastoral race; and that they gradually changed their habits as they settled down in Europe. Persia and Hindestan.

The terms for God, for father, mother, son daughter, brother, sister, hearts and tears, are identical in these languages. This could not have been accidental, for they were appellatives before they were proper names. The name for God is derived from a root dyn, to shine,

and means the "shining one"; the term for father is from M, to protect, and means the protector of his family; mother is from san, to make or fashion; daughter is derived from a root dult, to milk, and means "the little milkmaid of the family": the original meaning of brother is "he who carries," or, "he who assists"; and of sister, "she who pleases," or "consoles". Before the Aryans parted they had names for the family relationships which are expressed in English by the addition of "in-law," as father-in-law, mother-in-law, som in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, and sister-in-law; thus showing a great advance in civilisation. They had words for house, door, and windows, which show that they were not nomads, but lived in fixed abodes. The word for boat or ship is the same in all these languages; but the words for masts, sails, yards, are different; thus showing that the Aryans before their separation went only in boats with oars on the overs of their land, the Oxus and Jaxartes, and did not sail anywhere on the ocean. They had hatchets, ploughs, and mills for grinding corn They cultivated barley, and perhaps other cereals. They had names for cooking and baking; and they made a distinction between raw flesh and cooked meat. The names for clothes and sewing are the same among all the Aryan nations; and hence it is evident that they were acquainted with the art of weaving and sewing. They were also acquainted with ailver, gold, copper, and tin. They had the same names for tree, cattle, ox, horse, dog, sheep, mouse, wolf, serpents, etc. Max Muller says, "It is hardly possible to look at the evidence hitherto collected . . . without feeling that these

words are the fragments of a real language once spoken by a united race at a time which the historian has till lately hardly ventured to realise. Yet we have in our hands the relics of that distant time; we are using the same words which were used by the fathers of the Arvan race, changed only by phonetic influences; may, we are as near to them in thought and speech as the French and Italians are to the ancient people of Rome. If any more proof was wanted as to the reality of that period which must have preceded the dispersion of the Aryan race, we might appeal to the Aryan numerals as irrefragable evidence of that long-continued intellectual life which characterises that period. Here is a decimal system of numeration, in itself one of the most marvellous achievements of the human mind, based on an abstract conception of quantity, regulated by a spirit of philosophical classification, and yet conceived, matured, and finished before the soil of Europe was trodden by Greek, Roman, Slave, or Teuton,"

#### 1 5. The Vedas strates transmitted.

When the four collections of the Vedas were arranged by Vedavyasa, their mythical compiler, when the Brahmanas were composed, and probably for three hundred or four hundred years afterwards, writing was unknown in India. For had it been known, it is pretty certain that some mention of it would have been made in Vedic literature. When reading the

Chips from a German Workshop, vol. il., pp. 50, 51.

Old Testament we often meet with words denoting writing, reading, pen and book. In Exodus we find that Moses, having received the ten commandments, "went down from the Mount, and the two tables of testimony were in his hand: the tables were written on both their sides; on the one side and on the other were they written. And the tables were the work of God, and the writing was the writing of God." Again, "And he took the book of the covenant, and read it in the audience of the people"." The Psalmist says, "Then said I, Lo, I come; in the volume of the book it to written of me"." "My tongue is the pen of a ready writer." And Job exclaims, "Oh that my words were now written! Oh that they were printed in a book! that they were graven with an iron pen and lead in the rock for ever." 4 Such words as these prove beyond a doubt that the prophets of the Old Testament, and the nations among whom they prophesied, were acquainted with the art of writing. We look in vain, however, in the Vedas, Brahmanas, and even in the Surras, for words denoting writing, reading, pen and book. For reading we have address or adhirt, to go over or to repeat; for chapters, adhyayas, lectures; and for books we have charanas, or families in whose memory books were preserved and orally transmitted. It is evident, therefore, that all the literature of the Vedas was handed down orally, like the Homeric poems. Every Brahman

<sup>\*</sup> Ha, zent, 15, 10. \* Ilid., xxiv., 7. \* Ps., xk., 7. \* Job, xix., 23, 24.

had to learn the Vedas by heart during the twelve or more years of his student life. The Guru, or teacher, pronounced a group of words, and the pupils repeated them after him. Cæsar says the same of the Druits. And long after writing was introduced the Brahmans were strictly forbidden to write or read the Vedas. In the Mahābhārata it is written, "Those who sell the Vedas, and even those who write them, those also who defile them, they shall go to bell".

Writing was probably known in India before the conquest of Alexander the Great. We find in the Lalitavistara, a book containing the life of Buddha, which was translated into Chinese, 76 A.D., that the boy Buddha knew how to write, and is even represented as teaching his Gura, Visvāmitra, the names of sixty-four Sanscrit letters, just as Jesus is represented in the "gospel of the infancy" as explaining to His teacher the meaning of the Hebrew alphabet. We have, however, the more reliable testimony of inscriptions that writing was known in India during the early period of Buddhism. The inscriptions of Asoka (n.c. 250) on the rocks of Kapurdigiri near Peshawar, Dhouli in Orissa, Girnar in Gujerat, and other places, are proof positive of this. They are written in two different characters, and call themselves life, a writing, and Dharmalife, sacred writing The inscriptions of Kapurdigiri are written from right to left. and the letters are evidently of Semitic origin, most closely connected with the Aramaic branch of the old Semitic or Physician alphabet. The characters of the other inscriptions, though written from left to right, show

traces of having been once written in the contrary direction. This, and the imperfect system of marking the vowels, point to a Semitic origin; but whether the writing was introduced from Phaenicia by Phaenician traders, or from an Aramaic character used in Persia or Babylon, is not yet settled. Dr. Burnell, judging from a docket attached to one of the Babyloman tablets in the British Museum, concluded that it was introduced from the latter. However, it is certain that there is no trace of the development in India of an original independent system of writing.

It is well known that the Phomicians had commercial intercourse with India from the earliest times. Solomon's ships of Tarshish, manned by Phomician scamen, sailed to the south and west of India, and imported thence peacocks, upes, and ivery, which are called in Hebrew takhisim, haph im, shenhabbsim, horns of teeth. Tokei is the old Tamil word for a peacock, and is still used for a peacock's tail; hoph is a word without etymology in the Semitic languages, but is nearly identical in sound with the Sanscrit name for upe, hapi. The word in this form has been found also in Egyptian hieroglyphics of the seventeenth century u.c.; thus showing, not only the early occupation of India by the Aryans, but their intimate commercial intercourse with the West long before

<sup>1</sup> doubers for June 17, 1882.

Or. Burnell, E. S. I. Paleography, chap, i., and Max Müller's Stream of Language, 1st series, pp. 205, c.

<sup>6</sup> r Kings, 24 22.

the time of Solomon.\(^1\) Habbin is without a derivation in Hebrew, but it may be a corruption of the Sanscrit name for an elephant, \(^1\) tha, preceded by the Semitic article \(^1\) Aa. This supposition, however, is not free from difficulties.

The Chaldean traders made their way to India at a very early period, probably more than 3000 n.c. Professor Sayce says in his Hibbert Lectures, "Apart from the existence of teak in the ruins of Mugheir, an ancient Babylonian list of clothing mentions sindhu, or 'muslin': the sadis of the Old Testament, the owner of the Greeks. That gurden is merely 'the Indian' cloth has long been recognised; and the fact that it begins with a sibilant and not with a yowel, like our 'Indian,' proves that it must have come to the West by sea and not by land, where the original a would have become it in Persian mouths - supposing, of course, that Iranian tribes were already settled to the east of Babylon. That sindhu is really the same word as guider is shown by its Accadian equivalent, which is expressed by ideographs signifying literally 'vegetable cloth'."

The Persians conquered a part of the North-west of India under Darius, a.c. 500; and in the inscriptions at Persepolis and Nakshi-rus-tram, India occurs as the twenty-first and thirteenth province, respectively, of that monarch's empire. According to Herodotus, India was the twentieth satrapy, and it paid as tribute three hundred and sixty talents of gold. It is evident, therefore, from

Weber's Hiss. of Indian Lit., p. 3.

See Dr. Burnell, E. S. I. P., p. 3.

the commercial intercourse of the Phænicians, and the political intercourse of the Peraians, whose alphabet is traceable to a Semitic origin, that the Hindu alphabet might have been introduced from the same source.

The Greek writers, Nearchus (n.c. 325) and Megasthenes (n.c. 2021, both declare that the Hindus had no laws and no books; but the former says that they wrote on a sort of cotton cloth or paper, and the latter that they had milestones at a distance of ten stadia from one another, indicating the resting-places and distances. Max Maller concludes, therefore, that "writing was known to the Hindus before Alexander's conquest, but that they had not then begun to use it for literary purposes—the Brahmans not having got over their prejudice against the use of letters as the medium of preserving and communicating their sacred books". And Dr. Burnell says, "Writing was, certainly, little used in India before n.c. 250.".

# 6. The General Character of Vedic Literature.

The general style and character of Vedic literature should not be judged from the quotations and doctrines which appear in this book. It has been my endeavour to clear away the rubbish, and bring to light the precious gems of truth which lay embedded among much that is pustile and unmeaning. Though the Mantra portions of the Vedas consist of hymns or metrical verses, it is painfully obvious, to any one reading them, either in the original or in translations, that they have but very little poetry, understanding by that word lafty conceptions

and striking thoughts expressed in chaste, measured language. The hymna abound in tedious repetitions and puerile ideas, which form a great contrast to the easy flow and elevated conceptions of the sacred poetry of the Hebrews. Their only charm lies in the small rays of light which they throw on the most ancient thoughts, habits, and conflicts of the Hindu Aryans. The Brahmana portions are more disappointing still. I cannot describe them better than in the words of Professor Max Muller; "The general character of these works (Brahmanas) is marked by shallow and insipid grandiloquence, by priestly conceits, and antiquarian pedantry" Again, "These works deserve to be studied as the physician studies the twaddle of idiots and the ravings of madmen. They will disclose to a thoughtful eye the ruins of faded grandeur; the memories of noble aspirations. But let os only try to translate these works into our own language, and we shall feel astonished that human language and human thought should ever have been used for such purposes." Again, he says respecting the Upanishads-which undoubtedly form the best portions of the Brahmanas, and which in his Hibbert Lectures he pronounced unrivalled, not only in the literature of India, but in the literature of the world,-"They" (the difficulties of translating thom) "consist in the extraordinary number of passages which seem to us utterly meaningless and irrational, or, at all events; so far-fetched that we can hardly believe that the

Hest, Ant. Sans, Lat., p. 359.

same authors who can express the deepest thoughts on religion and philosophy with clearness, may, with a kind of poetical eloquence, could have uttered in the same breath such other rubhish. Some of the sacrificial technicalities, and their philosophical interpretations, with which the Upanishads abound, may perhaps in time assume a clearer meaning, when we shall have more fully mastered the intricacies of the Vedic ceremonial. But there will always remain in the Upanishads a vast amount of what we can only call meaningless jargon, and for the presence of which in these ancient mines of thought I, for my own part, feel quite unable to account."

The Mantras, the oldest portions of Vedic literature, are by far the most interesting and instructive. The Brahmanas and Upanishads, though later in time, show considerable decline in thought and style. How can this be accounted for on the theory of "Evolution" or "Upward progress"?

<sup>·</sup> Sacral Books of the East, vol. xv., p. 19.

#### CHAPTER IL.

#### THE THEOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.

"All men yearn after the gods."-Horns.

"The world through its windom knew not God," -PAUL

### 1 1. The Number of the Gods.

In some Hymns the number of the gods is given as "Ye gods, who are eleven in heaven, thirty-three who are eleven on earth, and who are eleven dwelling with glory in mid-air, may ye be pleased with this our sacrifice."1 We have probably a reminiscence of this number in the thirty-three Ratus of the Zend-Avesta: " an interesting fact, indicating that before the separation of the Indians from the Iranians, considerable progress had been made in polytheistic notions. While, however, under the influence of Zorouster, a strong reaction early set in against polytheism in Iran, a new impulse was given to it by the gorgeons scenery and diversified climate of India. Hence, in the Rig-Veda, we see the number of the gods gradually and almost imperceptibly increasing. Agni is invoked to bring "the

R.-V. L. 130 11.

Hang's Empys on the Parson, 314 edition.

three and thirty gods with their wives." The Asvins are "associated with all the thrice eleven gods, with the Waters, the Marats, the Bhrigus, and, united with the Dawn and the Sun, drink the Soma". And "all these gods, thrice eleven in number, are in the secret of Soma". In another hymn, "three hundred, three thousand; thirty and nine gods" are said to have "worshipped Agni". In the Atharva-Veds the Gandharvas, or demigods, alone amount to six thousand three hundred and thirty-three. The number of Vedic gods, though large, sinks into insignificance when compared with the total number of Hindu gods, which the traditions of the present age give, viz., three hundred and thirty-three millions.

### 2. The Nuture of the Gods.

The gods are spoken of in the Rig-Veds as the "former" and the latter," the "old" and the "young". The "former" are the gods of Poetry, and the "latter" the gods of Philosophy. The chief characteristics of the "former" are concrete or Physical, and of the "fatter" abstract or Metaphysical. We shall consider them under these designations.

### (t) Physical Gods.

All the gods in this class appeal, more or less, to the senses, and hence are called "semi-tangible" by Prolessor Max Maller in his Hilbert Lectures.

The first of these is the sky in its various aspects and under various names. The sky is undoubtedly the oblest object of worship in the Veda, and one of its oldest names as such is Dyaus; a name identified with the Greek Zess, and the Latin Is in Jupiter. Dyaus is called Piter, Pather; and the compound Dyaushpitar is almost as much one word as Jupiter or Zestpater. Dyaushpitar, Heaven-Pather, or Bright Pather, reminds us both of raise phine à ir rois olympiss. Our Father, who art in heaven "; and of rois marpor vois poirus, "the Father of Lights". The sky is also called Dyaush pita janita: "Dyaush, the Father, the Creator; and the mighty Dyu, the maker of Indra."

Dyaus did not lose its appellative character in the literature of India as Zeus did in the literature of Greece, and hence did not occupy the same position of pre-eminence among the gods of India us that which Zeus occupied among the gods of Greece. Indra, his son, became the Zeus of India, and Dyaus had to bow down before him, for his greatness exceeded the heaven (Dyaus).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From Sanscrit die or dye, to shine, meaning "the bright" or "the shining one".

Matt., vi., q. James, L. 17. R.-V., iv., L. in.

<sup>&</sup>quot; R.-V . iv., 17. 4.

Some traces of the original meaning of Zeus are supposed to be found in such words as Zeis fin Zeus tains; Łóbis (ró Zeus, Anic), fair sky; sub Jose frigide, under the cold sky; and sub drive, under the open sky.

<sup>2</sup> R. V., L. 131, 11 L. 61, 0.

Varuna the Ouranos of the Greeks and the Ahura-Marda of the Persians, is another name for the aky,

"Ouranos," says Max Müller. "in the language of Hesiod is used as a name for the sky; he is made or born that he should be a firm place for the blessed gods". It is said twice that Uranos covers everything (v., 127), and that when he brings the night, he stretched out everywhere embracing the earth. This sounds almost as if the Greek myth had still preserved a recollection of the etymological power of Uranos. For Uranos is the Sanserit Varuna; and derived from a root rar, to cover; Varuna being in the Veda also a name of the firmament, but especially connected with the night and opposed to Mitra, the day" (Chifs, vol. ii., pp. 67, 8).

The grounds for identifying Varsus and Abura-Manda

1. Truces of his original sky nature are clearly visible in the description of the bodily appearance of Ahura-Mazda. Mr. J. Darmesteter says, "The sovereign god of Persia, notwithstanding the character of profound abstruction which he has acquired and which is reflected in his name .Laura-Manda, the omniscient Lord, can himself be recognised as a god of the heavens. The ancient formula of the litames still show that he is luminous and corporeal. They invoke the creator Abert-Masda, resplendent, very great, very heautiful, white, luminous seen from afar; they invoke the entire body of . Thura-Massia, the body of Ahura which is the greatest of bodies; they say that the sun is his eye, and that the sky is the garment embronlered with stars, with which he arrays himself. Like Varuna, like Zens, the lightning is in his hands, this molten brass which he causes to flow on the two worlds ; like them he is the father of the god of lightning."

2. The term Assert, "spirit," which is etymologically the same as the Zend Akare, is often applied to Varuna, not, how-

ever, exclusively.

from var, to cover, meaning the firmament or the encircling canopy of heaven. Dyans is the bright or sunny sky; Varuna is the all-embracing expanse, the abode of the bright sky and the primary source of all things.

The correspondence in inbitance and in name between Varina and Ouranos, and in mostanie between Ahura-Mazda and these two, leads to the conclusion that Varuna was the supreme god of the united Aryans in the primitive home. And by comparing the attributes of Varuna with those of Ahnra-Mazda, and the attributes of both with those of Zeus Jupiter, we arrive at a tolerably correct idea of the conception of God which prevailed among the Indo-Europeans before they separated. We find that they conceived God as the "creator" or "organiser" of the world, the " spycreign Lord," the " amniscient spirit," possessing a moral nature in which justice and mercy

<sup>3.</sup> The Vedic Adityas, of whom Varuns is the chief, are historically connected with the Zend Amesha-Spentas, of whom Asura-Manda to the most distinguished.

<sup>+</sup> The correspondence between the names of the Vedic Mitra and the Zend Million is such as to place their identity beyond a doubt.

<sup>3.</sup> As Various and Mitra are often invoked together in the Vedas, so Absent Mands and Milhra are invoked in the Zend-Avesta.

a And lastly, as Ahura-Mazifa in the Zend-Avesta is opposed by Angro-mainyus, the evil one, so Varuna in the Veda is opposed by Nirrits, the "unrightenus ane," which, according to Sayana, is equivalent to fapadievala. "the drift of ein "...

<sup>&#</sup>x27; R. V., viil., 87, 3: viil., 41, 3.

were prominent. We find also that this abstract spiritual conception was so closely connected with a concrete material conception that the one could not be separated from the other; and hence both found expression in Varina. Varina therefore represents both the material heaven, and the god of heaven.

Now, while it is obvious that the oldest names for the Heaven-God among the ancestors of the Aryan nations were Dyaus and Varana, it is not so obvious under

Ahura-Marda is represented by Zorozster, his prophet, as "the creator of the earthly and spiritual life, the Lord of the whole universe, in whose hands are all the creatures. He is the light and source of light; he is the wisdom and intellect; he is in possession of all good things, spiritual and worldly, such as the good mind, immortality, health, the best truth, devotion and purity, and abundance of every earthly good. All these gifts be grants to the plaus man, who is upright in thoughts, words, and decid. As the ruler of the whole universe, he not only rewards the good, but he is a pumisher of the wicked at the same time. All that is created, good of evil, fortune or minfortune, is his work "(Dr. Haug's Essays, p. 302, 31d edition).

"Architochus sings Zeus father, as the god who rules the heavens, who watches the guilty and unjust actions of men, who administers chastisement to mansters, the god who created heaven and earth." "The old man of Asera knows that Zeus is the father of gods and men; that his eye sees and comprehends all things and reaches all that he wishes." Namikas knows that Zeus was merciful when she addresses the shipwrecked Ulysses. "Zeus himself, the Olympian, distributes happiness to the good and the bad, to every one, as he pleases. And to thee also be probably has sent this, and you ought by all means to bear it."

which name the sky was first worshipped - Dyaus or Varina? The prevalence of the root dis in the name for God in all the Indo-European languages, and especially in the compounds Dyunkpitar, Zoupaler, Jupiter, seems to point to the former; whereas the priority given to Ouranos in the Greek mythology - Zeus being the grandson of Ouranos and the fact that the Iranians, while clinging to Varuna, rejected Dyans, and stigmatised all gods (dreats) derived from it as demons, seem to point to the latter. Can anything be urged in favour of Varuna which may turn the scale? There are three things: (1) The moral elevation of character ascribed to him is far more lofty and divine than that ascribed to any other Vedic god; 7 (a) The ethical consciousness of sin manifested in the hymns addressed to Varuna is far more frequent and intense than that which is found in hymna addressed to Dysus and later gods; (3) In proportion as we come down the stream of time from Varuna, we find the moral character of the goda deteriorating, and the ethical consciousness of ain growing weaker and weaker. These facts seem to turn the scale in favour of Varuna,

Greek Zeus and probably them, Latin Dens, German Ziv, Anglo-Saxon Tin, Lithuanian Diseas, Welsh Durc.

a "If we combine into one the attributes of sovereign power and majesty which we find in the other gods, we shall have the god Varina. In other sections the religion of the Veda is ritualistic, and at times intensely speculative; but with Varina it goes down to the depth of the conscience, and realises the idea of bolingss "(M. Barth's Religious of India, pp. 16, 17).

and warrant the conclusion that he was the oldest historical god of the united Indo-European nations

The primitive Aryans, however, in consequence of the cold climate in which they lived, had looked with profound interest on the brilliant aspect of the sky as the most picasant and adorable, and gave expression to it in Dyaus. Drauskriker, therefore, was a formula fixed in their language before the separation of the Western branches from the Eastern: The Greeks and Latins. occupying a country, the climate of which resembled the one they had left, clong to this aspect of the Heaven God, and made Zens-Jupiter their supreme delty. The Hindu-Aryans on the other hand, living in the hot sultry plains of India, where the glow of heaven is oppressive and destructive, while its storms, thunder and rain are refreshing and fertilizing, fixed on this aspect of the sky as the most beneficent and adorable; and embodied it in a new god, Indra, a name supposed to be derived from a root signifying to "drop" Dyaus, therefore, before he grew strong and dramatic enough to supersede Varuna in India, was supplanted by Indra. But the Iranians, in consequence of some powerful spiritual influences with which they came in contact in Media, or thereabout.' clung to the abstract conception of Varuna and developed it into a personal spiritual Being, separate from nature, which they called Amer. Zend Ahera, a living spirit; an epithet in the Veda denoting chiefly the abstract concep-

See Des Origines du Zorosstrisme, par M. C. de Harles, extrait du Journal Asiatique, Parin, 1879-80.

tion of the Heaven-God. And the name Varuna, or Parama, remained with them, at first as expressing the material heaven only, and afterwards representing a mythical region, the seat of a light between a storm god and a storm fiend.

The Vedas describe Varuna in the most exalted language. The sun is his eye, the beaven is his garment, and the resounding wind is his breath. He stemmed asunder the wide firmament, he lifted on high the bright and glorious heaven, he stretched out, apart, the starry sky and the earth. He opened wide paths for the sun, and hollowed out channels for the rivers." He is king of all, and the "upholder of order" in the universe which he has made. His ordinances (vintar), resting on himself as a mountain, are axed and unassailable. By their operation the moon walks in brightness, and the twinkling stars which appear at night vanish in the morning.4 He has unbounded control over the calamities of mankind, and a thousand remedies to cure all diseases. He is beautiful in form, undecaying, unconquerable, serene and immovable in the midst of turnoil and tempest; "the god whom the scoffers do not provoke, nor the tormentors of men, nor the plotters of mischief" He is full of holy strength, without deceit, enlightening the foolish, and leading his worshippers to wealth and happinesa."

<sup>\*</sup> R.-V., i., 115, 11 i., 25, 13; vii., 87, 2. \* Ibid., vii., 86, 1. \* Ibid., i., 24, 8; ii., 28, 4. \* Ibid., ii., 22, 10; i., 25, 8.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., il., 48, 8.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., in 25; i. 24, 9, 10; Atharva-V., 1v., 10.

High up in his empyrean realm, dwelling in a palace with a thousand gates, supported by a thousand columns. he discerns the tracks of the hirds that fly through the air, and the ships that plough the mighty deep.1 He knows the twelve months, and the thirteenth, or " intercalary"; he is acquainted with the course of the winds, and with the bright and mighty divinities who reside on high." To him the darkness shineth as the light, " for he sees what has been, and what will be done " " If a man stands, or walks, or hides; if he goes to lie down or to get up; what two people sitting together whisper, King Varuna knows it, he is there as the third." "He who should flee far beyond the sky, even he would not be rid of Varuna, the king. His spies proceed from heaven towards this world; with thousand eyes they overlook this earth." "King Varuna aces what is between heaven and earth, and what is beyond. He has counted the twinklings of the eyes of men. As a player throws the dice, he settles all things."1

Varuna is the governor of the moral world—the consciences of men. He has given laws which cannot be broken with impunity. His fatal "nooses stand spread out to eatch the man who tells a lie"; but "they pass by him who tells the truth". His wrath is terrible upon all who commit sin and disfigure his worship with imperfections." Nevertheless, he is merciful to the offender.

<sup>1</sup> Re-V., vii., 88, 57 ii., 41, 57 ii., 25, 7. 2 Ibid., 1., 25, 8, 9.
2 Ibid., 1., 25, 11. 4 Re-V., 69., 16. 4 Ibid., 16, 6.
4 Re-V., i., 25, 27 iv., 1, 4, 5.

And hence man, trembling under the burden of ain, dures to approach Varuna and cry,

- (i) "Let me not yet, O Varuna, enter into the house of clay; have mercy, Almighty, have mercy!"
- (2) "If I go along trembling, like a cloud driven by the wind, have mercy, Alonghty, have mercy !"!
- (3) "Through want of strength, thou atrong and bright god, have I gone wrong; have mercy, Almighty, have mercy!"
- (4) "Thirst came upon the worshipper, though he stood in the midst of the waters; have mercy, Almighty, have mercy!"
- (5) "Whenever we men, O Varana, commit an offence before the heavenly host; whenever we break the law through thoughtlessness, punish us not, O God, for that offence!"

However the name Varuna may jar on our ears, there can be no doubt that to the ancient Aryans it was the most subline expression of the Supreme Being, whose personality was now fading away from their mind, and heaven, the place of His abode, was addressed as Himself. This was not from a deep sense of contrition and unworthiness to call Him. Father, hike the prodigal son when he cried, "I have sinned against heaven," but in consequence of the sinful tendency of man not to retain

<sup>&#</sup>x27;A more literal translation of this verse is given by Muur: "I go along, O thundrer, quivering like an inflated shir!" etc.

<sup>1</sup> R. V., vil., Sq. Max Müller's translation

God in his memory. The Aryans were now in that mental and spiritual condition, aptly described by Colebrook, "recognising but one Supreme Deity, yet not sufficiently discriminating the creature from the Creator". "Father of beaven" in the sense of "God of heaven," or "Father of light" in the sense of "God is light," had become hazy and uncertain; and the attributes originally ascribed to Him were consequently transferred to Heaven, the place of His abode.

The physical, intellectual, and moral attributes of the Deity never shone so fully, brightly, and lovingly in any Aryan god as in Varuna. All subsequent gods are but dim reflections of him—as he was but a dim reflection of the Supreme—retaining in the Vedic Age his physical and intellectual character, but allowing his moral perfections gradually to grow dimmer and dimmer, until, at last, the moral character of the immortal gods could not be distinguished from that of mortal men.

Max Müller says, "The more we go back, the more we examine the earliest germs of any religion, the purer I believe we shall find the emceptions of the Deity". This is as strictly true of the religions of India as it is of all other ancient religions. And this can hardly be accounted for, except on the supposition that man was originally endowed with divine knowledge far more than he appears to have possessed at the dawn of history. For had the Vedic Aryans emerged at any time, by their own exertion, from a low or savage state, and gradually risen into the high conception of the Author of the Universe, which found expression in Varuna, we might reasonably

expect that they would have retained the celestial Varuna as their Supreme Deity to the exclusion of all other rivals. Alas! the fact is the reverse. They not only deified the elements and forces of Nature with which they were surrounded, but hurled down the divine Varima, "the omniscient spirit," from the throne of the antiverse; placed him among inferior deities, called Adityan: made him the twin-brother and chief associate of Mitza, the Persian Mithra, a form of the sun; and lastly relegated him to a dominion over the waters! But though Vacuna was thus degraded and Indra raised to supreme power in his place, yet his former greatness occasionally breaks through, not only in the fact that Indra and other gods are said to obey and follow him,1 but in the epithets applied to him and Mitra together, such as, "Lords of truth and light"; " "Sapient gods"; "Universal monarcha"; 4 "Ye whose imperishable divinity in the eldest ': "For these two are the living spirits among the gods ";" all the gods follow the ordinances of Mitro and Varuna;" "The man whom Varuna distinguishes for his knowledge, and Mitra and Aryaman protect, can never be slain ".

Then, closely connected with the sky, is Aditi, immensity, from dift, a bond, and the negative prefix o, meaning the unbounded, the infinite expanse beyond the earth.

<sup>1</sup> K. V., iv., 42; 24, 124, 115, 5. 1 Willy in 25, 5.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid, vita 61, 2 4 Ibid, to 71, 97 in 136, 1, 4

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vii., 65, a. \*S.-V., ii., prap., 10, 11; iv., 3, &

beyond the clouds, and beyond the firmament. Aditi at first was probably nothing more than an epithet of Dyaus, the sky (dwarh aditih) more especially of that part of the sky whence the dawn comes forth every morning, and hence the dawn is called "the face of Aditi". Myth ology, however, soon dissected the Heaven-God into seven inferior deities, corresponding to the Vedic seven regions, or worlds," to each of which a god must be allotted. This myth, the root of which we see in the sacredness attached to the number seven, began to germinate before the Iranians and the Indians separated. In Iran it grew into the seven Amesha-Spentas, "the undying and well-doing ones," with Ahura-Mazda at their head; and in India into the seven Adityas, "the infinite ones," with Varuna at their head. But its growth in India did not stop here. It was necessary to create a mother for the Adityas, and so the epithet Aditi was raised to the rank of a goddess; and from being the mother of the bright Adityas she casily glided into the mother of all gods, the common womb, or substratum of all existencies. "Aditi is the heaven; Aditi in the sky; Aditi is the mother, father, son: Aditi is all the gods; Aditi is the five classes of men; Adiri is whatever has been and whatever shall be." \*

<sup>1</sup> R.-V. v. 50, 5 : x., 63, 3.

<sup>&</sup>quot; thid, in 113, my " Thid, in 114, je

<sup>\*</sup> Thid., via 74, 1; a., on 15; x- 123, 3; a., 82, -

<sup>&</sup>quot; Thida by pg. 100

Leve dorer aldigs, Zeia de yo, Zeia d'ulquiris. Zeia entre ratera yé ruleran d'interpress L'Eschylus, Fragmont, 443). And so the Egyptian goddess Neith says, "I am the things that have been, that are, and that will be."

The names of the seven Adityas vary. According to R.-V., ii., 37, i, they are Mitra, Aryaman, Bhaga, Varana, Daksha, Ansa; these and Aditi make seven. In another passage, Agni and Savitar are substituted for Daksha and Ansa; and in the Tattirya Aranyaka, Dhātar and Indra occupy the places of Aditi and Daksha, and Vivasvāi, the san, is mentioned as the eighth, which, if intended for Marattanda, was cast away, according to R.-V., x., 72, 8.

No doubt physically these delties had something to do with solar movements. Probably they were personifications of the various appearances of Varuna, the sky, caused by the diurnal and annual movements of the sun. This, at any rate, is the explanation given of them at a later age; for we read in the Satapatha-Brahmana, that the Adityas represent the twelve months in the year.

And as the Adityas physically are the diverse manifestations of Varana, so they are morally the reflection of his character, and, in union with him, hold the same ethical relationship to man as he holds personally. "They see the good man." "They hate falsehood, forgive sins, preserve from evil spirits, bridge the paths to immortality, and uphold the heavens for the sake of the righteous."

The names of these Adityas, with the exception of Varuna, are remarkable as being abstract names of deities drawn from certain relations of moral and social life. The names of the other gods are chiefly taken from some

prominent physical features, such as Dyans, the "bright"; or from the activities of human life, such as Visyakarman. the "maker of all things". But these names are the pure conceptions of the mind, in which the noblest relations of human intercourse are reflected. Mitra is the "friend," Aryaman the "bosom friend," Bhaga the "liberal," Daksha the "powerful" or the "capable," and Ansa the "apportioner" or "sympathiser". No wonder then that the cry of the weak, sorrowful, and distressed should ascend to them: "May I be conscious, Adityas, of this your protection, the cause of happiness in danger: Aryaman, Mitra, and Varana, may I through your guidance escape the sins that are like pitfalls in my paths"! "Carry us, O Vasus, by your blessed protection, as it were in your ship, across all dangers." 2 "To our offspring, to our race, and thus to ourselves, make life longer to live | ye valiant Adityas," "O Mitra, Aryaman, Varuna, and ye Winds, grant us an abode free from sin, full of men, glorious with three bara "!

INDRA, the god of the watery utmosphere, of thunder

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., In., 27, 5 Thid, vin., 18, 17, 18.

<sup>\*</sup> Hild., viii, 18, 18, 21, 22.

In the circle of ideas which found expression in Aditi and Daksha, we see a faint recollection of the great First Cause, or a craving to know the Invisible, who is bebind all things and far beyond human ken, whose attributes shone forth in Varuna. So it was in Greece. Though Zeus was adored as the Supreme God-drawers signar—something more was wanted to satisfy the cravings of the soul; and hence an Almighty Fate (Moira) was imagined before which all gods, even Zeus, had to bow.

and of lightning, the son of Dye and Prahavi, of Parasha or Prajapati; bolds the same relative position in the mythology of the Vedas as Zens in that of Greece. The physical meaning of Dyans was too transparent for the crystallisation of myths, and the ethical character of Varuna was too divine for retention in the corrupt memory of man. But Indra furnished unlimited scope for the wild imagination of ancient Bards, stimulated by the most gorgeous scenery in the world, to mint in the choicest colours. Hence he marks a period of decadence in the religious consciousness of the Veilic Aryana His attributes are chiefly, but not exclusively, those of physical superiority eather than of spiritual elevation and moral grandent. He has more to do with the affairs of the external world-with the temporal necessities and comforts of man -than with the spiritual aspirations and eternal realities with which Various is so prominently concerned. Indra is an omnipotent man, whereas Varuna is more like an omnipotent God. With the advent of Indra the ancient pastoral character of the Aryans changed, and the more spiritual elements of the ancient creed disappeared. The people now assumed the more active character of warmors and conquerors, and their religious conceptions became less ethical and more sensuous:

In their efforts to find suitable epithets to celebrate the greatness of Indra, the old Rishis exhaust the language of the Vedas. He is the Supreme God, the architect of

<sup>1</sup> R. V., iv. 17, 4, 27; 2., 90, 13; Sal. Dr., 11, 1, 6.

all things, surpassing in power all former generations of gods and creatures, daring in spirit, deriving his power from himself; the creator of the earth, the sky, the sun. moon and stars; the ruler of all things movable and immovable; the leader of gods; the lord of the lofty sky, the lord of the sacred assembly, the lord of the joyinspiring Soma-juice, the lord of horses, of cattle, and of mansions. He is the primeval, most resplendent divinity; mighty, wise, true, holy, everlasting, swift, joyful, void of fear, loving glory, skilled in all science, shepherd of men, performer of a hundred sacrifices; the awful god, whose counsels not all the gods are able to frustrate. He is the cow that produces the water of life, the great bull in the air, the being that stops the breath of life, that drives away disease and all hortful and mulicious foes. He is omniscient and omnipresent. He hears and sees all things (vision srindt passari); " He is both just and merciful"; "he punishes and pardons. hears prayer, and through faith in him the strong acquire spoils in the day of hattle ". He surpasses heroes in his greatness; the earth and heaven suffice not for his girdle. He orders the earth to be his garment, and, god-like, wears the heaven as it were a gauntlet.3 Still, with all these high attributes, he is reminded that he is not self-existent, but the son of a "When thou, Indra, like the dawn, didnt fill mother

<sup>&</sup>quot;R. V., 8, 67, 5. where this Asia declarate on warm region." The eye of Zens which sees all and knows all."

<sup>1</sup> Hill vil. 12. 14.

<sup>\*</sup> Had., in 173, 6, 7.

both the worlds, a divine mother bore thee, the mighty monarch of mighty creatures—a gracious mother bore thee,"1

His personal appearance represents the Aryan conception of a handsome man. He is large-hodied, with a beautiful countenance, prominent nose, good lips, handsome chin, flowing hair, red beard, long arms, large hands, and ten fingers pointing to happiness. His speech is as smooth and captivating as that of the god of eloquence. Richly adorned with ornaments, wearing a crown on his head and golden pendants in his ears, he rides on horses, and drives in a golden charlot drawn by two tawny steeds, snorting, neighing, and invincible, with golden manes, for he is both the "famed charloteer" and the "incomparable traveller".

The most prominent epithets applied to him are, "wielder of the thunderbolt," "the slayer of Vritra," "the slayer of the Dasyus," and "the drinker of Soma.

As the dispenser of rain, he is the "wielder of the thunderbolt," and the "slayer of Vritta". Vritra, or Ah, is the rainless sky conceived of as a demon, the enemy of man, who has stolen the caws, or the clouds, and shut

<sup>1</sup> R. V. Ru 134. 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Hid., i., 50, 8, 12, 13; i., 35, 1; i., 61, 0; i., 81, 5; i., 103, 2; ii., 12, 1; Hi., 32, 7; iv., 30, 1; vi., 30, 4; vii., 32, 10, 32, 43; viii., 23, 13; viii., 47, 5; viii., 87, 2; 2., 085; x., 23, 4; iii., 31, etc.; and Sama-Veda partin.

them up in dark caves near the uttermost ends of the aky, where they cannot discharge their unlers of fertilising milk upon the parched and thirsty earth. Then the pious worshipper implores Indra to release them, and slay the demon who had carried them away. Thereupon Indra, guided by his faithful dog Sarama, and accompanied by the Maruts, or storm gods, goes after them; and no sooner does he hear their lowing from afar than the battle begins. The iron thunderbolt-the product of Tvashtri-is hurled, the Maruts roar, the demon is slain, and the cows are released to discharge their heavy udders in great drops upon the earth. Then the singers "bring their praises to heroic Indra, as cows come home to the milker". In this respect he is like Parjanya, the Lithuanian Perkunas, the god of thunder and ram, the generator and nourisher of plants and all living creatures.1 At other times he is the bright god of day, whose steed is the sun, and whose cows are the first rays of the dawn, dispelling the darkness of the night, and filling the world with light and joy; and, therefore, he is called the "lighter up of nights, and the parent of the aun ".

The Dasyus were both the aboriginal inhabitants of India, who resisted the Aryans in their progress from the India to the Gunges, and the demons of darkness and drought, the enemies of the bright gods, such as Vritra, Namuchi, Sambara, Ahi, and others. Indra was now

<sup>1</sup> R. V., v., 83. 2 Had., L. 7, 30 HL, 34, 4

the supreme god of the conquering race, and hence to constantly invoked to kill the Dasyus, their enemies, and the despiser of himself, Aniadra. "Armed with the lightning, and trusting in his strength, he moved about shattering the cities of the Dasyus." "Indra. thunderer, who are wise, hard thy shaft against the Dasyus, and increase the might and glory of the Aryans." "Slaying the Dasyus, he protected the Aryan colour."

Soma, the Persian Haoma, of which India drank so copiously, and which all the immortal gods loved so well, was the juice of a creeper called the moon-plant (Asclepia) acida), expressed in a mortar or between stones, strained through a goat a hair sieve into clarified butter, diluted with water, mixed with barley-meal. and fermented in a jur for nine days. It was then a strong intoxicating liquor, producing exhibitration or stupor, according to the quantity drunk. No sooner did the Aryana discover that it had this effect upon themselves, than they invited the gods to partake of it, in order to help them to perform their mighty deeds, and to refresh them when exhausted and cast down. For whatever they found pleasant and useful to themselves, they conceived to be equally so to the gods; thus exemplifying the dictum of Heraclitus: "Men are mortal gods, and gods are immortal men". "Ye priests, bring hither Soma for Indea: pour from the bowls the delicious food! The hero truly always loves to strink of it, sacrifice to the strong, for he desires it!" "Ye priests, he

R. V. 1., 105. 3. Dut. di, 34, 9.

who struck down Vritra, when he hid the waters, as a tree is struck by lightning—to him who desires this Soma, offer it; for that Indra desires to drink it!" I declare the mighty deeds of this mighty one. At the Trikadraka festival, Indra drank of the Soma, and in its exhibitation he slew Ahi. He propped up the vast sky in empty space; he hath filled the two worlds and the atmosphere. He hath upheld the earth and stretched it out. Indra has done all these things in the exhibitation of the Soma."

Some finally was drifted, and all the divine attributes ascribed to the other gods were ascribed to him. He became the creator of all things, father of the gods, the rainer of blessings, and the saviour of men from sin, as well as "the embroller of all things in his dranken frolics". The Sama-Veda says of this god, that he submits to mortal birth, and is "bruised and afflicted that others may be saved". This is the rudest type of mediation through sacrifice, of strength through weakpess, of life through death."

Reventhe Life

<sup>&</sup>quot;Compare Job, xxvi., 7. "He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing."

I Ibida ii. xv., t. 2:

<sup>\*</sup> S.-V., ii., prap., 5, 31)v., prap., 45; v., prap., 33; ii., 2., 2; 0; vl., 4. Compare what is said of Dionyses, the Greetan Soma:—

ιώτος βετίαι επτερδεται θεία γεγώς, ώστε δια τούται τύχεθ άνθρώπους έχειο

He, born a god, is poured out in libations to gods, so that through him men receive good (Mule, S. T., vol. v., p. 250).

Visusu, a name of the sun, from ris, to pervade, is the faithful friend and companion of Indra, both in his drinking bouts and in his conflicts with Vritra. "Yes, even when all the gods had foranken him, faithful Vishnu came to his help." Vayu, the god of the gentle breezes, is also in his train. "He drives a thousand steeds; his breath chases away the demons; he comes in the earliest morning, as the first breath of air that stirs itself at daybreak, to join Indra in drinking the Soma; and the auroras weave for him shining garments." But the Maruts, or Rudras, storm-gods, are pre-eminently the constant allies and companions of Indra. They are the sons of Rudra, the god of the roaring tempest, and Prism. "They ride on spotted stags (the clouds), wear shining armour, and carry spears in their hands; no one knows whence they come nor whither they go; a their voice is heard aloud, as they come rushing on, the earth trembles and the mountains shake before them." \* But though they are exceedingly tumultuous they are none the less beneficent. They dispense rain in abundance from the udder of their mother, Prisni, snil having acquired the knowledge of remedies from their father, Rudra, they are ever ready to help the sick and succour the afflicted.

The following hymn to the Maruts and Indra is from Max Muller's translation of the Rig-Veda Sanhita, p. 163.

<sup>\*</sup> Professor Whirney, Oriental Linguistic Studies.

# HYMN TO THE MARUTS AND INDRA.

#### THE PROLOGUE.

The cacrificer speaks :-

- t. With what splendour are the Maruts all equally endowed, they who are of the same age, and dwell in the same house? With what thoughts? From whence are they come? Do these heroes sing forth their (own) strength because they wish for wealth?
- 2. Whose prayers have the youths: accepted? Who has turned the Maruts to his own sacrifice? By what strong devotion may we delight them, they who float through the air like hawks?

#### THE DIALOGUE

The Maruti speak :-

3. From whence, O Indra, dost thou come alone, thou who art mighty? O lord of men! what has thus happened to thee? Thou greetest (us) when thou comest together with (us) the bright (Maruts). Tell us, then, thou with thy bay horses, what thou hast against us.

Indra spotks:-

4. The sacred songs are mine, (mine are) the prayers; sweet are the libations! My strength rises, my thunderbolt is hurled forth. They call for me, the prayers yearn for me. Here are my horses, they carry me towards them.

# The Maruts speak -

5. Therefore, in company with our strong friend, having adorned our bodies, we now harness our fallow deer with all our might: for Indra, according to thy custom, thou hast been with us.

### Indea speaks :-

6. Where, O Maruts, was that custom of yours that you should join me who am alone in the killing of Ahi! I indeed am terrible, strong, powerful; I escape from the blows of every enemy.

### The Maruts speak :-

7. Thou hast achieved much with us as companions. With the same valour, O hero! let us achieve then many things, O thou most powerful, O Indra! whatever we, Maruts, wish with our heart.

### Indra speaks :-

8 I slew Vritra, O Maruts, with (Indra's) might having grown strong through my own vigour: I who hold the thunderbolt in my arms, I have made these all-brilliant waters to flow freely for man.

# The Maruts speak :-

9. Nothing, O powerful lord, is strong before thee: no one is known among the gods like unto thee. No one who is now born will come near, no one who has been born. Do what has to be done, thou who art grown so strong.

### Indra speaks :-

to. Almighty power be mine alone, whatever I may do, daring in my heart; for I indeed, O Maruts, am

known as terrible; of all that I threw down, I, Indra, am the lord.

- 11. O Maruts, now your praise has pleased me, the glorious hymn which you have made for me, ye men! for me, for Indra, for the powerful hero, as friends for a friend, for your own sake and by your own efforts.
- 12. Truly, there they are, shining towards me, assuming blameless glory, assuming vigour. O Maruts, wherever I have looked for you, you have appeared to me in bright splendour, appear to me also now.

#### THE EPILOGUE

The sacrificer speaks :-

- 13. Who has magnified you here, O Maruts? Come hither, O friends, towards your friends. Ye brilliant Maruta, cherish these prayers, and be mindful of these my rites.
- 14 The wisdom of Manya has brought us to this, that he should help, as the poot helps the performer of a sacrifice: bring (them) hither quickly, Marots, on to the Sage 1 these prayers the singer has recited for you.
- 15 This your praise, O Maruts, this your song comefrom Mandarya, the son of Mana, the poet. Come bither with rain | May we find for ourselves offspring, food, and a camp with rinning water.

In verses 5 to 8 of this dialogue we see traces of rivalry between Indra and the Maruta. They were not always friendly, and their respective votaries disputed their relative claims to adoration. Agastya seems to have been the means of reconciling them and of engrating the worship of the Maruts on that of Indra. The remaining verses, therefore, show that a complete reconciliation had been effected between them.

The Muruts are called Virw-devah adruhah, all gods, devoid of guile; and are said to have been raised to the sphere of the immortals in consequence of their association with Indra.

There are some passages in the Vella in which grave doubts are expressed as to the existence of Indra. Thus we read, "Offer praise to Indra if you desire booty: true praise if he truly exists". One and another says, "There is no Indra". "Who has seen him?" "Whom shall we praise?"

Then Indra answers through the poet, "Here I am, O worshipper; hehold me here". "In might I overcome all creatures," Again, "The terrible one of whom they ask where he is, and of whom they say that he is not, he takes away the riches of his enemy like the stakes at a game. Believe in him, ye men, for he is indeed Indra."

The whole hymn, from which the last verse is taken appears to be a polemical assertion of the existence and

FRAV., In 170, 1711 4

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., L. 10. S. d.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., viii., 89, s. 3.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., in the state

greatness of Indra against gainsayers. Some may regard the doubts expressed respecting the existence of Indra as a necessary stage in the "upward progress of the human mind" through polytheism to monotheism. This doubtless is true as a general law, but it can scarcely be applied to this case, for on that supposition should we not expect to see the existence of other gods doubted? No such doubts, however, appear in the Rig-Veda respecting the existence of other gods. The explanation therefore must he sought in the fact that Indra was triumphantly superseding Varuna in the cultus; and, consequently, the most devout Rishis, unable to look on passively when the nation was transferring its allegiance from the old to the new god, endeavoured to stem the torrent by casting doubts on his existence. They would have had no objection to admit Indra to their panthem us a god, but their reverence for Varuna, hallowed by immemorial traditions, was far too strong to permit them to regard him as supreme. Who cannot sympathise with them? For had not Varuna been worshipped in the uncestral home before the family broke up never to be reunited again? Had not their forefathers offered sacrifices to Varuna and supplicated him with hymns, which, in accents of adoration, remind us of the Paulms of David? With the pious, Varuna was still the god that went down to the depth of the conscience, that sympathised with their struggles after a higher and holier life, that best satisfied the cravings of their spiritual nature. And with such, Varuna remained. the anpreme god for a long time, even after Indra, by a hard struggle, had superseded him in the public

culture. The small number of hymns addressed to Varuna, preserved in the Rig-Veda, shows clearly what a small space he occupied in the thoughts of the majority; and that space becomes more contracted as we read on towards the end—the last Mandala, or Book, not containing one hymn addressed to him alose? The few hymns addressed to him, however, surpass all others in elevation of sentiment, in contrition for sin, and in hungerings and thirstings after forgiveness and communion with the Supreme.

AGNI, from Ag, "to move," the Latin Ignis, and the Sclavonian Ogni, is a deliberation of fire in its threefold manifestations, as the sun in the heaven, as lightning in the atmosphere, and as fire on the hearth and the altar. He is the son of Dyaus, the sky, because originally the offspring of heaven. He is " the son of strength, neighing like a horse when he steps out of his strong prison, and, grasping food with his jaws, he devours the wood, surrounding his path with darkness, and aweeping his tail in the wind, as, in the smoke column, he ascends to heaven". He is the light of the sacrifice, whether reminding man that the time for the morning sacrifice has came, or himself lighting the sacrifice on the altar. In one place he is said to have been brought down from the lofty firmament by Rish Athatvan; in another, by Rish Divodasa; in a third, it is said that he was brought forth by the songs of the old poet Gopavana; and in a fourth. that both he and Indra were produced from the month of Purusha. Most frequently, however, his production is

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., Iv., 18, 12 ; 19, 2 ; vil., 21, 7.

ascribed to the strong rubbing of the aranis, or two dry pieces of wood, the ordinary mode of kindling fire among all primitive nations. His birth is celebrated in the hymns in the most glowing figurative language as the greatest wonder in the universe. The ten fingers of the kindler are ten virgina who bring him to hirth; the two pieces of wood are his mothers, in whose laps he rapidly grows, and whom he then devours as they lie prostrate on the earth.

Agni is a "god among gods". "His greatness surpasses the vast sky." "No god is beyond his might, the mighty one." He sees all things and knows all secrets among mortals." He is the lord, the wise king, the sage, the father, the brother, the son, and the friend of men; present with all, dwelling in their houses, guarding them at night from the demons of darkness. He is the youngest of the gods, their messenger and invoker on behalf of men, "going wisely between heaven and earth, gods and men, like a friendly messenger between two hamlets". He carries the sacrifices to the gods, and brings the gods down to the sacrifices. He is both

\* R.-V., 6, 31, 3, 4, 140, 141; iii., 29.

\* Red & remark broke.

"The gods know all things" (Homer).

This character was assumed by Apollo in Greece, "He alone of the active gods is in entire and unvarying conformity with the will of Zens, and is his messenger and agent for the most important purposes" (Mr. Gladstone, in the Confimporary Review for March, 1876).

Brihaspati, though sometimes differing from Brahmanaspati, is also identical with him (R.-V., ii., z3); also with Indra (R.-V., ii., 30, 4).

Brihaspati, the Purhohita, or mediating priest, between god and man, and Brahmanaspati, the lard of prayer. because he not only hears the prayers of the suppliant, but causes the gods also to hear them. His golden colour, bright face, white hair, green moustachios, eyes fixed on many regions, and voice like the Marats, are dwelt upon with special delight.1 With a crown of glory on his head, he travels in a brilliant car drawn sometimes by two red horses and sometimes by two black or ruddy. He is compared to a stallion, and is called a strong bull, a red hero, a poct with a bright tongue, the mouth of the gods, the producer of the three Vedas, the ordainer of sacrifice, the giver of wealth, intelligence, and all happiness. He is a kind of anima mundi, a subtle principle, that pervades all nature, through which plants, animals and men are capable of reproduction. He is one of the most prominent deities of the Rig-Veda, because he is the product of sacerdotalism. The hymns addressed to him are more numerous than those addressed to any other deity except Indra, and eight out of the ten Mandalas of the Rig-Veda begin with bymna to him. Among his frequent appellations are, "belonging to all men," "bearer of the offering," "all-possessing," "purifier," and "demon slayer",2

He also assumes a terrific form, when, with his iron tunks, he puts his enemies in his mouth and swallows them (R. V. z., 87, 2).

<sup>\*</sup> R.-V., va., 49, 2; v., 1, 1, 1; t., 30, 3; t., 63, 3; via, 3; ii., 6, 7; i., 74; viii., 39, 6; i., 94, 10; ii., 10, 2; vi., 5, 2; i., 19; ii., 29, 6; v., 12, 6; vi., 11, 2; iv., 6, 10; v., 1, 12; i., 36, 10; i.,

In Agni we see unfolding themselves the germs of the two great ideas so natural to man- the former of which assumed such enormous proportions in later Hinduism -viz, Incarnation and Mediation. Agni was a Purhohita, or High Priest between God and man, who partook of the nature of both. He was a god dwelling on high among the immortals, and yet he condescended to ait in the humble habitation of men, " Agni, beloved by many, praised by all, in whose immortal fire all men cause the offering to blaze, is this morning our guest." "By words and hymns of praise, uttered with all my might, do I praise thee, Agni, the guest of my sacrifice." "We approach the foe-destroying, ancient incarnate Agni, who shone forth most illustriously in the form of Srutavana, the son of Arksha," 1 "Kindled Agni, by this adoration do thou recommend us to Mitra, Varuna, and Indra. Whatever sin we may have committed, do thou expiate; and may Aryaman, Aditi, and Mitra remove it from us." "O Agni, in thy friendship I am at home."

Surva, the sun, notwithstanding his identification with Agni, was worshipped as a separate personality, under different names corresponding to its various functions or appearances, such as Savitri, enlivener; Püshan, nourisher; Mitra, friend, the bright sun of the morning or the

<sup>49. 9; \$1. 13. 1;</sup> vii., 1. 2; 1v., t20; iii., 3, 10; x., 31, 3 Sama-Veda, î., prap., î., 9; iii., 95, î. 5, 5, 7, 0, 8, 8, 2; prap., vii. 4, 3; pt. ii., vi., 7; viii., 5, t4; xii., 3; xiv., t2.

<sup>1</sup> S. V. prap., L. 0, 5; 7, 9

day; Vishau, the sun, as striding with three steps across the sky; Aryaman, Bhaga, Aditya; and the great attributes ascribed to the other gods are ascribed to him also. According to Yaska, a very ancient etymologist, there are only three Vedic gods, viz. Agal, whose place is on the earth; Indra or Vayu, whose place is in the atmoaphere; and Sūrya, whose place is in the sky. All the other gods are resolvable into these, and these again into one. This, however, is a generalisation posterior to the Vedic Age. Surva, though the child of heaven and earth, is also their creator, and even " the divine leader of the gods". The dawn is both his wife and his daughter," and as she is also the daughter of the sky, she might be spoken of us his sister. Indra, again, is represented as having given birth to the dawn and the sun. And from another point of view, the dawn is represented as having given birth to the sun." Surya travels in a golden chariot "along his ancient upward and downward paths, the paths without dust," drawn by one, or seven, ruddy steeds (the seven days of the week), preceded by the dawn, destroying darkness, and penetrating with his piercing glance the active world and the ethereal sky.

Surya, as the eye of the all-embracing Varuna, appears to have occupied a very high place in the ancient creed of the Aryans. For the Iranians not only worshipped him as an emblem of the Supreme, but in the older parts

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., L. iv., 1, 40, 11 ; L. (60), 4 ; viii., 90, 11, 12,

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vii., 75, 3, 78, 3; iv., 43, c. \* Ibid., vii., 78, 3.

of the Brahmanas—to which the Avesta is related in age and contents—he is often exalted above the other deities (prassista decanant). We are told in the Taittiriya and Tāndiya Brāhmanas that the Devas (Hindus) and Asuras (Persians) disputed about Aditya (Sun), and that the Devas won him. He is still adored by the Parsees, the descendants of the Persians, as the purest symbol of the Supreme, and by the Brahmanical Hindus as the most resplendent manifestation of Him who is infinitely beyond human ken. To him the Gâyatri is addressed, and before him millions of pious Hindus bow in adoration every morning. The following translation by Monier Williams of Hymn i., 50, in the Rig-Veda, is a beautiful description of the sun:—

- "Behold the rays of Dawn, like heralds, lead on high
- "The Sun, that men may see the great all-knowing God.
- "The stars slink off like thieves, in company with Night,
- "Before the all-seeing eye whose beams reveal his presence,
  - "Gleaming like brilliant flames, to nation after nation.
  - "With speed beyond the ken of mortals, thou, O Sun,
  - " Dost ever travel on conspicuous to all.
  - "Thou dost create the light, and with it dost illume
- "The Universe entire; thou risest in the sight of all the race of men, and all the host of heaven.
  - "Light-giving Varana I thy piercing glance can scan
  - " In quick succession all this stirring, active world,
  - "And penetrateth too the broad ethereal space,

- "Measuring our days and nights, and spying out all creatures.
  - "Surya, with flaming locks, clear-sighted god of day,
  - "Thy seven ruddy mares bear on thy rushing car
- "With those thy self-yoked steeds, seven daughters of thy chariot.
  - "Onward thou dost advance. To thy refulgent orb
  - "Beyond this lower gloom and upwards to the light
- "Would we ascend, O Sun, thou god among the gods."

Invocations to the stars and the night are not frequent in the Vedas, and the worship of Chandramas, the moon, is not prominent; but Ushas, the Dawn, and the Asvins, her precursive rays, are objects of great calebration. The Asvins are ever young, handsome, and swift as young falcons. Travelling in a three-wheelest triangular car, made by the Khiehur, and drawn by asses, they bestow rich henefits on their worshippers, and help them to overcome their enemies. They are called Darras, destroyers, either of foes or diseases; for they are the skilful physicians of gods as well as men, and as such are Narayas, never untrue, but always faithful. In the Legends they are represented as having effected such wonderful cures as to

Greek Hac, Latin are, Welsh waser, German est, English east.

<sup>\*</sup> Greek deit, Latin egant,

<sup>4</sup> R.-Vu in \$571 1.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vii., 67, 6; vi., 62, 3, 5; v., 78, 4, 77, 3

<sup>\*</sup> Ponto, x. 39, 12; 1, 47, 2; 14 34, 9.

cause the blind to see, the lame to walk, the old to become young, and the distressed happy. It is supposed that in the myths of the Asvins two distinct elements are blended, viz., the cosmical and the historical, the former representing the mysteriousness of the phenomena of light, and the latter the healing art of remote antiquity

The following hymn to the Dawn is considered by Max Müller a fair specimen of the original, simple poetry of the Veda. "It has no reference to any sacrifice, it contains no technical expressions; but it is the simple utterance in metre of the feelings of an oriental, who has watched the approach of the Dawn with mingled delight and awe." It also shows the treacherousness of poetical language; how easily it leads from drev the bright, an epithet of the dawn, to devi, the goddess, the daughter of the sky. Dyans (dukitra dirak).

"She shines before us like a young wife, rousing every living being to go to his work. The fire had to be kindled by men; she brought light by striking down darkness.

"She rose up, spreading far and wide, and moving towards every one. She grew in brightness, wearing her brilliant garment. The mother of the cows (the morning clouds), the leader of the days, she shone gold-coloured, lovely to behold.

"She the fortunate, she who brings the eye of the god, who leads the white and lovely steed (of the sun), the

<sup>2</sup> R.V., i., 112, 3, 16; L. 116, 10.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Hitt. Am. Sucs, Lit., p. 551.

Dawn was seen, revealed by her rays, with brilliant treasures she follows every one.

"Thou who art a blessing where thou art near, drive far away the unfriendly; make the pastures wide, give us safety! Remove the haters, bring treasures! Raise up wealth to the worshipper, thou mighty Dawn!

"Shine for us with thy best rays, thou bright Dawn, thou who lengthenest our life, thou the love of all, who givest us food, who givest us wealth in cows, horses and chariotal

"Thou daughter of the sky, thou high-horn Dawn, whom the Vasishthas magnify with songs, give us riches high and wide; all ye gods, protect us always with your blessings!"

The mountains, rivers, trees, and plants are invoked as so many high powers.<sup>2</sup> "May the mountains, the waters, the generous plants and the heavens; may the earth with the trees, and the two worlds, protect us!" "May the highly-praised mountains and the shining rivers shield us!" The animals which surround man, the horse by which he is borne to battle, the cow which supplies him with nourishment, the dog which guards his dwelling, the frogs which croals in the replenished pool, the bird

<sup>4</sup> R.-V., vil., 77.

<sup>\* 10</sup>td., vii., 34, 23. Seneca, in one of his Letters, says, "We contemplate with awe the head or sources of the great rivers. We erect altars to a rivulei, which suddenly and vigorously breaks forth from the dark. We worship the springs of hot water, and certain lakes are sacred to us on account of their darkness and unfathomable depth."

FR. V., to 41, 11, 12,

which by its cry reveals to him his future, as well as the numerous classes of creatures which threaten his existence, receive from him the worship of either honour or deprecation. The Pitris, spirits of departed ancestors, the Rhibus (Greek Orpheus), the deified artisans of the gods: Yama, the god of Hades, and his two terrific dogs which guard the entrance to immortality; the sacrificial victims and atensils, bows, arrows, axes, and drums, are all invoked. In short, whatever excited the sentiments of pain or pleasure, joy or sadness, confidence or apprehension, found a niche in the Vedic Pantheon.

GODDESSES do not occupy very prominent positions in the songs of the Rishis. Prithivi, the wife of Dyaus, Aditi, and Ushas; Sarasvati and Sindhu, which are both goddesses and rivers; Ganga, Sinivali, and Raka, god-

The earth, prithivi (broad), is called mills, mother,—corresponding to the Greek Dewater,—and Hoaven and Earth are addressed as the parents of gods and men. "At the sacrifice I worship with offerings Heaven and Earth, the promoters of righteomaness, the great, the wise, the energetic, who, having gods for their offspring, thus lavish, with the gods, the choicest blessings in consequence of our hymna." "With my invocations I adore the thought of the beneficient Father, and that mighty inherent power of the Mother. The profife parents have made all creatures, and through their favours have conferred wide immercality on their offspring "(R.-V., 1, 139, 1, 2).

The resemblance between this account of the Heaven and the Earth and that of Hexiod is too striking to pass unnoticed. According to the Theogeny, all gods, men, and animals sprang from the union of these two; and hence, in his Werks and Days, the Earth is called you started paying, the Earth, the mother of all things.

desses who preside at procreation and birth, are invoked. Varunani, Indrani, Agnayi, Asvmi, and Rudrasi, the wives of the great gods Varuna, Indra, Agni, Asvins, and of Rudra respectively, are only mentioned. There are no particular functions assigned to them, and they do not occupy positions at all corresponding to the high rank of their husbands. It should be mentioned to the credit of the Vedic Rishia, that they pass over with delicate hints those myths relating to the amours and marriages of the gods, which must have formed the basis of a great many representations in the Hymns. In this they contrast favourably with the authors of the Brithmanas, and especially with those of the Epic Poems and Puranas, in which the amours of Brahma and Sarasyati, Vishau and Laksmi, Siva and Parvati are described in the most voluptuous terms. Some partions of the Hymns, however, are not fit for translation

## (2) Metaphysical Gods.

As the preceding class contains the "former" gods, the gods of Poetry, so this class contains the "latter," the gods of Philosophy. Unlike the "former," these do not appeal to the senses; there is nothing in nature corresponding to them; they are the pure creation of the human mind, the result of abstraction and generalisation.

The human mind is swayed by two imperious tendencies,—the one impels it to connect effects with their causes; the other, to carry up its knowledge into unity. Both tendencies, if not identical in their origin, coincide in their result; for in proportion as we ascend from cause to cause, the nearer we approach to absolute unity.

With regard to the second tendency, the tendency to generalise and unify our knowledge, Sir William Hamilion says, "This tendency is one of the most prominent characteristics of the human mind. It, in part, originates in the imbecility of our faculties. We are lost in the multitude of the objects presented to our imagination, and it is only by assorting them in classes that we can reduce the infinity of nature to the finitude of mind. The conscious ego, the conscious self, by its nature one, seems also constrained to require that unity by which it is distinguished, in everything which it receives, and in everything which it produces . . . " e.g., "We are conscious of a scene presented to our senses only by uniting its parts into a perceived whole. Perception is thus a unifying act. The imagination cannot represent an object without uniting, in a single combination, the various elements of which it is composed. Generalisation is only the apprehension of the one in the many. and language is little else than a registry of the factitious unities of thought. The judgment cannot affirm or deny one notion of another, except by uniting the two in one indivisible act of comparison. Syllogism is simply the union of two judgments in a third. Reason, Intellect, sais, in line, concatenating thoughts and objects into system; and, tending always from particular facts to general laws, from general laws to universal principles. is never satisfied in its ascent till it comprehend (which, however, it can never do) all laws in a single formula,

and consummate all conditional knowledge in the unity of unconditional existence. Nor is it only in science that the mind desiderates the one. We seek it equally in works of art." "Hence the mind," says Anaxagoras, "only knows when it subdues its objects, when it reduces the many to the one;" and "The end of Philosophy," says Plato, "is the intuition of unity"."

In obedience to this imperious tendency of the mind to generalise its knowledge, the Vedic Aryans began at a very early period to abstract and classify the gods. They divided them into three classes of (at first) equal number, and assigned to them three separate localities for their abode." They then joined together the names of two gods, sharing certain functions in common, and formed a compound with a dual termination, which compound they invoked as a new deity, such as Dyavaprithiyi, Mitravarunan, and Indravaya. They also grouped the gods together under a common name, Visvadevas. the all-gods, and addressed prayers and praises to them in their collective capacity. Advancing a step further in their generalisation, they perceived that many gods, having sprung from the same source, had a great many attributes and functions in common. They suspected, therefore, that their essence, or what underlies them, is one and the same, though variously named. "They call him (the sun) Indra, Mitra, Varuna, Agni; then he in the well-winged heavenly Carotmat: that which in

Lectures on Metaphynics, pp. 67, 8, a.

<sup>4</sup> R.-V., L. 139-11; A.V., 1., 9, 12.

one, the wise call it many ways; they call it Agnl, Yama, Matarisvan."1 Again, "Wise poets make the beautiful winged, though he is one, manifold by words". The same sentiment is found among the wise men of Greece. Kleanthes, in a hymn to Zeus, says, "Most glorious among immortals, with many names, Almighty, always hail to thee, Zeus". And Maximus Tyrina says, "Men make distinctions between the gods. They are not aware that all the gods have one law, one life, the same ways, not diverse, not mutually hostile; all rule; all are of the same age; all pursue our good; all have: the same dignity and authority; all are immortal; one their nature, under many names."4 Seneca utters the same sentiment in the words, "Omnia ejusdem Dei nomina, varie utentis sua potestate". All names of one and the same god, as diversely using his power.4

One poet openly declares that the Rishis did not know God, and that all their songs are "idle talk". "He who is our Father and Generator, who, as Disposer, knows all rites and worlds, who is the one assigner of names to the gods, to him have all other worlds recourse as the solution and end of all questions." "That which is beyond the earth and sky, beyond gods and spirits, what earliest embryo did the waters hold, in which all the gods were assembled? Ye know not Him who produced these things. Something else is within you

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., I., 164, 46.

<sup>2</sup> Ibul., A., 11 4. 5.

<sup>&</sup>quot; His , serie. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> Intil. Syst. Dn., vii., p. 239.

<sup>1</sup> R. V. x. 82. 7.

<sup>\*</sup> Helder x. Riz. 3.

(polytheism). The chanters of hymns go about enveloped in mist, and unsatisfied with vidle talk." Another says, "Knowing nothing myself. I ask the Seers here who know, that I may learn. He who established the six worlds is that one which exists in the form of the unborn Being."

A higher flight of abstraction we find in Skamba, the supporter: Visvakarman, the maker of all things; Prajapati, the lord of creatures. Skamba is an expression of the abstract conception of the power which supports the world; and both Visvakarman and Prajapati were epithets of the sun (Sarya) before they were raised into independent delties. Neither of these, however, was destined to realise either the highest abstraction of Religion, or the highest abstraction of Philosophy.

At last an old sage flashed forth a few thoughts—whether as a reminiscence or as the guess of genius—which, by reflection, ought to have led to the recognition of one Supreme Being separate from nature. Musing on the beginning of all things, he goes back to the nothing which preceded the works of creation, and says, "There was then neither nonentity (asad) nor entity (sad); there was no atmosphere nor sky above. Death was not then, nor immortality; there was no distinction of day or night. "That One" (hid sixim) breathed calmly self-supported; there was nothing different from, or above It. Desire first rose in It, which was the primal germ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> R<sub>0</sub>·V<sub>α</sub> x<sub>a</sub> S2<sub>1</sub> S<sub>0</sub> b, η. 

<sup>†</sup> Ibid., x<sub>a</sub> 170, 4 : 185, 53, 2, 54, 4.

of mind, and which sages, searching with their intellect, have discerned in their heart to be the bond which connects entity with nonentity."

Here the existence of one self-existent Being is boldly asserted. He existed before creation. He existed not as a great principle of an omnipresent power, but as a sentient Being, having a "desire" or "will"; by which "desire" or "will" entity" was produced from "non-entity," the world from the dark unfathomable abyss.

This is the nearest approach to monotheism perhaps in the whole Vedas; the highest goal reached by the Aryan mind. It seems strange, from the position of those who try to account for the conception of God on natural grounds alone, that, possessing such ideas, and knowing the attributes of the Infinite (as evidenced by the description of the physical gods), the old hards did not go further, and grasp the idea of God in all its fulness. But it was not to be. It seems to be always the fate of the Hindu thinker, that be no sooner abstracts the idea of God from natural phenomena, than he loses night of nature altogether, and merges all in God! He carries his love of unity into its highest fruition, to the absolute identity of the ger and the namego, mind and matter. subject and object, the Creator and the creation, God and the universe. Hence polytheism and ideal pantheism are two streams, which, from the earliest times, have run parallel in India. As it was in the Vedic age, so it is now. Polytheism is the religion of the ignorant

<sup>1.</sup> R. V. K., 129. 1. 2. 4.

multitude, and ideal Pantheism is the religion of the thoughtful few.

We meet again with the idea represented by the demonstrative "That," but without the embodied "One" of the hymn, as an expression of the highest abstraction of Philosophy, viz., Monism, or the unity of thought, Before reaching that, however, the Hindu mind had to travel through the intricate labyrinth of two conceptions, different in their origin, which, after running parallel for a time, became united under the appellation "That". These two conceptions are (r) Atman, and (2) Brahma.

I. ATMAN, from ah, to breathe, means life, soul, spirit, self, or ego, both individual and universal. "Increase, O bright Indra! this our manifold food, by which, O Hero, thou givest us life (Town) like sap,! to move everywhere."! Here Town, another form of Atman, means life, particularly animal life. In a hymn addressed to the horse which is about to be sacrificed, it is said. "Let not thy dear self (prim item) hum or afflict these as thou approachest the sacrifice. Here prive items is used as the reflexive pronoun "thyself," denoting personality." Perceiving that the true principle of life is not the body or the outward form, but the breath or the spirit within, the ancient Aryans concluded that the world, also, is the body or the outward form of a breath, a soul, or a self within, which is its life.

See this illustrated in the Khandogya Upanethad, v.

<sup>\*</sup> R. V., L 03, S. \* Ibid., i. 162, 20; M. M., H. S. L., p. 30.

<sup>\*\*</sup> As we ourselves are governed by a soul, so haits the

"Who has seen the first-born, when he who has no bones (i.e., form) bare him who had bones? Where was the life, the blood, the soul (self) of the world? Who went to ask this from any man that knew it?"! Here the soul, the Åtman, of the world can only mean that self-existent, free, independent spirit, which, though the Rishis did not grasp it, is the life of all fives, and the moving power of all things. In this sense the sun is metaphorically said to be "the soul of all that moves and rests"; and likewise is the wind (Value) "the soul of all the gods and source of the world" (aims devinant bitarymann gardes)."

This idea of the Soul, or Self, of the world developed in the Brahmanas and Upanishads until it absorbed all other ideas and existencies,—until it was regarded as the only real entity. "In the beginning this (world) was Self alone; there was nothing else winking. He thought, Let me create the worlds, and he created the worlds." "As the web issues from the spider; as little sparks proceed from fire; so from the one Soul proceed all breathing animals, all worlds, all the gods, and all beings." "Being in this world, we may know the

world in like number a soul, that containeth it; and thin is called Zeus, being the cause of life to all things that live; and, therefore, Zeus or Jupiter is said to reign over all things 'Phoenubes, in Cudworth, Int. Sys., vol. i., 424).

R. V., i., 164, 4. "Ibid., i., 115, 1.

\* Ibid., x., 168, 4.

\* Attareya-aryanaka Upunishad, 4; i., 1, 2.

\* Brihadbeyanaka Up., ii., 1, 20; Mandaka Up., 1, 7.

Supreme Spirit; if there be ignorance of Him then complete death ensues, those who know Him become immortal."1 "Soul is the lord and king of all; as the spokes in the nave, so all worlds and souls are fastened in the one Soul." " When a person regards his own soul as truly god, as the lord of what was and is to be, then he does not wish to conceal himself from that Soul." "That Soul the gods adore as the light of lights, and as the immortal." "An flowing rivers are tearlived into the sea, losing their names and forms, so the wise, freed from name and form, pass into the Divine Spirit. which is greater than the great. He who knows that Supreme Spirit becomes spirit."1 "That divine Self is not to be grasped by tradition, nor by understanding, nor by all revelation. He whom the Self chooses, by him alone is the Self to be grasped." "That Self chooses him as his own." "Sages, endowed with meditation and intuition, saw the power of the Divine Self, concealed by his own qualities." In the Brihadaryanaka Upanishad! the sage Yajnavalkhya tella his favourite wife Maitrey), who desires to become immortal, that immortality consists in perceiving the Divine Spirit, Atma, the absolute Self, as the only existence.

Katha, Up., t., 2, 23.

\* Scelkensland Uf . 1. 3

Britadaryanaka Up., 4, 14, 4. Sectionatura Up., 5, 6.

<sup>\*</sup> Brikadaryanaha Up., il. 5, 13-

<sup>\*</sup> Bribadaryanaha, 4, 4, 15, %

Mundaka Up., iii., 2, 3, 9.

<sup>16, 4, 8, 11, 14, 13,</sup> 

"Whosoever looks for the Brahman class eisewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by the Brahman class. Whosoever looks for the Kshatriya class elsewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by the Kshatriya class. Whosoever looks for the worlds eisewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by the worlds. Whosoever looks for the Devas elsewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by the Devas. Whosoever looks for creatures elsewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by the creatures. Whosoever looks for everything elsewhere than in the Self, should be abandoned by everything. This Brahman class, this Kshatriya class, these worlds, these Devas, these creatures, this everything, all is that Self."

"As all waters find their centre in the sea, all touches in the skin, all tastes in the tongue, all smells in the nose, all colours in the eye, all sounds in the ear, all percepts in the mind, all knowledge in the heart, all actions in the hands, all movements in the feet, and all the Vedas in speech. As a lump of salt, when thrown into water, becomes dissolved into water, and could not be taken out again, but wherever we taste the water it is salt; thus verily, O Maitreyl, does this great Being, endless, unlimited, consisting of nothing but knowledge, rise from out these elements, and vanish again into them. When he has departed, there is no more knowledge, I say, O Maitreyl!" Thus spoke Yajnavalkhya.

Then Maitrey? said, "Here thou hast bewildered me, sir, when thou sayest that, having departed, there is no more knowledge".

But Yajnavalkhya replied, "O Maitreyi, I have said nothing that is bewildering. This is enough, O beloved, for wisdom."

"For when there is, as it were, duality, then one sees the other, one smells the other, one hears the other, one salutes the other, one perceives the other, one knows the other; but when the Self only is all this, how should be smell another, how should be see another, how should he hear snother, how should be salute another, how should be perceive another, how should be know another? How should be know him by whom he knows all this? How, O beloved, should be know (himself) the knower?"

"The aspirant must learn the falsity of plurality, the fictitious nature of duality in experience, and the sole reality of the super-sensible and unitary self. He must crush every sense, and suppress every thought, that his mind may become a mirror to reflect the pure, characterless being, thought and bliss."

2. Brauma. In the Rig-Veda Brahma, from a root signifying force, wish, or will, means prayer, or sacred text, because a subtle influence was supposed to accompany the utterance of a sacred formula, sufficiently strong to bend the gods, and make the act of sacrifice effectual.<sup>2</sup> And Brahman in the masculine means, "he of prayer. The man who utters prayers, the priest, and gradually

Gough's Philosophy of the Upanisheds, p. 138.

<sup>2</sup> R. V., L. 31, 18; L. 37, 4; L. 62, 13; L. 50, 10; H. 18, 7; H. 23, 1, 2; H. 39, 8; H. 14, 3; H. 51, 6; iv., 16, 20-1; B., 22, 1; v., 69, 7; vil. 21, 9; vil., 77, 4; vil., 78, 3; 3, 13, 1; 2, 54, 6; 4, 86, 5; 4, 105, 8;

the Brahman by profession.1 "Come, India, let us make prayers (brahmāni) which magnify thee." " A new prayer (bnikma sarya) has been made for thee "" "The prayer (brakma) is my protecting armour." Vak, the goddess of speech, says; "I myself make known this, which is agreeable both to gods and men. Him whom I love I make terrible, I make him a priest "4 (brahmanaw). "Indra is a priest" (brahma). "He it is whom they call a Rishi, a priest, a pinus sacrificer (rishim brahmanam pajnanyam)." Agni in R. V., vr., 16, is called "Brahmanaskave, which is explained montrarys subdayitar, " sounder or articulator of prayer," "The priests (brahmanah) magnify Indra by their praise." Brahms in the sense of a god does not appear in the Rig, the oldest Voda; but in the Atharva, the most recent, he is spoken of as a god " who dwells in the highest place, whose measure is the earth, whose belly is the atmosphere, whose head is the sky, and who is worthy of all reverence" # In the Brihmanas he is more fully developed, and is spoken of as the "firstborn," the "self-existent," the "creator of heaven and earth," and the "best of the gods","

No wonder that the ancient Hindus, who were so impressed with mysterious powers everywhere, delibed

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., h. ho, r.; ii., 2, 3; x., 45, 3; ii., 19, r; vii., 42, r; viii. 81, 30; ix., riz. r; x., 45, 29; vii., 103; i

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., ville, 51, 41 W., 10, 21. 1bid., vis. 75, 10.

<sup>\*</sup> Minder X., 123. 5. \* Hinda vin., 10, 71 x., 107. 6.

<sup>\*</sup> A.-Y., x., 7, 17, 24: 32. Satapatha beahmann, viii., 22.7. )

the power of prayer. They had already desired the Soma juice, in consequence of its atimulating effect, enabling men to do work beyond their natural strength. And now that they had unwavering faith in the almighty power of prayer, "to bend" the most intractable of the gods to grant whatever boon they asked, what was more natural than that they should deify it? What was more natural than to conclude that the power which controlled the gods, and the channel through which all biessings flowed to themselves, must be above all other powers, must in fact be "That One" who is above and beyond all existences?

Agni was before called Brahmanaspata, the "Lord of prayer," in two senses: he was the hearer of prayer as a god, and the presenter of prayer to the gods as mediator or High Priest. In the deification of prayer. Brahmanaspati disappeared. His function as the hearer of prayer was transferred to prayer personified (brahma). and his function as a High Priest or mediator was transferred to the Brahmans, the utterers of prayer This was the origin of both the god Brahma, which now holds the first place in the Hindu triad, and the Brahman caste, which has ruled India with a rod of iton for twenty-six centuries at least! What an awful degradation of religion to substitute the cold, unfeeling, metaphysical god, Brahma, for the bright, humans, sympathetic Agni, the "father, brother, and friend of all "; and to exchange the haughty disdainful Brahman, who considers himself defiled by contact with any one below houself in the social scale, for the High Priest

who, though god, condescended to be the " guest of every one," smiling on all without distinction !

Brahma grew and became the supreme god of the learned. He gradually absorbed the idea of Soul, whether individual or universal, and became identical with all existencies. In the Aitareya Upanishad it is said, that "Originally this universe was indeed Soul only, nothing else whatever existed":1 and then, in answer to the question-"What is this Soul?" it is stated, "This is Brahma; he is Indra; he is Projapati; these gods are he and so are the five primary elements . . . Whatever lives, or walks, or flies, or what is immovable, all that is the eye of intelligence. . . . Intelligence in Brahma the great one."1 "All the universe is Brahma; from him it springs, into him it is dissolved; in him it breathes-so meditate thou with a calm mind."2 "As from blazing fire, sparks, being like unto lire, fly forth a thousandfold, thus are various beings brought forth from the Imperishable, and return hither also," 1 "Lord of the Universe, glory to Thee; Thou art the Self of all; Thou art the maker of all, the enjoyer of all: Thou art life, and the lord of all pleasure and joy." Here Self both divine and human, as well as all existencies, are gathered up in Brahma. Max Müller says, "It was an epoch in the history of the human mind when the identity of the masculine Self and the neutral Brahma was

Altaneya Upi, ii., 4, 1, 1,

<sup>1</sup> Think, II., 6, 3, 6.

<sup>\*</sup> Khaud. Up., iil., 14, 1, 2.

<sup>4</sup> Mandak, Up., li., 1, 1

<sup>&</sup>quot;Maille. Be. Up., 3. L.

for the first time perceived; and the name of the discoverer has not been forgotten. It was Sandilya who declared that the Self within our hearts is Brahma, "1

Then, after identifying the two ideas Atman and Brahma-both are resolved into the original "That" of R.-V., 129, 2, 3, "All worlds are contained in it (Brahma), and no one goes beyond. 'That ." "As the one fire, after it has entered the world, through one, becomes different according to whatever it burns, thus the one Self within all beings becomes different according to whatever it enters, and exists also without." "There is one ruler, the Self, within all things, who makes the one form manifold. The wise who perceive him within their self, to them belongs eternal happiness; not to others" "There is one eternal thinker, thinking non-eternal thoughts, who, though one, fulfils the desires of many, The wise who perceive him within their self, to them belongs eternal peace; not to others." "They perceive that highest, indescribable pleasure, saying, This in That " " !

One of the arguments we adduced in proof of the priority of Varuna to other Aryan gods, was the fact that the ethical consciousness of sin is more prominent and intense in the hymns addressed to him than in the hymna addressed to other gods. We have historical

<sup>1</sup> Hat. Anc. Sam. Lit., p. 223.

<sup>\*</sup> Kuthe, Up., il., 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14; 6, 1

evidence that Brahma is the last divine conception of the Vedas; and it is a noteworthy fact that with the disappearance of the conception of God as a personality, the ethical consciousness of sin also disappeared. For, apart from a personal God, there can be no sin as the transgression of an objective law. Much less can there be when a man is regarded as only the fictitious appearance of one infinite characteriesa entity, called Brahma, which is "neither effect nor cause, neither past nor future; which is without sound, without fouch, without form, without decay, without smell, without beginning, without end"; which is "without breath, without mind, pure, higher than the highest-Imperishable ".: "It is not woman, it is not man, nor is it neuter; whatever body it takes, with that it is ioined"

We may suitably close this section in the words of Dr. Christlieb, "If the thoughts of the old Hindus did sometimes use from the contemplation of various defined natural phenomena to that of one primal cause of all things, this cause was regarded not as the one God, but as an impersonal undefined existence, of which all that could be said was, that is not what it is; with which, therefore, every personal communion in prayer would be impossible". This quite agrees with the Brihadhryanaka

<sup>\*</sup> Kathii, Up., 1, 2, 13.

\* Nundaka Up., 11, 1, 2,

\* Sortäsvatara Up., v. 10.

\* Modern Doubt and Christian Bellef.

Upanishad, which says that the teaching of Boshma is "No, No"."

## 1 3. The Origin of the Vedic Concept God.

To a superficial observer, the Vedic gods appear nothing more than natural phenomena personified and worshipped Or, in the language of Professor Max Müller. They are masks without an actor, the creations of man, not his creator, they are nomina, not numina, names without beings, not beings without names. Comparative Philoology has disclosed their original physical import, and the myths of ages, which had clustered around them, have been scattered like darkness before the dawn. Let Hindus ponder this, and they will be convinced that the religion of their ancestors in the far-off Vedic age has not been altogether maptly denominated. Physiolatry

And yet we should be doing injustice to that religion by representing it as nothing more than "Physiolarry". No religion has ever existed without recognising the supersensuous or supernatural. Even the lowest fetish worshippers do not worship a common atone or a common piece of bone, but stones and bones which are supposed to possess some invisible, superhuman power. And so the Vedic religion was not all nature, but nature and the supernatural blended so mysteriously that the

High. Ar., till, 9, 50.

one could not be distinguished from the other.\) Were it all nature, there would be no room for personification, since personification is the ascription of human life and activities to objects not naturally possessing them. The Vedic Arvana, by personifying and worshipping the objects of nature, show that they were conscious of dependence upon, and relationship to, something higher than nature. Personification implies the knowledge of a person, and the personification of a natural object as an object of worthip implies the possession of the concept, more or less clear, of what we denominate God.

The questions then arise—What is the nature of this concept? How was it formed? What was the genesis of the idea of God? Did man originally evolve it out of his ignorance of the unknown causes of the order and eccentricities of natural phenomena, which struck him with wonder and awe? or from frightful dreams, the result of over-eating? or is it the natural and inevitable product of the human mind when in contact with the external world? Ex withto withit fit, or, as the Hindu philosophers put it. Nanatuno varia siddit? The cause must be adequate to produce the effect. Unless man, therefore, were endowed with the power or faculty to conceive and to adore some invisible superhuman Being, he could no more evolve the idea of such a Being from gross ignorance, rude fears, or frightful dreams, than the dog or the

the material and the spiritual are still blended together in their conceptions." (Johnson's Avaitic Religions).

monkey. What we want to know is the origin of the faculty or the tendency which irresistibly leads man to recognise and worship some object as God. This tendency, present everywhere, like a west running through the warp of human history, expresses an eternal fact, viz., that man is constituted a religious being. This tendency is as inseparable from his nature as the tendency to express his thoughts in articulate speech. Hence he grows into religion as naturally and unconsciously as he grows into manhood. He no sooner wakes to the consciousness that he is a being separate from nature, than he feels his dependence upon, and moral relationship to, some Being above nature, whose smiles are his joy, whose frowns are his woe. This is the first sense of the Godhead, the sensus numinis; "a sense sublime of something far more deeply interfused:" a sense, not the result of reasoning or generalisation, but an immediate perception, as real and irresistible as that of the ego. "In perceiving the Infinite, we neither count, nor measure, nor compare, nor name. We know not what it is, but we know that it is, and we know it because we actually feel it, and are brought in contact with it. If it seems too bold to say that man actually sees the invisible, let us say that he suffers from the invisible, and this invisible is only a special name for the Infinite." And as man is conscious of the am before knowing what man is, so he is conscious of the supernatural before knowing what God is. This is necessarily

<sup>1</sup> Max Müller's Hibbert Lectures, p. 38.

a very vague and incomplete idea of the Godhead, so vague us to clude definition, and so incomplete as not even to be named. Herodotus tells us that the Pelasgians for a long time offered prayers and sacrifices to the gods without having names for any one of them; and, according to Tacitus, the ancient Germans worshipped God as "that secret thing known only by reverence"; and we have seen that some of the Vedic bards express their consciousness of Him by the phrase. "The One" or "That One". A venerable old Brah-

"With the first development of consciousness, there grows up, as a part of it, the innate feeling that our life, natural and spiritual, is not in our power to succein or prolong; that there is One above us on whom we are dependent, whose existence we learn, and whose presence we realise, by the sure lostinet of prayer." Again, "We are compelled by the constitution of our mind to believe in the existence of an Absolute and Inunite Being, a belief which appears forced apon us as the complement of our consciousness of the relative and the finite" (Manuel's Bampton Lutures, pp. 81, 451. And Sir William Hamilton, though maintaining that "the absolute is conceived merely by a negative of conceivability," (smarks that, "by a wonderful revelation we are thus in the very conscinusness of our inability to conceive aught above the relative and finite. inspired with a belief in the existence of something unconditioned, beyond the sphere of all comprehension". And Herbert Spencer says, "Besides that definite consciousness of which Logic formulates the laws, there is also an indefinite consciousness which cannot be formulated. Besides complete thoughts, and besides the thoughts which, though incomplete, admit of completion, there are thoughts which it is impossible to complete, and yet which are still real, in the sense that they are normal affections of the mind" (First Principles, p. 88).

man told us once, with feelings of deep concern, "1 am very glad that you have come to my village to-day, for you will tell me about that 'Great One whom all should worship. I am greatly perplexed about Him. I know that He is, but I do not know who He is, or where to find Him." Then pointing to the sun, he said, "I have been looking for Him there, but cannot find Him; and in this dol," pointing to the image of Vishnu in the temple, "but He is not there. I have searched for Him in this book"-holding up the Vishnu Purkna-"but cannot find Him." And so the ancient Aryana, not knowing Him of whose existence they were certain, tried to find Him everywhere in the phenomena of nature. They personified these; for an object of worship must be a person capable of knowing, of feeling, and of exercising influence, to whom they could pray and offer sacrifice.1 "It is only by conceiving Him as a conscious Being that we can stand in any religious relation to Him; that we can form such a representation of Him as is demanded by our spiritual wants, insufficient though it be to satisfy our intellectual corrosity "?

But though the Vedic Aryana were ignorant of God as a delimite Being, separate from natural phenomena, they

<sup>&</sup>quot;Veneration or gratitude towards any being implies belief in the conscious action of that being implies ascription of a prompting mative of a high kind, and deeds resulting from it; gratitude cannot be entertained towards immething which is unconscious" (Herbert Spencer, Vineteenth Century, for July, 1864).

<sup>4</sup> Manuel's Bampton Lictures, pp. 57, 50-

possessed a rentarkably accurate knowledge of the actions and attributes which pre-eminently belong to Him. They ascribed to the personified elements of nature the functions of Creator, Preserver, and Ruler; and the attributes of Infinity. Omnipotence, Omniscience, Immortality, Righteousness, Holiness, and Mercy. This knowledge is far more definite and extensive than that given in the senum numeris. How did they acquire it? An answer to this question will make clear both the validity of our definition of the "first sense of the Godbead," and the means by which it was developed, so as to embrace the leading characteristics of the Deity. There are only three answers conceivable, viz.: (1) They acquired it by Intuition, (2) by Experience, or (3) by Revelation.

1. Did they acquire it by Intuition? We have already stated what knowledge of God we conceive man capable of acquiring by intuition; viz., a vague and indefinite idea of the supernatural in the natural, of some Being above on whom he depends, and to whom he owes homage. But who that Being is, and what His attributes are, he has no means of knowing. If this be correct, it follows that the ancient Aryans did not acquire

The religious sentiment, as Mansel says, "which impels men to believe in and worship a Supreme Being, is an evidence of His extractor, but not an exhibition of His character". And again, "The conviction that an Infinite Being exists seems forced upon us by the manifest incompleteness of our finite knowledge, but we have no rational means whatever of determining what is the nature of that Boing"

their knowledge of the divine functions and attributes by intuition. But in order to test the correctness of this position, let us suppose, with some Philosophers and Theologians, that man possesses a power of intuition transcending that of the tensus numinis, by means of which he is able, so to speak, to gaze immediately on God; and to this power let us ascribe the Vedic knowledge of the divine functions and attributes. Or, in other words, let us suppose that as man acquires his knowledge of the external world, because his senses give. him the intuition of it, so he has the knowledge of Godbecause he has a higher power of intuition, by which he directly perceives Him. On this supposition, the Vedic Aryans must have acquired such knowledge of God as is possible for man to acquire, via., as a personal Being separate from nature, yet immanent in it, and possessing the functions and attributes which they ascribed to Him. For in a mental intuition of this kind, it is inconceivable that one can acquire knowledge of the divine attributes without at the same time acquiring knowledge of the divine Account to whom they belong,1

It is historically true, however, that the Vedic Aryans did not know such a Being, but only His attributes and functions, which they applied indiscriminately to all the gods of their Pantheon, the defield elements of nature.

<sup>&</sup>quot;It is rigarously impossible to conceive that our knowledge is a knowledge of Appearances only, without at the same time conceiving a Reality of which they are appearances, for appearance without reality is unthinkable "(Harbert Spencer's First Principles, p. 55)

All these gods are alike Supreme, Creators, Preservers. Omnipotent. Omniscient. Beneficent. Immortal. "Among you, O gods, there is none that is small, none that is young; for all are great indeed."1 Heaven and Earth are said to be the parents of the gods, not only of the inferior ones, but of the great gods, Indra, Agni, and Sūrya, and each of these again is said to be the Creator of Heaven and Earth, as well as of all things visible and invisible. "Indra is greater than all;" "Agni comprehends all the gods as the circumference of a wheel does its spokes". Surva is the concentration of all power in one, the wonderful host of rays;" "the eye of Mitra, Varuna, Agni;" "soul of all that moves or rests "." Varuna is the lord of all, of Heaven and Earth; and yet was mirsed in the lap of Aditi. Soma "generates all the gods, and upholds the worlds". "He is the maker of Heaven and Earth, of Agni, of Surya, of Indra, and of Vishnu."4 "Visvakarman is wise and pervading, Creator, Disposer, Father, highest object of vision."5 The Dawn is the "mother of the gods, eye of all the earth, light of the sacrifice ". Aditi is not only the mother of all the gods, but is identical with all that exists: and yet Aditi is both the mother and the daughter of Daksha. "Daksha was born of Aditi, and Aditi from Daksha." "For Aditi was born, O Daksha, she who is thy daughter; after her the gods were born,

<sup>1</sup> R-V., vill., 30, 1

<sup>2</sup> Hid., v., 15, 0.

<sup>\*</sup> Hill., L. 113, 1.

<sup>·</sup> Ibid., 12., 90, 3. 6.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. x. 82, 1, 3.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., 113, 19.

the blessed who share immortality." Then these gods are matually interchangeable. "Thou, Agni, art Indra. art Vishnu, becamest Mitta when kindled; in thee, the son of strength, are all the gods." Indra says, "I was Manu, I am the sun, I am the wise Rishi Kakshivan".

It might be objected that the cosmical functions of these gods are sufficient to account for the similarity of their description, and the interchangeableness of their names. True: but that only proves that the Vedic Aryans were ignorant of the true God, though they possessed a knowledge more or less clear of His attributes and functions.

It might be affirmed that the personality of God was originally apprehended by the soul, but that in course of time it gradually faded away so as to leave nothing behind but His attributes. But (a) this is inconsistent with the supposition that man possesses a power transconding that of the sensus numinis, by means of which he directly perceives God. For as long as man is conscious, he must be conscious of that power; and if that power once supplied him with the knowledge of God and His attributes, there is no reason to conclude that it will not always do so. (6) Had the Vedic Aryans acquired their knowledge of the divine attributes and functions by intuition, and assuming that that intuition implies a knowledge of the divine Person, and that the mental and spiritual necessities of man are similar throughout the world, it is natural to suppose that all other nations would have

<sup>1</sup> ReV., \$4, 72, 4, 5. 2 Ibid., ib., 2, 3; v., 5, 1.
1 Ibid., iv., 2b, 1.

acquired divine knowledge in the same way. There is no fact, however, better known to the students of ancient Religious and Mythologies than that no individuals—much less nations—when left to themselves, have ever acquired anything like a clear and certain conception of a Supreme Personal Being distinct from nature. "Even Plato did not make his way up to the idea of a divine, self-conscious, Personal Being, nor even distinctly propounded the question of the personality of God. It is true that Aristotle maintained more definitely than Plato that the Deity must be a personal Being. But even for him, it was not an absolute, free, creative power, but one limited by primordial matter; not the world's Creater, but only one who gave ahape to the rude materials, and so not truly absolute."

2. If the Vedic Aryans did not acquire their knowledge of the divine functions and attributes intuitively, did they acquire it empirically? We acquire knowledge by experience, by what we feel, hear and see. All knowledge is either produced or occasioned by sense and reason. And from one point of view there is nothing in the intellect except what has passed through these two avenues. But sense and reason, we are told, are finite, and deal only with finite things, and hence whatever transcends these limits is unknown and unknowable. And as the idea of God transcends the apprehension of sense and the comprehension of reason, it is pronounced a mere hallucination, and the grand attributes ascribed to Him are said

Dr. Christlieb's Modern Daubt and Christian Belief, p. 78.

to be nothing more than the vague creations of poetic exuberance. But why man in every age and in every part of the world should entertain the idea of God, and clothe it with the highest attributes, is on this theory a problem unsolved.

Besides, is it not a fact that all men are conscious of some things which transcend both their sense and reason, though their sense and reason were doubtless the occasion, or the factors, which produced that consciousness? Are we not all conscious of infinite space, and infinite time, either as an inference from, or an intuition by, the finite space and time supplied us by the senses? When we look into space as far as we can see, we can neither fix its beginning nor its ending. And when we contemplate time, whether we look backward or forward, there is always a beyond and a before Both time and space are to us boundless, infinite. We are so constituted, that wherever we fix the boundary of either, we are conscious of time and space beyond. It is obvious, then, that we have the concept of infinite space, and the concept of infinite time, both of which are supersensuous, though both are either elaborated from, or occusioned by, sensuous

Herbert Spencer says, "Positive knowledge does not, and never can, fill the whole region of possible thought. At the attermist reach of discovery there arises, and must ever arise, the question—What lies beyond? As it is impossible to think of a limit to space so as to exclude the idea of space lying outside that limit, so we cannot conceive of any explanation profound enough to exclude the question—What is the explanation of the explanation?" (First Principles, p. 88).

impressions.) The conclusions of experience are wider than its data. Hence there appears to be no a priari reason why the Vedic Aryana should not have acquired their knowledge of the divine attributes and functions by the impressions of sense and the reflections of reason—the mind in contact with the external world.

We have seen already how they apparently acquired the concept of the Infinite by contemplating the boundlessness of the Firmament, from which the dawn and the sun flashed forth every morning, to which they gave expression in Aditi

The regularity with which the heavenly hodies move, the succession of day and night, and the periodical recurrence of the seasons, within the sphere of Varuna, the Heaven-God, might have suggested the idea that he is the Ruler of all things visible and invisible, whose laws, prater, are fixed and unassailable.

The permanence of the Firmament as contrasted with the visible movements of the sun, moon, and stars, the

Hobbes calls the idea of the Infinite an absurd speech, because we have no conception of anything we call infinite (Leviathan, i., j). What Herbert Spenter says of the "Absolute" is an answer to Hobbes, substituting the "Infinite" for the "Absolute". "To say that we cannot know the 'Infinite' is by implication to affirm that there is an Infinite. In the very decial of our power to learn what the Infinite is, there lies hidden the assumption that it is, and the making of this assumption proves that the Infinite has been present to the mind, not us nothing, but as something "First Pranciples, p. 88).

<sup>\*</sup> R. V., ii., 27, 10? v., 83, 5; vil., 87, 6; iii., 34, 18.

clouds, and storms, and the changes and bustle of this noisy world, might have originated the idea of Undecaying (ugara), Immortal (ameria), or Eternal.

Again, when contemplating the Heaven-God, enthroned high above the earth, with the sun, moon, and stars, as eyes penetrating the darkness, and seeing all that takes place in the world below, what is more natural than that they should call him Asura Viscousierus, the All-knowing Spirit, or the Omniscient?

Moreover, perceiving that light and form, colour and beauty, emerge every morning, out of a gloom in which all objects seem confounded, the Vedic Aryans might suppose that in like manner the brightness, order and beauty of the world, had sprung from darkness, in which the elements of all things had existed in indistinguishable chaos. And since it is the sun that disperses the darkness of the night, and gives back to man the Heaven and the Earth every morning, it is easy to understand how they might have concluded that the sun brought them forth from the original chaos, and hence that he is their Creator.

Again, the bright light of the sun calls men from their slumber every morning, and with its warm glow enlivens the world, and causes the earth to bring forth her fruits; and so it is conceivable that the idea of Preserver or Enlivener originated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. V., vi., 70, 1, 2. 
<sup>2</sup> Ibid., viii., 42, 1; vii., 60, 3, 6; i., 50, 2, 7

<sup>3</sup> Ibid., z., 129. 
<sup>4</sup> Ibid., i., 115, 1; x., 170, 4.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid., i., 115, 1; vii., 63, 2, 4.

Lastly, by applying superlative epithets to the sun, it would become supreme, "god among gods, and the divine leader of all the gods"; and so the conception of Omnipotence might have been formed.

Now it is conceivable that in this way the functions of Creator, Preserver, and Ruler, and the attributes of Infinity. Omnipotence, Omniscience and Eternity might have been empirically acquired. And as it is natural to suppose that all the excellent qualities of which man is conscious as existing in himself, must necessarily exist in the same manner, but in an infinitely higher degree, in the object of his worship, we may conceive that thus the moral attributes of Holmess, Justice, Mercy, Love and Goodness ascribed to God might have been acquired.

When we say that it is conceivable that the Vodic Aryans acquired their knowledge of the divine attributes and functions empirically, we must remember that it is conceivable by at who already possess a knowledge of them; and hence bring that knowledge to the contemplation of natural phenomena. It was very different with the Veille Aryans, for they, at hypothesi, had no such antecedent knowledge. All that they possessed was the consciousness of the supernatural in the natural, which they could neither define, nor separate from the natural, and which, consequently, they worshipped together with the natural as a person. The question then arises—Is it probable that they, starting with that consciousness only, elaborated their knowledge of the divine attributes and functions

R. V., t., 50, to; riii., 90, tz. See Professor Max Müller's

from the impressions of sense and the reflections of reason?

Let us suppose that they did so; and the conclusion is inevitable that they possessed a marvellous power of abatraction and generalisation, a power equal to that of the best thinkers of the present age. There is nothing a priori impossible in that; but we may reasonably ask (a) Is the possession of such a power consistent with the historical fact that they were not conscious of the contradiction involved in the ascription of infinite attributes to many individuals? Is it at all probable that a people capable of observing so uncurately, and of reasoning so vigorously, us to acquire the concept of the Infinite, of the Omnipotent, and of the Omniscient, could at the same time be so weak and childish as not to perceive the contradiction involved in ascribing minite attributes to more than one ! 1 The contradiction, involved in the co-existence of deities, which by their attributes limit and exclude one another, cannot be resolved into mere

<sup>1.</sup> It is clear that the Authors (of the Hymns) had not attained to a distinct and logical comprehension of the characteristics which they ascribed to the objects of their adoration. On the one hand, the attributes of infinity, oinnipotence, omnipresence; are ascribed to different beings, or to the same being under the various names of Purusha, Skambha, Brahma, Hiranyagarbha, etc. And yet, in other places, these qualities are represented as subject to limitations, and those divine beings themselves are said to expand by food, to be produced from other beings (as Purusha from Viraj), to be swelficed, to be produced from tapas, or to perform tapas." (Muir's Samerif Texts, vol. v., p. 411).

exaggerated expressions intered in the cextatic fervour of prayer and praise—poetical exuberance—for in that case it is not probable that such expressions would have been calmly collected, and preserved in such large numbers, without betraying the consciousness of their contradictory character in some "note" or "comment". Neither does it appear possible to refer the inconsistency to different epochs or diversities of worship; for it is undoubtedly the distinguishing feature of the whole Vedic theology, which has been strikingly expressed by Professor Max Multer in the following words, "Each god is to the mind of the suppliant as good as all the gods. He is felt at the time as Supreme and Absolute, in spite of the necessary limitations, which to our mind a plurality of gods must entail on every single god."

(b) Is the possession of the power of abstraction and generalisation, implied in the empirical acquisition of the knowledge of the divine attributes and functions, consistent with the historical fact that they never grasped the idea of God as a perum separate from nature, to whom about these attributes belong? We have seen that in obedience to the imperious tendency of the human mind, which leads it to logical unity, the old Devas, the old gods of nature, were discarded, and only "One" without a second affirmed (cha cha advitigant). We have seen also that the "One" of the Upanishads—the Atman or Brahms—is nothing more than the indefinite abstraction of Being in general, without any distinguishing characteristics to constitute a Deity. "For how should mortal man be wiser than the Juana-Kand, which tells as how

Brahma is bodiless and activeless, passiveless, calm, naqualified, unchanged, pure life, pure thought, pure lov : "1 Brahma is " irresistible, impalpable, without kindred, without colour, has neither eyes nor ears, neither hands nor feet, imperiahable, manifested in infinite variety, present everywhere, self-luminous without and within, without origin, without vital breath or thinking faculty "." This is not the unity of a living being, which underlies the unity of Religion, but the unity of thought, which constitutes the unity of Philosophy. The unity of the former is Monotheism, the unity of the latter is Monism. The highest abstraction of Religion is a Personal God, invisible, yet felt; distinct from nature, yet immanent in it; the Creator and Sustainer of all things, and yet possessing qualities which appeal to the tenderest and noblest susceptibilities of the human heart. The highest abstraction of Philosophy is a great essence, an infinite and eternal energy, from which all things proceed, an impersonal. neuter Brahma, the totality of all existence. This Brahma is not the abstract of any one group of thoughts, ideas, or conceptions; it is the abstract of all thoughts, ideas, or conceptions. It is analogous to the word "existence " in Western Philosophy. For that which is common to all thoughts, ideas or conceptions, and cannot be got rid of, is what we predicate by the word "existence" Dissociated, as this becomes, from each of its modes, by the perpetual change of those modes, it remains an indefinite con-

Sir Edwin Arnold's Light of Ana.

Mundaka Upanishad, 1, 6) ii. 2, 5.

sciousness of something constant under all modes; of being apart from its appearances—the permanent element in nature of J. S. Mill. The sages of the Upanishads grasped the idea of existence—of something constant under all modes—which they called Brahma. But they went further. They denied the reality of all modes, regarding the world as phenomenal only, and all things therein as fictitious emanations from Brahma, like mirage from the rays of the sun. "All living things are only the One Self fictitiously limited to this or that fictitious mind or body, and return into the Self as soon as the fictitious limitation disappears."

One cannot usest too strongly on the distinction between the highest abstraction of Philosophy and the highest abstraction of Religion; for many eminent writers, by failing to appreciate this distinction, have fallen into the error of identifying the Monism of the Upanishada with the Monothelam of the Bible! Hence they have altogether failed to apprehend the highest result of religious and speculative thought in India during the Vedic age.

But lest it be thought that we are exaggerating this distinction, to the disparagement of the sages of the Vedas, let us quote the following mighty words from Mr. Gough's Philosophy of the Upanishads, a most masterly book on the highest speculations of the Vedic Aryans. If we are to use the language of European Philosophy.

Gough's Philosophy of the Upanishmes, p. 104.

<sup>\*</sup> Pp. 41, 42.

we must pronounce the Brahma of the Upanishads to be snowcows, for consciousness begins where duality begins. The ideal or spiritual reality of Brahma is not convertible with conscious spirit. On the contrary, the spiritual reality, that, according to the poets of the Upanishads, underlies all things, has yer at no cognition of objects; it lies beyond duality. It is true that these poets speak of it as existence, intelligence, beatitude. But we must be cautious. Brahma is not intelligence in our sense of the word. The intelligence, the thought, that is the Self, and which the Self is, is described as eternal knowledge, without objects, the imparting of light to the cognitions of migrating sentiences. This thought is characterless and eternal; their cognitions are charactered, and come and go Brahma is heatitude But we must again be cautious. Brahma is not bestitude in the ordinary sense of the word. It is a bliss beyond the distinction of subject and object, a bliss the poets of the Upanishads liken to dreamless sleep. Brahma per ar is neither god nor conscious god; and on this it is necessary to insist, to exclude the baseless analogies to Christian theology that have sometimes been imagined by writers, Indian and European. He it then repeated that the Indian Philosophers everywhere affirm that Brafinia is knowledge; that this knowledge is without an object known, and that omniscience is predicable of Brahma only by a metaphor. If we were to interpret such knowledge by the word 'congiousness,' we should still have to say that Brahma is consciousness, not that Brahma has consciousness, or is a conscious spirit."

How far such a conception of the Supreme Being is from the Biblical conception of God, I need not indicate I wish to point out, however, that, in so far as the Vedic Aryana gave up the idea of God as a living, energising, sympathising Person, they lost ground from a religious point of view. For, as Mansel says, "Personality with all its limitations, though far from exhibiting the absolute nature of God as He is, is yet truer, grander, more elevating. more religious, than those barren, vague, meaningless abstractions, in which men babble about nothing under the name of the Infinite. Personal conscious existence, limited though it be is yet the noblest of all existence of which man can dream; for it is that by which all existence is revealed to him, it is grander than the grandest object which man can know; for it is that which knows, not that which is known."1

(r) Is the supposition that the Vedic Aryans elaborated the divine attributes and functions from the impressions of sense and the reflections of reason consistent with the historical order of thought found in the Vedas? Man, in the mental, us well as in the physical, world, has to proceed slowly, and conquer everything gradually, by the "aweat of his brow". If the Vedic Aryans, therefore, thought out the divine attributes and functions, it is reasonable to suppose that they did so gradually; and we might expect to see one concept following another, and each concept in the process of evolution, and consequently the fully developed concepts at the end. The reverse,

<sup>1</sup> Hampion Lectures, p. 57.

however, is the order of thought revealed in the Vedas. There we find the concepts of the divine attributes and functions fully developed in the Mantras, or object portions of the Vedas; whereas in the Upanishads, the latest portions, they are dissipated, one after the other, till nothing is left but Virginia Brahma,—Brahma, without qualities, predicates, or determinations,—a something to be defined by "No, No". At the beginning we find Heaven-Father; and at the end a characteriesa Abstraction!

We have seen already that the loftlest conception of God, in conjunction with the most intense ethical consciousness of sin, found expression in Varona, the oldest god of the Aryans; and that, during the long interval between Varuna and Brahma, that conception was gradually corrupted, until in Brahma it was lost, and with it the ethical consciousness of sin became wellnigh, if not altogether, extinct. We have no reason to believe that that corruption began with the Vedic age: but, on the contrary, there are many indications that it had begun at a much earlier period. Both Varuna and Dyans, the most ancient gods of the undivided Aryans, appear in the oldest portions of the Vedus as fully developed mythological persons. Varuna is associated with the Adityas, and Dyaus is wedded to Prithivi. Now, If Mythology be, as Professor Max Müller hays, a disease of language which presupposes a healthy frame, it is obvious that a long time was necessary to confound the "god of heaven" with the material heaven, and to transform the latter into the mythological forms which

find expression in Varuna and Dyaus. It is evident, then, (i) That the higher up to the source of the Vedic religion we push our inquiries the purer and simpler we find the conception of God; and (2) That in proportion as we come down the stream of time the more corrupt and complex we find it. We conclude, therefore, that the Vedic Aryans did not acquire their knowledge of the divine attributes and functions emperically, for in that case we should find at the end what we now find at the beginning. Hence we must seek for a theory which will account alike for the acquisition of that knowledge, the God-like conception of Varuna, and for that gradual deprayation which calminated in Brahma.

3. And what theory will cover these facts as well as the doctrine of a "Primitive Revelation "?" If we admit,

The oldest record of man in Genesia represents him as created in the image of God, and holding intercourse with his Creatur as a son with his father. And the traditions of all nathma testify to a golden age in the far-off past, when men lived happily in converse with God. May Müller says, " It is a constant saying among African tribes, that 'formerly Heaven was nearer to men than it is now; that the highest God, the Creator, Himself, gave formerly Jessons of wisdom to human beings; but that afterwards He withdrew from them, and dwells now far from them in Heaven'. The Hindus say the same (K.-Va. in 170, 2; vii., 76, a); and they, as well as the Greeks, appeal to their encestors, who had lived in closer community with the gods, as their authority on what they believe about the gods" (Hibbert Lectures, p. 175). And the Duke of Argyll says, that "Everywhere in the imagination and iradition of manking there is preserved the memory and the belief in a past better than the present. It is not easy to

on the authority of the Hible, that God revealed Himself originally to man, the knowledge of the divine attributes possessed by the Vedic Aryans would be a "reminiscence". And if, on the authority of both the Bible and consciousness, we admit the sinful tendency of human nature, which makes the retention of divine knowledge a matter of difficulty or aversion, it is easy to conceive that the idea of God, as a Spiritual Personal Being, would gradually become hazy, and ultimately disappear from the memory; while His attributes would survive, like broken fragments of a once united whole. God is a spirit distinct from nature; and the difficulty is to retain that characteristic, in spite of the powerful tendency of the mind to contemplate existencies as having the properties of extension in space and time. And when this characteristic is forgotten, and material objects are substituted in its place, the divine attributes naturally pass over to these objects, and by association are remembered.

There is a great law or principle in the spiritual, as well as in the natural, world, viz., the principle by which an organism neglecting to develop itself, or failing to maintain what has been bestowed upon it, deteriorates, and becomes more and more adapted to a degenerate form of life. Under the operation of this law, the ancient Aryans (as well as all other nations), neglecting to cultivate spiritual religion, lost the knowledge of God as a Supreme Personal Being separate from nature, which

conceive how a belief so universal could have arisen, unless as a survival. It has all the marks of being a memory, and not an imagination" (Contemporary Review, for June, 1851).

had been bestowed upon them, and dissected the Infinite One into many finite ones, giving a characteristic to each.<sup>3</sup> Or, in the words of Scripture, <sup>3</sup> They changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed for ever <sup>3</sup>.<sup>2</sup>

This being the case, we must believe that, when applying the divine attributes to the personified elements and forces of nature, the Vedic Aryans were using language

"If we neglect a garden plant, then a natural principle of deterioration comes in, and changes it into a wrase plant. And if we neglect a bird, by the same imperious law it will be gradually changed into an ugiter bird. Or if we neglect almost any of the domestic animals, they will rapidly revert to wild and worthless forms again.

"Man is no exception to this law. If a man neglect himself for a few years, he will change into a worse man and a lower man. If it is his body that he neglects, he will deteriorate into a wind and bestial savage—like the dehumanised man who are discovered sometimes upon desert islands. If it is his mind, it will degenerate into imbedility and madness. If he neglect his conscience, it will run off into lawlessness and vice. Or, lastly, if it is his soul, it must inevitably alrophy, drop off in rain and decay "(Prof. Drummond, Natural Law in the Spiritual World, p. 90).

Under the operation of this law, it is possible for those who have been blessed with Old Testament Revolution to become so degraded as to lose all knowledge of God. Mr. A. S. Anand, the agent of the National Bible Society of Scotland for North China, met the descendants of some Jews in Honan, who "could not give him the faintest idea of what they believed". "They seem to have entirely given up their old worship, base lost all knowledge of the God of Israel, and base nothing but the memory of what they once were to distinguish them." Their forefathers entered China during the Han Dynasty, no 200 to 1.0, 250.

Romans, L. 25.

the full meaning of which they did not understand. This is self-evident: for had they understood its full meaning, they would have been conscious of the contradiction involved in ascribing infinite attributes to more than one being. The language is an echo of a purer worship in the primeval home. It is applicable to the true God alone. It has no meaning when applied to any one, or any thing else. It is the language of monotheism, and monotheism was the "primitive religion".

Professor H. H. Wilson says, "There can be no doubt that the fundamental doctrine of the Vedas is monotheism." And Professor Max Müller, in his History of Ancient Similar Literature, says, "There is a monotheism that precedes the polytheism of the Veda. The idea of God, though never entirely lost, had been clouded over by error. The names given to God had been changed to gods, and their real meaning had faded away from the memory of man." M. Adolphe Pictet, in the second volume of his great work. Les Origines Industrial Europeanus, gives it as his opinion, that the religion of the undivided Aryans was "a monotheism more or less vaguely defined". And both Pictet and Müller maintain that traces of the primitive monotheism are visible in the

"To say that primitive man was too low down in the scale of avolution to receive religious conceptions, such as the doctrine of a "primitive revolution" implies, is no calle objection against the theory. For man must have always possessed the chief characteristic which distinguishes him from all other creatures, viz., mond, the power of thinking, or, as Locke says, of having general ideas.

<sup>2</sup> Rampi, vol. Il., p. 51.

Vedas; that " the remembrance of a God, one and infinite, breaks through the mist of an idolatrous phraseology like the blue aky that is hidden by a passing cloud". Baron Bunsen, in his God in History, says, "The socalled Nature-mythology is not the original element in religion, as many now-a-days seem once more disposed to assume, who think they can dispense with all philosophical culture. Religion can no more than Language have been the product of a misunderstanding. It is a contradiction to all the laws of thought to imagine that the necessary universal expression of the religious consciousness can be a mere mental fallacy. How could both Religion and Language be universal, and develop themselves organically, if they were not based upon reason? Mythology has sprung up gradually out of a poetic, childlike, yet deeply significant playing of the mund with metaphors. But afterwards usage, legend, mystical teaching, have crystallised what was at first nothing more than a simile, while its real essence comes to be no longer understood, or is only seen under a mystical or distorted aspect."

Is it not philosophically true that polytheism presupposes monotheism? "Is it true, as many seem to suppose, that polytheism is older than monotheism? Is it not likely that the simple belief is older than the more complex?" Can the concept many precede the concept one? Is not plurality the aggregate of units? What is the development of thought as seen in children? Is it not from one to two, from the singular to the plural, from the simple to ecomplex, from unity to diversity. and then, by generalisation, into abstract unity? It is obvious, therefore, that the knowledge of the divine attributes and functions possessed by the Vedic Aryana was neither the product of Intuition nor Experience, but a "Survival," the result of a "Primitive Revelation".

What are Dyaushpitar, Jupiter, Father-sky, but a reflection of this Primitive Revelation? And what do we see in the application to the sky of the epithet " Father." the name by which God loves to be known among men, but evidence of the sad fact that man had already commenced his downward career; had already forgotten his heavenly Father, had already transferred his allegiance from Him to heaven, the place of His abode; had already called the sky Father? We have an echo of the same truth in the prayer of Zoroaster, the Persian prophet, "Teach thou me, Ahura Marda, out of threelf from horryn"; as well as in the prayer of the Yebus, a South African tribe, "God in beaven, guard us from sickness and death; God, grant us happiness and wis dom". And we have a confirmation of it in the fact, that the Hebrews called beaven, the dwelling-place of the Most High, His throng, and that they called Himself, "The God of heaven, their Father". The Hindus, now, whenever they speak of God as invisible, point up to the sky, and exclaim, "The Baghavan," the "Supreme Being," is there. And even the Zulus, among the degraded mees of Africa, when asked " who made all thungs?" look up to the aky, and say, "The Creator of all things is in heaven". Aristotle says, "All men have a suspicion

Man Muller's Introduction to the Science of Religion, p. 250.

of gods, all assign to them the highest place. And again, "The ancients assigned to the gods beaven and the space above, because it was alone eternal.".

In the Theology of the Vedas, we have a record of regress rather than of progress, of deterioration rather than of improvement, in the conception of God. And this is just what might be expected when due weight is given to the "Fall," and the consequent tendency to rebel against God, which entered human nature. This fact of sin is overlooked by many who write on the "Origin and Growth of Religion," and the consequence is that they present us with a caricature and not with the real portrait. No one can portray the Origin and Development of Religion without giving due prominence to the "Fall," the effects of which are strewn like withered leaves everywhere. This fact alone can account satisfactorily for the depravation of the concept God in all known Religious. It is scarcely necessary to point out to the readers of the Old Testament how persistently the Jews materialised the apiritual conception of God. communicated to them by Ahraham, Moses, and the Prophets. That Fetishism, the lowest sub-stage in M. Comte's first law of religious Evolution, is not a primary, but a corrupted, form of a purer faith, has been amply proved by Professor Max Muller in his Hibbert Lectures. And "all the great religions of the world which can be traced to the teaching or influence of individual men have steadily declined from the teaching of their founders. Whether we now study what is held by the disciples of Buddha, of Confucius, or of Zoroaster, it is the same

result. Whenever we can arrive at the original teaching of the known founders of religious systems, we find that teaching uniformly higher, more spiritual, than the teach ing now. The same law has affected Christianity, with this difference only, that alone of all the historical religions of the world, it has hitherto shown an unmistak able power of perennial revival and reform. But we know that the process of corruption had begun its work even in the lifetime of the Apostles L and every Church m Christendom will admit the general fact, although each of them will give a different explanation of it Mahomedunism, which is the last and latest of all the historical religious of the world, shows a still more remarkable phenomenon. The corruption in this case began not only in the lifetime but in the life of the prophet and founder of that religion. Mahomed was himself his own most corrupt disciple. In the earliest days of his mission he was best as a man and greatest as a teacher. His life was purer and his doctrines more spiritual when his voice was a solitary voice crying in the wilderness, than when it was joined in chorus by the voices of many millions, in his case the progress of development in a wrong direction was singularly distinct, and very capid."1

The history of roligious thought everywhere shows that the tendency of man, when left to himself, is to degrade the conception of God, and to sink into polytheism. There is no evidence whatever of a polytheistic people, when left to themselves, working their way up to a monotheistic religion.

The Duke of Argyll, in the Contemporary Review for May, 1881.

### CHAPTER III.

### THE COSMOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.

"In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth."
-GENESIS.

## 1. Vedic Cosmology not one Connected Narrative.

The cosmology of the Vedas is not one connected narrative, like that of the Bible, but many narratives, or hints, given by different poets at different times, extending over a period of many centuries. The Rishis, in attempting to construct a cosmology, or in reproducing the almost forgotten traditions of the creation handed down from the ancestral home, necessarily gave their own conceptions, more or less coloured, according to their individual idiosyncrasies and the exigency of poetic language, which, according to Hindu notions, consists not so much in truth as in rate, flavour or sensation. It is too much, therefore, to expect harmony between the various narratives, or even always between all the statements of any one poet in the same parrative. All that we can do is to analyse the different accounts, and point out the fundamental conceptions which underlie them, omitting what appears either too obscure for explanation, or too paerile for remark

# 5 3. Creation the Work of an Intelligent Being.

All Vedic cosmologies recognise an ommipotent intelligent being as the Author of the Universe. That being is represented under names as various as those of the Hindu gods. For every god in the Vedic pantheon was in his turn regarded as supreme, and, as such, the Author of the Universe. "All-seeing Visvakarman produced the earth, and disclosed the sky by his might."1 "He who produced heaven and earth must have been the most skilful artisan of all the gods." 2 "Desire arose in "that one." who was before all things, and this the wise have discerned to be the bond between nonentity and entity." ! " Brahmanaspati blew forth all the births of the gods like a blacksmith." " Hiranygarbha, the one born lord of things existing, arose in the beginning and established the earth and the sky, ' " Prajapati established all the worlds, and produced from his upper and lower breaths both gods and mortal creatures." "Skamba established the earth, the aky, and the aix wide regions." " Varuma. by his might, propped asunder the wide firmaments; he lifted on high the bright and glorious heaven; he stretched out apart the starry sky and the earth." " Indra established the earth and this sky, and, wonder working, produced the sun and the slawn '" "Surya,

```
1 R. V., x., 51, 2. Rest., 6, 100, 4

2 Ibid., x., 129, 4. Ibid., x., 72, 2
```

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., x., 121. 4. Sat. He, x., 7, 3, 1

<sup>\*</sup> A.-V., x., 7, 35. \* R.-V., vii., 80, 1.

the most active of the active gods, produced the heaven and the earth, which are beneficent to all." Agm upheld the broad earth, he supported the sky with true hymns." In the beginning Brahma was the source of all things. He created the gods and placed them in this world, in the atmosphere, and in the sky," Bohita established heaven and earth, by him the sky was supported, by him the heaven."

While all Vedic cosmologies agree in ascribing the production of the universe to an omnipotent intelligent being, they differ as to the mode in which he produced it. Some represent it as the result of his power without pre-existing matter or creation, if his firms; others, as the result of his power acting on eternally pre-existing matter or creation, is the firms; and others represent it as a phenomenal emanation from the deity, proofes.

## 1 3. Creation out of Nothing .

The right hymn of the tenth book of the Rig-Veda is the most striking illustration of this "(1) There was then neither nonentity nor entity; there was no atmosphere nor sky above. What enveloped (all)? Where, in the receptacle of what (was it contained)? Was it water, the deep abyss? (2) Death was not then, nor immortality; there was no distinction of day or night.

<sup>\*</sup> RoV., i.e. 160, 4. \* Ibida: )., 677.5. \* Sat. Bea st., 2, 3, 1. \* A.-V., shin 7.

<sup>\*</sup> What covered in, and where ? and what gave shelter? " (GEFF(TH)).

That One breathed calmly by itself; there was nothing different from It (that one), or beyond It. (3) Darkness there was: originally enveloped in darkness, this universe was undistinguishable water; the empty (mass) which was concealed by a husk (or by nothingness) was produced, single, by the power of austerity (or fervour). (4) Desire first arose in It, which was the primal germ of mind. This the wise, seeking in their heart, have discovered by the intellect to be the bond between nonentity and entity. (5) The my which shot across these things was it above or was it below? There were productive energies and mighty powers; nature (cradha) beneath, and energy (pravati) above. (6) Who knows, who here can declare, whence has sprung, whence this creation? The gods are subsequent to its formation; who then knows from what it arose? (7) From what source this creation arose, and whether (any one) created it or not? He who in the highest heaven is its ruler, he verily knows, or (even) he does not know."

This hymn carries us back to a time long before the first verse in Genesis, when there was neither "nonentity (and, rò m' or) nor entity (and, rò m')". From the inability of the human mind to conceive a state that was

<sup>&</sup>quot;Breathed without afflation, single with (souths) her who is austained within him " (Col.Estrope).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Breathed calmly, self-supported" (Mura).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Breathed breathless by itself" (Max Mili.e.ss).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Breathed calmly, self-contained "(M. WILLIAMA),

<sup>&</sup>quot;There was a time in which all was darkness and water" (Bubylonian Tradition of the Creation).

neither nothing nor something, the Atharva-Veda identifies this remote "nonentity" with Skamba, a personification of the divine power which supports the universe; and the Khandegva Upanishad doubts that there ever was a period without entity.2 The Vedantists explain and as the Supreme Being manifesting himself by creation; and asad as mere forms or illusions by which he deceives the senses. What then does the poet mean by the phrase, "There was then neither nonentity nor entity "? Does he mean to say that there was neither absolutely! So evidently thought the sages of the Atharva-Veda, and the Khandogva Upanishad. But this is a mistake; for he postulates the existence of "that One breathing breathless by Itself," i.e., the unconditioned existing alone by his own inherent power, without the accidents of time and space, which are the conditions of our life." Does be mean that there was neither relatively? This, doubtless, is his meaning; and in this sense the phrase

<sup>&</sup>quot;We are utterly unable to realise in thought the possibility of the complement of existence being either increased or diminished. We are unable, on the one hand, to conceive nothing becoming something, or, on the other, something becoming nothing "(Sir W. Hamilton's Lectures on Metaphysics, vol. ii., p. 377).

<sup>1</sup> Mint a Sans. Taxis, vol. re., p. 20, 2nd ed,

So it is explained in the Sat. He., x., 5, 5, 2, "In the beginning this universe was, as it were, and was not, as it were. Then it was only that mind. Wherefore it has been declared by the Rishi, "there was then neither nonentity nor entity."

is perfectly true, for we can know neither "entity" nor "nonentity," except as they are related to one another. The existence of the one necessarily implies the existence of the other; and hence without a knowledge of both we can know neither.1 And since there was then no entity. no trace, no atom of what afterwards became the world, the poet asserts, with a philosophical precision with which we are scarcely prepared to meet in that remote age, "there was neither nonentity nor entity". This meaning is confirmed by R. V., x., 72, 2, "In the former age of the gods, the existent sprang from the nonexistent," i.e., whatever now visibly exists had at one time no existence; and by the Sat Br., vi., 1, " In the beginning, this universe was indeed non-existent"; as well as by the Aitareya Aranyaka, "Originally this (universe) was indeed soul only; nothing else whatever existed active or inactive". In the same sense the poet declares that there was neither "death" nor "mimortality "; for the one is the negative of the other, and, hence, without a knowledge of both we can know neither: and since there was no "death." inasmuch as there was nothing to die, there could have been no "immortality;" or the opposite of death.

Between the statements made in the first two and the third verses, we must logically place the action of the fourth verse, which produced the "undistinguishable

<sup>&</sup>quot;The judgment cannot affirm or deny one notion of another, except by uniting the two, in one indivinible set of comparison" (Sir W. Hamilton's Lectures on Metaphysics, vol. i., p. 68).

water" of the third; an action identical with the creative act of Genesia, i., r, which produced "the heaven and the earth" in a chaotic state. The cause of this action was the determination of the Infinite will, "Desire arose in it, which was the primal germ of mind;" f.c., which to us is the first manifestation of conscious mind. "This the wise, seeking in their heart, have discerned by the intellect to be the bond between nonentity and entity;" i.e., the will of God was the cause of the existent springing from the non-existent. This is only another form of the Heloew expression, "And God said, Let there be . . . and there was ". The same idea is expressed in the Aitareya Aranyaka, "He thought, I will create worlds, thus he created these various worlds, water, light, mortal beings, and the waters". And in the Aitareya Brahmana, "Prajapati was, in the beginning, but one. He uttered the Nivid (a sacrificial formulai, and all things were created." Again, "The word is the Creator of the Universe, the powerful one; for by the word is all this made" (vaca hidam saroam kritam).1 How wonderfully this language agrees with the declaration of the Psalmist, "By the word of the Lord were the heavens made; and all the bost of them by the breath of His mouth", "He spake, and it was done: He commanded, and they were created."1 The same idea is also found among the Iranians and the semicivilised races of Western Australia. In the sucred books of the former, it is said that Ahura-Mazda created the

<sup>1</sup> S. P. Br., viii., 1, 2, 9. Pn., xxxiii., 6, 91 exiviii., 5.

world by means of the Yathi-ahi-sairye prayer; and the Roman Catholic missionaries sacertained that the latter believe in an omnipotent Being, who created the heaven and the earth by breathing, whose name is Motogon. To create the earth, he said, "Earth, come forth! And he breathed, and the earth was created. So with the sun, moon, and all things."

The water and the darkness of this hymn correspond to the thesh makehu, "without form and void," of Genesia, and to the chaos of the Greeks. "This universe was undistinguishable water enveloped in darkness." It was an "empty" or "shapeless mass," concealed by the "deep abyss," like grain in the husk, but brought forth as a beautiful world by "the power of austerity," or "contemplation," as Colebrooke translates it; i.e., by the mighty will of "That One" who designed it. For "there were productive energies and mighty powers"; readha, nature, beneath, and prayati, energy, above. Yes, there was madha, or chaos, beneath, and there was the mighty "energy" of the Spirit of God moving on the face of the waters above, bringing order from confusion, cosmos from chaos, and breathing forth light and life everywhere.

<sup>\*</sup> Max Muller's Hibbert Lectures, pp. 16, 17.

<sup>&</sup>quot;In the Tailtissu Saukitä, vi., 4-8, we read, "This world had neither day nor night, but was (in that respect) undistinguished". The gods said to Mitra and Varuna, "Make a separation. . . Mitra produced the slay, and Varuna the night" (Mutr's S. T., vol. v., p. 50); and in the Aitserpationary we read, "Self brooded over the water". From the water thus broaded on, matter (marti) was born.

Mas Maller and Manier Williams see in stadha, be neath, and prayati, above, the first dim outline of the idea that the Creator willed to produce the universe through the agency and co-operation of a female principle, an idea which afterwards acquired more shape in the supposed marriage of heaven and earth. It is more probable that this idea originated in a minuderstanding of this hymn, or of the tradition on which it is based.

The poet closes his sublime parrative of the creation in an unexpectedly sad and disappointing tone. After the graphic description he has given of the origin of the universe, he finishes by intimating that he does not know after all "from what source this creation arose. and whether any one created it or not". All he can affirm with confidence is, that "He who is in the highest heaven is its ruler, he verily knows, or (even) he does not know". Another poet, in the same melancholy strain of ignorance and uncertainty, asks. " What was the forest, what was the tree, from which they fashioned the heaven and the earth? Inquire mentally, ye sages, what that was on which he took his stand when establishing the worlds."1 And similarly another poet, "Which of these two (heaven and earth) was the first, and which the last? How have they been produced? declare, sages, who knows this ? " What a sad comment this is on the words of the Apostle Paul, "The world by wisdom knew not God" | Religious truths

R.V., z., 81, 4. \* Thida l., :83, t.

beyond the range of experience cannot be known with that degree of certainty which can satisfy the human mind, except by an authoritative Revelation from the Author of our being. Even Socrates declared that he "knew only this, that he knew nothing!"

This is the most ancient, and the most vivid, reproduction of the primitive creed respecting the origin of the universe. It contains all the essential elements of the Mosaic narrative, differing only in being more vague, and in being given with less certainty. The fundamental idea, that the eternally self-existent One created the world by the power of His own will, without pre-existing matter, and the chronological order-first, will or desire, then chaos or undigested matter, and, lastly, this beautiful world-are identical in both. Now, this idea of creation from nothing cannot be accounted for on natural grounds, for there is nothing in nature to indicate that something can be produced from nothing. The constitution of the burnan mind in such that it cannot think of anything beginning to exist in essence, but only in form. It is evident, therefore, that the idea of creation from nothing is not the product of reason, but of divine Revelation.

We learn from the old Norse Eddas of Iceland, that the Teutonic Aryans carried away from the original home the same belief in the origin of the universe. The first poem in the first part of the Elder Edda, which contains the oldest traditions of the Germanic ruces, is the Valupsa, or wisdom of Vala. Vala was a prophetess, and thus describes the creation of the world:—

- "I command the devout attention of all noble souls,
  Of all the high and the low of the race of Heimdall;
  I tell the doings of the All Father,
  In the most ancient sagus which come to my mind."
- "There was an age in which Ymir lived, When was no sea, nor shore, nor salt waves; No earth below, nor heaven above; No yawning abyas and no grassy land."
- "Till the sons of Bora lifted the dome of heaven,
  And created the vast Midgard (earth) below;
  When the sun of the south rose above the mountains,
  And green grasses made the ground verdant."

## § 4. Creation from Pre-existing Matter.

"(1) Hiranyagarbha arose in the beginning; he was the one born lord of things existing. He established the earth and this sky: to what god shall we offer our oblation : (2) He who gives breath, who gives strength, whose command all (even) the gods reverence, whose shadow is immortality, whose shadow is death: to what god shall we offer our oblation? (3) Who by his might became the sole king of the breathing and winking world, who is the lord of this two-footed and four-footed (creation): to what god shall we offer our oblation? (4) Whose greatness these snowy mountains, and the sea with the rasa (river) declare, of whom these snowy regions, of whom they are the arms; to what god shall we offer our oblation? (5) By whom the sky is hery and the earth fixed, by whom the firmament and the beaven were established, who in the atmosphere is the

measurer of aerial space: to what god shall we offer our oblation ? (6) To whom heaven and earth, sustained by his succour, looked up, trembling in mind; over whom the sun shines: to what god shall we offer our oblation? (7) When the great waters pervaded the universe, containing an embryo and generating Agmi, thence arose the one spirit (usw) of the gods: to what god shall we offer our oblation? (8) He who through his greatness beheld the waters which contained power, and generated sacrifice, who was the one god above gods: to what god shall we offer our oblation? (9) May he not injure us, he who is the generator of the carth, who, ruling by fixed ordinances, produced the heavens, who formed the great and brilliant waters; to what god shall we offer our oblation? (10) Prajāpati; no other than thou is lord over all these created things; may we obtain that through desire of which we have invoked thee; may we become masters of riches,"1

Max Muller says, respecting this hymn, that "the idea of one god in expressed with such power and decision, that it will make us hesitate before we deny to the Aryan nations an instinctive monothersm"; and Monier Williams remarks, that "it furnishes a good argument for those who maintain that the purer faith of the Hindus is properly monotherstic".

"The whole of this hymn is found repeated in the Vajusaneyi-Sanhitā of the Yajur-Veda, and most of the

<sup>1</sup> R. V. X. 121.

<sup>1</sup> Hist. Anc. Sans. Lit., p. 558; Indian Wisdom, p. 23.

verses recur in the Atharva-Veda." The last verse is rejected by most critics as being the production of a later age.

According to this hymn, the creator, Hiranyagarbha, arose in the beginning from the great waters which pervaded the universe, the "undistinguishable water" of R.-V., x., 129, 3, or chaos, and so became "the one born lord of things existing". The idea is that the primeval waters generated a "golden embryo," and that from this "embryo" the creator was born, or took bodily form, in order to transform chaos into cosmos; and hence he is denominated Hiranyagarbha, the "golden embryo," which also may be translated, "the golden or the bright child". And bence it is said in the Atharva-Veila, "In the beginning, the waters producing a child, brought forth an embryo, which, as it was coming into life, was enveloped in a golden covering"."

From this it appears (r) that when Hiranyagarbha was born the universe was in a chaotic state, pervaded with water; (a) that he rose from an embryo generated by the water when the earth was "void and without form"; and (3) that he made the world into its present form from the existing shapeless chaos. "For he who is god above all gods established the earth and the sky;" he "formed the brilliant waters" and "the snowy mountains"; and hence all creation unite in "declaring his greatness".

<sup>1</sup> Mair's Sam, Testi, vol. iv., p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ibid., vol. iv., p. 10, 1st edition.

Was this chaos eternally self-existent independently of Hiranyagarbha? or was there a time in the unspeakable past when he produced it? or did the chaotic waters by some unknown law of development gradually and apontaneously produce him? or were both eternally and independently co-existent? It appears from this hymn that both were regarded as eternally and independently co-existent. It is stated in the eighth verse that Hiranyagarbha" through his greatness beheld the waters which contained power," is, "the great waters" of verse seven, which "pervaded the universe," or class. most have beheld these before he was born from the golden embryo; for the "great waters" of these verses are different from the "great and brilliant waters" of verse nine. The former are the great primeval waters from which the world was made; and the latter are the seas, the lakes, and the rivers, "formed" by the Creator, Of course it might be affirmed that he beheld the great chaotic waters after he was born; but the former view is more in harmony with other Vedic passages. The Atharva-Veda, iv., 2, 6, says, "In the beginning the waters, immortal, and versed in the sacred ceremonies. covered the universe containing an embryo-over these divine waters was the god. i.e., before he was born in the embryo. Here both the Creator and the immortal waters are represented as separate independent existencies in the "beginning," and presumably from eternity,1 The same idea appears in R. V., x., 8z. "That which is

<sup>1</sup> Spetärvetara Up., v., 13.

beyond the sky, beyond this earth, beyond gods and spirits; what earliest embryo did the waters contain, in which all the gods were beheld? The waters contained that earliest embryo in which all the gods were collected." One receptacle rested upon the navel of the unborn, wherein all the worlds stood. "Ye know not him who produced all things." What the earliest embryo contained, in which all the gods were collected (inasmuch as it contained their creator, "the sale life of the bright gods") was "that which is beyond the sky, beyond this earth, beyond gods and spirits"-he who "produced these things," and not be who was produced by them. Here, again, the existence of the Author of the Universe is represented as separate from, and independent of, the original chaos. The same idea underlies R.-V., x., 72, 6, 7, "When, gods, ve moved, agitated upon those waters, then a violent dust issued from you, as from dancers. When, gods, ye, like strenuous men, replenished the worlds, then we drew forth the sun which was hidden in the (aerial ?) ocean " And also the Taittirya Aranyaka, 1, 23, 1, "This was water. Prajāpati was produced on a lotos leaf. Within his mind desire arose, 'Let me create"." Besides, Varuna, Indra, and others, are represented as establishing and supporting the heavens above, fixing and directing the sun in the sky, and setting limits to the earth; thus assuming that the objects themselves, in some shape, were pre-existent,

It should be observed, however, that there is a legend in the Sampatha Brahmana, in which the primeval waters are represented as generating an egg, and the egg bringing forth Prajapati, the creator of the world. "In the beginning, this universe was waters, nothing but water. The waters desired, 'how can we produce?" So saying, they tolled, they performed austerity. While they were performing austerity, a golden egg came into existence. From it, in a year, a man (furusha) came into existence, who was Prajapati. He divided this golden egg. There was then no resting-place for him. He therefore floated about for the space of a year, occupying this golden egg. In a year he desired to speak. He uttered blub, which became this earth; bhook, which became this earth; bhook, which became this firmament; and south, which became that sky."

In this account, probably, the author of the primeval waters is overlooked rather than denied. For certain it is that this materialistic doctrine was never popular in India. Hindus of the Vedic age believed either in creation from nothing by the exertion of divine power, or in creation from chaos after the birth of the Creator from the "golden embryo," or in creation as a phenomenal emanation; and pour-Vedic cosmologies combine the two first, with the exception of the Vedanta, which adopts the last.

There is another legend in the Satapatha Brahmana contradicting this, in which the gods are said to have made Prajapata, that he generated the waters; and desiring to be reproduced from them, that he entered the waters, when an egg arose. "He pondered on it

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Mair's Sant Texts, vol. iv., p. 23.

He said, 'Let there be, let there be' Again; 'Let there be!' and all things appeared."

According to Manu, the Harivaman, and the Puranas, the deity was prior to chaos; he created the primeval waters by a thought, and deposited a seed in them, which became a golden egg, resplendent as the sun, in which he himself was born as Brahma, the progenitor of all worlds . . . (5) "This universe was enveloped in darkness, unperceived, undistinguishable, undiscernible, unknowable, as it were entirely sunk in sleep. (6) Then the irresistible self-existent lord, undiscerned, causing this universe with the five elements and all other things to become discernible, was munifested dispelling the gloom. (7) He who is beyond the cognisance of the senses, subtle, undiscernible, eternal, who is the essence of all beings, and inconceivable, himself shone forth-(8) He, desiring to produce various creatures from his own body, first with a thought created the waters, and deposited in them a seed. (9) This (seed) became a golden egg, resplendent as the sun, in which he himself was born as Brahma, the progenitor of all the worlds.1

Kullinka, an old commentator, thus annotates on verse nine, "That seed became a golden egg," etc. That seed, by the will of the drity, became a golden egg. Golden, i.e., as it were, golden, from the quality of purity attaching to it, and not really golden; for since the author proceeds to describe the loranation of the earth from one of the halvos of its shell, and we know by ocular proof that the earth is not golden, we see that a more figure of speech is here employed. In that egg Hiranyagarbha was produced, i.e., entering into the suf-which was invested in a subtle body—of that person by

offspring of Nava: and since they were formerly his receptacle, he is therefore called Navayana. (11) Being formed by that first cause, undiscernible, eternal, which is both existent and non-existent, that male (purusha) is known in the world as Brahma. (12) That lord, having continued a year in the egg, divided it into two parts by his mere thought. (13) With these two shells be formed the heaven and the earth; and in the middle he placed the sky, the eight regions, and the eternal abode of the waters. 1

In the ninth hymn of the tenth book of the Rig-Vedu, the gods are represented as having fashioned the universe from the dismembered limbs of Purusha, the primeral male, whom they sacrificed. "The moon was produced from his mind (manas), the sun (suc)a) from his eye, Indra and Agni from his mouth, and Vayu from his breath. From his navel came the atmosphere, from his head the sky, from his feet the earth, from his ears the four quarters: so they formed the worlds." From him also were produced the different castes and animals.

It is obvious that this second narrative of the creation is a corruption of the first. The hymns containing it are of later date, which is proved by the abstract names

whom in a former birth the deity was worshipped, with a contemplation on distinctness and identity, expressed in the words, "I am Hiranyagarhha, the Supreme Spirit himself, become manifested in the form of Hiranyagarbha".

<sup>1</sup> Mamile

of the gods mentioned in them, such as Hiranyagarbha, Visyakarman, and Prajapati The primitive narrative was either greatly obscured in the memory of, or partly rejected by, the propounders of this theory. That God produced the chaotic fluid before He formed the world. was either forgotten or rejected, on the ground that it was contrary to experience to produce something out of nothing. Hence chaos is represented as existing together with, and independently of, the Creator; and the Creatur, as assuming discernible form in a "golden embryo" in order to fashion the universe. According to this theory. He is nothing more than the architect or the maker of the world from pre-existing matter. This was also the Zend idea of creation, and hence the phrase "created by Mazda," is Mazda-dhata, established or arranged by Mazda. And the "firmament," the "infinite time," and the "air which works on high," are called " self-created ".

The idea that the Creator rose from a "golden embryo," or a "golden egg," probably originated in a dim recollection of the primitive account that the "Spirit of God moved on the face of the waters". For the Hebrew verb. rough, translated "moved," means to "flutter," to "bover," and to "brood" as of a bird over its nest. "As an eagle stirreth up her nest, fluttereth over her young," etc.! The word translated "fluttereth" here is the same as that translated "moved" in Genesis, i., 2. If, therefore, the primitive account was, that God, in

Genesla, i., 2. Deut., seni., 11.

fashioning the world, "fluttered" or "brooded" over chaos, like a bird over its nest, what was more natural than that, in the course of time, the simile involved in the action should have been forgotten, and God actually made to rise from the "golden embryo," or to be born from the "golden egg," generated by the waters?

And as the Teutonic Aryans carried away to the north of Europe the primitive belief respecting the creation of the world, so the Greek Aryans carried away to the south the more recent, or elaborated there a similar theory. Plato says, "that all wise men, with the exception of Parmenides, thought that all things proceeded from water, and that generation was a sort of flowing motion". Aristophanes gives the particulars as follows:—

"First all was chaos; one confused heap;
Darkness enwrapped the disagreeing deep;
In a mixed crowd the jumbling elements were,
Nor earth, nor air, nor beaven did appear;
Till in this bornd vast abyss of things.
Tesming night, spreading o'er her cold black wings,
Laid the first egg; whence after time's due course,
Issued forth love (the world's prolific source).
Glistening with golden wings; which fluttering o'er
Dark chaos, gendered all the numerous store
Of unimals and gods."

<sup>1</sup> Χώας ήν και συξ. Τρεβός το μέλαυ πρώτου και Τάρταρας σύμες. Υμ΄ δ΄, ούδ΄ άψη, αὐδ΄ αύρασός ἡς όμεβανε δ΄ ἐν ἀπείροσε κόλπαις. Τίκτει πρώτιστου ἐπηνέμαω κὐξ ἡ μελανώτερος ἀιὰς. Έξ αὐ περιτελλομέναις ἄραις Ελαυτεν Έραις ὁ ποθεινός. Στίλθων κώτων στερόγουν χροιταίν εἰκόις ἀνεμόσεατε δίναις Οὐτας δέ χαις πτερόσους μεγείν συχίφ, κατά Τάρταρου εὐρόν, Ένεάττευσε γένος ἡμέτερας, καὶ πρώτου ἀπηγοίγεν ἐν ἡωις. Πρώτερου δ΄ αὐς ἡν γένος ἀθακάτων, πρὶν Έρως συνέμεξεν δικωτα.

Here night and chaos are represented as the first substances existing alone. They laid an egg, whence love was produced, the "desire" of R.-V., x., 129, 4, and the "golden child" of R.-V., x., 121, 1, and the "Spirit of God" of Genesis, 1, 2, which, fluttering o'er dark chaos, gendered heaven, earth, animals, and gods.

It should be stated that Hindu Pundits do not believe that the Vedas contain two or more theories of the creation of the world. They maintain that there is only one theory, viewed from different standpoints. Hence they explain " nonentity" as a state in which name and form (numa and rapa) were not developed, and not an absolute nullity like that indicated in the phrase, "a hare's horns"; and Hiranyagarbha, born from the "golden embryo," they represent as the abstract neuter Brahma, assuming personality in the form of the male Brahma, in order to transform what was neither " nonentity " nor "entity" into the visible universe, having names and forms, such as earth, sky, and water. This, however, is a speculation of a later age, when the simple meaning of the hymns had been forgotten, and the Hinds mind had become profoundly affected with philosophy.

# 3 Creation a Phenomenal Emunation from the Deity.

When the sages of the Upanishads had attained the highest philosophical unity, when they had merged all the elemental gods, and all existences, material, mental, and spiritual, in one great entity, Atmir. Brahma, Prina, Purusha, or Sal, there was no room for a real objective creation, such as the two we have already considered.

What we call creation, therefore, was conceived of as a kind of phenomenal emanation; or illusory manifestation, of the one great reality. Nothing really exists except the great Spirit or Self; and the universe is nothing more than its manifestation, its body, which it draws from its own substance, and again absorbs into it, as the spider spins forth and draws back the thread of its web.1 "This whole universe is filled by this Person (purusha), to whom there is nothing superior, from whom there is nothing different, than whom there is nothing smaller or larger; who stands alone, fixed like a tree in the sky." "By means of thoughts, touching, seeing, and passions, the incarnate self assumes successively in various places various forms, in accordance with his deeds, just as the body grows when food and drink are poured into it." "That incarnate self, according to his own qualities, chooses (assumes) many shapes, coarse or subtle, and having himself caused his union with them, he is seen as another and another, through the qualities of his acts, and through the qualities of his body."2 And so this great Atma is both the material and efficient cause of all finite existences. " As from blazing fire, sparks, being like unto fire, fly forth a thousandfold, thus are the various beings brought forth from the imperishable, and return hither also," "The sky is his head, his eyes the sun and the moon, the quarters his cars, his speech the Vedas, the wind his breath, his heart the universe; from his feet came the

<sup>1</sup> Brikadaryanaka Up., ilis 2, 1,

Svelamatara Upanishad, iii. 9: v. 11. 12.

earth; he is indeed the inner Self of all things." 1 "As all spokes are contained in the nave and in the felloes of a wheel, all beings and all selfs are contained in that Self." 3

Professor Max Müller, in his Introduction to vol. 1. of the Sacred Books of the East, says, that "Atman was looked upon at the same time as the starting-point of all phenomenal existence, the root of the world, the only thing that could truly be said to be, to be real and true. As the root of all that exists, the Atman was identified with the Brahman, which in Sanscrit is both musculine and neuter, and with the Sat, which is neuter only, - that which is, or Saty, the true, the real. It alone exists in the beginning, and for ever; it has no second. Whatever else is said to exist, derives its real being from the Sat. How the one Sat becomes many, how what we call creation, which they call commution (\*poooos), constantly proceeds and returns to it, has been explained in various more or less fanciful ways by ancient prophets and poets. But what they all agree in is this, that the whole creation, all plants, all animals, all men, are due to the one Sat, are upheld by it, and will return to it ""

This theory of creation is the logical outcome of Monism or Pantheism. And as Monism or Pantheism is far inferior, from a religious point of view, to the idea of personal gods, however imperfect, so we may remark

Mumbika Up., ii 1, 4

<sup>1</sup> Bribadaryanaka Uf., th. 5, 15.

that this theory of creation is equally inferior to either of the two older ones which appear in the Rig-Veda-

The identity of cause and effect, of subject and object, which appears in the Upanishads, was made the fundamental doctrine of the Vedanta or non-dual philosophy, a philosophy the most widely accepted in India at the present day.

### CHAPTER IV.

### THE ANTHROPOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.

"There is surely a piece of divinity in us; something that was before the elements, and owes no homage unto the sun."

—Sre Tumas Browse.

"The proper study of mankind is man. "-Pore.

## 1 The Origin and Dignity of Man.

The Vedic Aryans were conscious of a divine origin. They felt that they were intimately connected with a father where, while they acknowledged that they aprang from the earth beneath. Dyawih pitah, prithin mita, Dyawsh, Heaven, is the father, and Prithivi, the broad earth, is the mother "Hear us, Indra, like a father," for "we have no other friend but thee, no other happiness, no other father "I Again, "We are thine, Maghavan, satisfy the desires of these thy worshippers".

Man everywhere is conscious of a higher, as well as of a lower, origin; of a genesis from heaven as well as from earth. Plato says, καὶ ἡ γῆ αὐτοῦς μήτηρ αἶτα ἀτῆκς, ἀλλ ὁ θοῦς Πλοττων. " Ami the earth as the mother brought

<sup>1</sup> ReVi. i., (64, 9. Thid., viu., 23, 14; vii., 24, 9.
<sup>3</sup> Ridd., i., 37, 3; iv., 17, 18.

forth men, but God was the shaper." And Kleanthes says, « του yop yeroc topie. " For we are his offspring." And similarly Aratus, sarijo dropiar . . . rov yup yiese loper. Tacitus tells us that the ancient Germans sang songs in honour of Tuino, who sprang from the earth, and whose son was Manus. "Tuisconem deum terra editum, et filium Manuum." Tuisco is from Tu, the same root as the Sanacrit Dyn. The Babylonian tradition of the creation of man represents him as having been formed from the blood of Belus, mixed with the earth; and hence as having aprung from God above and the earth beneath. And what is the tradition of a primitive golden age, found among all nations, but man's consciousness of a divine origin, manifesting itself through the gloom of the past and the degradation of the present !

The Hindu Aryans, recognising the pre-eminent dignity and nobility of man, distinguished him from all other creatures as the "Thinker". The first human being, the progenitor of the human race, they denominated Manu, from the root man, to "measure," to think,

More especially the progenitor of the Aryana after the Deluge. The tradition of the first created man and woman in probably the foundation of the story of Yama and Yami, as we shall see further on. The Hindus have several Legends of the Deluge, in which Manu invariably curresponds to the Biblical Noah. The following from the Salapaika Brāhmans is the oldest on record:—

<sup>&</sup>quot;In the beginning, they brought to Mann water for washing. As he was washing, a fish came into his hands (which spake

which appears in the Sanscrit manue, the Greek peros, the Latin mens, and the English mend and man. What

to him), 'Preserve me; I shall save thee.'. (Manu inquired), "From what wilt thou save me?" (The fish replied), 'A flood shall sweep away all these creatures; from it will I rescue thee'. (Manu asked), 'How (shall) thy preservation (be effected)?' The fish said, 'So long as we are small, we are in great peril, for fish devour fish; thou shalt preserve me first in a jar. When I grow too large for the jar, then thou shall dig a trench, and preserve me in that. When I grow, too large for the trench, then thou shalt carry me away to the Ocean. I shall then be beyond the reach of danger.' Straightway he became a large fish, and said. Now in such and such a year the flood will come; thou shalt therefore construct a ship, and resort to me; thou shall embark in the simp when the flood rises, and I shall deliver thee from it . Having thus preserved the fish, Manu carried him away to the sea. Then in the same year which the fish had enjoined, he constructed a ship, and resorted to it. When the flood rose, Manu embarked in the ship. The fish swam towards him. He fastened the cable of the ship to the fish's horn; By this means he passed over the northern mountain. The fish said, 'I have delivered thee; fasten the ship to a tree. But lest the water should cut they off whilst thou art on the mountain. as much as the water subsides, so much thou shalt descend after it.' He accordingly descended as much as the water subsided. Wherefore also this, viz., 'Manu's descent' is (the name) of the porthern mountain. Now the flood haif swept away all these creatures; so Manu alone was left here Desirous of offspring, he lived worshipping and toiling in arduous religious rites. Among these he also sacrificed with the pake offering. He cast clarified butter, thickened milk, wher and curds, as an oblation into the waters. Thence in a year a woman was produced."

The name of the woman thus produced was Ida. "From

higher name could they have given to man than the "Thinker"? And in the case of the Aryan nations, what name could have been more prophetically significant? For have they not been pre-emmently the great Thinkers as well as the great actors in every age? Have they not far outstripped all other taces in civilisation, in philosophy, in arts, and in science? Are they not to-day the rulers of the world, and is it presumptuous to affirm that they are destined, under Providence, to hind all nations together in the golden bonds of givilisation, commerce, and religion?

## § 2 The Consciousness of Sin.

Professor Weber says. "The religious notion of sin is wanting altogether, and submissive gratitude to the gods is as yet quite foreign to the Indian in the Vedic age "! Max Muller, on the other hand, says. "The consciousness of sin is a prominent feature in the religion of the Veda; so is, likewise, the belief that the gods are

Manu and Ida, we are expressly told, the race, known as that of Manu, i.e., the race of men, was descended. Manu, according to the above legend, was the progenitor of all port-diluvian men.

The Legend of the Deluge in the Mahabharata resembles the above with some important additions. It states that eight persons were saved from the waters; that seeds of all living things were preserved; and gives the duration of the flood as "for many years". It also points to the highest peak of "Himavat" (Himalays) as the place where the ship was tied, and for which it was called Nauhandha.

<sup>1</sup> Hist. of Ind. Let., p. 38.

able to take away from man the heavy burden of his sin". And the author of the Sacred Poetry of Early Religious remarks. "Of that moral conviction, that moral enthusiasm for goodness and justice, that moral hatred of wrong and evil, that zeal for righteousness, that anguish of penitence, which has elsewhere marked religious poetry, there is singularly little trace" in the Vedic hymns: The first of these statements is far too sweeping, the second is exaggerated as to the word "prominent," and the third is upon the whole correct.

The fact is, that when the Aryans appear first before us in the "Land of the five rivers," their consciousness of sin had become more obtuse than it was formerly; and hence the hurden of their songs was not, "Lord, grant us forgiveness of sins," but, "grant us food, progeny, wealth, and victory". The following hymn, addressed to Indra, who was then their supreme deity, is a fair specimen of the spirit pervading three-fourths of the Vedic hymns:—

- 1. "Vorscious drinker of the Soma Juice, although we be unworthy, do thou, Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich us with thousands of excellent cows and horses."
- z. "Thy benevolence, handsome and mighty, lord of food, endures for ever Therefore, Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich as with thousands of excellent cows and horses."
- "Cast asleep (the two female messengers of Yama): looking at each other, let them sleep, never waking.

Chips from a German Warkshop, vol. 1., p. 41.

Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich us with thousands of excellent cows and horses."

- 4. "May those who are our enemies slumber, and those, O hero, who are our friends, be awake. Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich us with thousands of excellent cows and horses."
- 5. Indra, destroy this ass (our adversary), praising thee with such discordant speech; and do thou, Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich us with thousands of excellent cows and horses."
- Let the (adverse) breeze, with crooked course, alight afar off on the forest. Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich as with thousands of excellent cows and horses.
- 7. "Destroy every one that reviles us; stay every one that does us injury. Indra, of boundless wealth, enrich us with thousands of excellent cows and horses."

The consciousness of sin, however, is a prominent feature in the mall number of hymns addressed to Varuna, either alone or in conjunction with other deities, especially with the Adityas, "the eternal ones"; and it occasionally manifests itself more or less clearly in hymns addressed to other gods. "This day, ye gods, with the rising sun, deliver us from heinous sin." "Preserve us, O Agni, by knowledge from sin, consume every malignant spirit, raise us aloft that we may pass through the world, and that we may convey our wealth to the gods." "Agni, far remove from us all iniquity, far remove from us all evil

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., k. 22p. 1 Hill., i., 115, 6: 1 Ibid., L. 30, 14, 35,

thought."1 "The divine Savitri travels by an upward and by a downward path; he comes from a distance. removing all sin."2 " If we have sinned against the man who loves us, have ever wronged a brother, friend or comrade, the neighbour ever with us, or a stranger, O Varuna, remove from us the trespass," "If we, as gamesters, cheat at play, have cheated, done wrong anwillingly or sinned of purpose, cast all these sins away like loosened fetters, and, Varuns, let us be thine own beloved." "Let those renowns and those praises of thine be proved true by thy showing mercy on us, O Indra. Slay us not for one sin, nor for two, nor for three, nor for many, O hero." 1 " Protect us, Soma, from calumny; preserve us from sin; pleased with our service. he our friend." "Prolong our existence, Asyms; wipe away our gins; destroy our foes; be ever our associates,"s "May our sins be removed or repented of" is the borden of a whole hymn.

The Aryana" infantile notion of sin is forcibly expressed in the terms which they used to denote it. Those terms are pirpa, from root pat, to "fall," to "fall down": aghas, Gr ayos, snar and amehas, from roots signifying first to "go," and then to "go astray," "miss the mark". Nieriti, another word for sin, which was afterwards personified as a power of evil or destruction, is derived from

R.V., iv., 21, 6. "Thid., i., 15, 3, 115, 6. "Thid., v., 85, 7, 8. "Thid., viii., 45, 33, 4.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ibid. )., 91, 15. " thid., i., 157, 4. " thid., i., 97.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Gr. wer, Lt. per, Welsh york, Heb. parks.

the same root which yields rita to the sense of right; and nir-rita means not right, or a deviation from the right path. Sin therefore, according to the earliest conception of the Aryan mind, is a fall from a higher to a lower moral state, a deviation from the path of duty, a missing of the mark of moral excellence once set before the mental vision. The same tileas are conveyed in the Tamil (Turanian) words Tappa, Tappidam, and Kuttam, am, fault.

Words are fossilised thoughts; and their testimony respecting the earliest conceptions of the human mind in as valuable as the testimony of the rocks respecting the structure of animals which have long become extinct. What a marvellous confirmation of the Fall of man, mentioned in the third chapter of Genesis, we have in the words used for "sin" in the Semitic, Aryan, and Turanian languages!

If man, individually and socially, as Evolutionists tell us, be nothing more than the product of natural forces, which push him irresistibly forward, like a mighty hurricane, towards higher and more complex forms of life, how did the consciousness of sin, as a failure or a fall, involving calamity, originate? If he be the mere outcome of internal and external forces—of organism and environment—he may at certain stages of his progress be defective, but he cannot be a sinner in the sense that he has "missed the mark" of moral excellence set before him. "It may require thousands of years to elevate him to a more complete existence; but he has not fallen from any ideal be might have reached. He is only, at any

point, what the sum total of natural factors which enter into his being have made him. The two conceptions of sin and of development, in this naturalistic sense, cannot co-exist!" We must, therefore, either accept the testimony of consciousness that man is a somer, and reject the theory of evolution, which does not recognise that fact: or accept the theory of evolution, and reject the testimony of consciousness as false.

But sin is more explicitly represented in the Vedas as a voluntary transgression of divine laws. "However we break thy laws from day to day, men as we are, O god Varuna, do not deliver us unto death; nor to the blow of the furious; nor to the wrath of the spiteful," "Whenever we, men, O Varuna, commit an offence before the heavenly host, whenever we break the law through thoughtlessness, punish us not, O God, for that offence," "May we be ainless before Varuna, who is gracious even to him who has committed sin, and may we follow the laws of Adit."

Sin was felt to be a great calamity, which is evident from the figures of speech used to represent it. It is a "hand" or a "rope" from which the sinner prays to be released. "Deliver us from ain as from a rope; let us obtain thy path of righteousness." "May the thread not be torn while I am weaving my prayers; may the form of my pious works not decay before its season." "Varuna, take all fear away from me; be kind to me, O just king! Take away my sin like a rope from a calf; far

Principal Tulloch, The Christian Doctrine of Sin.

<sup>&</sup>quot; R. V., in 25, 2 " Philip vii., 80, 5, " Ibid., vii., 87, 7.

from thee I am not the master even of a twinkling of the eye." I "Far from me be bonds; far from me be sin," I "O Adityas, deliver us from the mouth of the wolves, like a bound thief, O Aditi "I "Whatever, O youthful god, we have committed against thee, men as we are, whatever sin through thoughtlessness, make its guiltless before Aditi, loosen the sins on all sides, O Agni." I "O Varuna, lift the highest rope, draw off the lowest, remove the middle; then, O Aditya, let us be in thy service free of guilt before Aditi." "O King Varuna, keep afar from us Nirrati, and liberate us from whatever sin we have committed."

Sin is a heavy harden, which the gods only can take away: and a thick darkness, which "forgiveness" alone can dispel." It is also a sea or a flood, across which we can only go in a divine hoat. "We invoke the well-protecting earth, the unrivalled sky, the well-shielding Aditi, the good guide. Let us enter for safety into the divine hoat, with good oars, multless and leakless." "Carry us, O Vasus, by your blessed protection, as it were, in your ship across all dangers." "Let not unknown wretches, evil-disposed and unhallowed, trend us down. Through thy help, O hero, let us step over the rushing eternal waters." "May Agm convey us as in a boat over a river, across all wickedness." "Do thou,

<sup>1</sup> R. V. 11., 28, 3, 6, 4 Post., 11., 29, 5, 4 Post., vil., 50, 14.

<sup>\*</sup> Had, (v .. 12, 4. ) Had, 1, 23, 15. \* Had, 2, 24, 8, 9

<sup>\*</sup> Holi, 10, 29, 1. \* Had., ii., 27, 14 \* Had., x., 63, xo.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Hall, one, 18, 17. " Philamon, 32, 27. " Thill, 1, 99, 1.

Rollin, wait us in safety over the ocean of sin, repel all the assaults of the ungodly."

Man, having a natural tendency to sin, is a prey to temptations. "It was not our own doing, O Varuna; it was necessity (or temptation), an intoxicating draught, poison, dice, thoughtlessness. The old is there to mislead the young; even sleep brings unrighteousness." "Let not one sin after another, difficult to be conquered, overcome us; may it depart altogether with lust." "May that blazing weapon of yours, Maruts, be far from us, although through human infirmaties we offer you offence." "Whatever (offence) we have committed by want of thought against the divine race, by feebleness of understanding, by violence, after the manner of men, and either against gods or men, do thou, O Savitri, make us sinless,"

This tendency is not only transmitted by the law that like produces like, but the sins of the fathers are in a mysterious way imputed to their offspring. "Absolve us from the sins of our fathers, and from those which we have committed with our own bodies." "Let us not suffer, Mitra and Varuna, for offences committed by another; let us not, Vasus, do any act by which you may be offended." "May Agm free me from the sin which my mother or father committed when I was in the womb." "If thou liest there in consequence of any

<sup>1</sup> K.V., ii., 53, 3. Ibid., vii., 86, 6. Ibid., i., 38, 6.
Ibid., vii., 57, 4. Ibid., iv., 54, 3. Ibid., vii., 89, 5.

Thirty vit. 52, 2,

<sup>\*</sup> Taittiriya: Br., iii., 7, 12, 3. Muir. vol. v., p. 66.

sin committed by thy mother, or thy father, with my voice I declare thy release and deliverance from them all." <sup>1</sup>

The effect of sin is to separate man from God. " Do I say this to my own self? How can I get unto Varuna? Will he accept my offering without displeasure? When shall I with a quiet mind see him propitiated? I ask, O Varuna, wishing to know this my sin-- I go to ask the wise. The sages tell me the same.- Varuna is he who is anory with me. Was it an old sin, O Varuna, that thou wishest to destroy the friend who always praises thee? Tell me, thou unconquerable lard, and I will quickly turn to thee with praise, freed from sin." Again, Vasishta exclaims, "Where are those friendships of us two? We seek the harmony which we enjoyed of old. I have gone, O self-sustaining Varuna, to thy vast and spacious house with a thousand gates. He who was thy friend, intimate, thine own, and beloved, has committed offences against thee. Let us not who are guilty reap the fruits of our ain. Do thou, O wise god, grant protection to him who praises thee."

As it is difficult for us, with our Christian conscience, to understand the precise meaning which the socient Aryans attached to the word "sin," let us inquire, (1) What acts were not, and (2) What acts were, considered sinful by them. This alone will preserve us from the error of either over estimating, or under-valuing their moral sense.

A. V., v., 30, 4. Mair. R. V., vii., 86, 2, 3, 4( Hill., vii., 88, 5, 6.

1. What acts were not considered sinful by the Vedic Arvans.

Aristotle says, " As men regard the forms, so also they consider the lives, of the gods to be similar to their own". Whatever acts, therefore, a nation attributes with approbation to its gods, we may reasonably conclude, are highly esteemed by itself. Throughout the Vedic hymns, the inchriety produced by quaffing the Some fuice is celebrated with unfergued satisfaction. All the gods are constantly invited to drink of the "numortal stimulant" (amartram madam), which invigorates them, and increases their strength beyond all praise. "Indra has drunk; Agni has drunk. All the gods have become exhilarated."1 " India drinks like a thirsty stag, or a bull roaming in waterless waste,"? And all the effects produced on man by "strong drink" are ascribed to him-It is stated in the Aitareya Brahmana that, "The gods get drunk, as it were, at the mid-day libation, and are consequently at the third libation in a state of complete drunkenness",4 The Sautramanii was a ceremony appointed to expiate the evil effects of too free indulgence in the Soma-juice.

Wine (Sura) was also in use: for we read, "I place the poison in the sun, like a wine skin or leathern bottle, in the house of a vendor of wine." Wine bibbers are mentioned in R.-V., viii., 21, 14. "The Asvins gave a hundred jars of wine to Kakshivan." "The Soma

R.-V., viii., 58, 11.
 Ibid., viii., 4, 10; v., 30, 1; viii., 66, 4.
 vi., 14.
 R.-V., L., 191, 10:
 Ibid., L., x16, 7.

draughts are said to contend in the interior of Indra like men maddened with wine "" It is obvious, therefore, that drankenness was not considered a sin in the Vedic age. Over-indulgence, however, in "strong drink, on the hot plains of India gradually bore its evil consequences; and, among the thoughtful, a revulsion of feeling was the result. Hence, in Manu's time, spirit-drinking was strictly prohibited, as being a most beinous crime, equal to that of killing a Brahman." All homour to those ancient sages who resolutely set their faces against a habit, which, if continued, would probably have destroyed the Hindu Aryans long ago.

Though monogamy was doubtless the prevailing custom in the Vedic age, polygamy is often spoken of without any disapprobation. We have seen before that the Rishi Kakshivan married the ten daughters of Raja Swayana. And we are told that when the sage Chyavāna had grown old, and had been forsaken, that the Asvins divested him of his decrepit body, prolonged his life, restored him to youth, and made him of the husband of maidens. Soma is said to have made the dawns bright at their birth, and to have formed them the wives of a glorious husband. Indra had two wives, Indrani and Prāsaha. The sage Yājnavalkya had two wives, Maitreyi and Kātyāyani. One Rishi exclaims. The magnificent lord, the protector of the virtuous. has given me fifty wives. The following are a specimen of many passages.

<sup>1</sup> R. V., viii., 2, 12. Manu; v., 55. R. V., 10, 53. +
1 Hid., L. 116, 10. 1 Hid., vi., 50. 3. 18id., vi., 44, 23.
2 Hid., vii., 19, 30.

which allude with approbation to the possession of more than one wife, "Powerful Indra, their minds adhere to thee, as affectionate wives to a loving husband". Indra took to him all the cities as one common husband his wives. "" "Thou dwellest with thy glories like a Raja with his wives." Even polyandry is hinted at in the fact that the two Asvins had one wife in common," and Rodasi was the common wife of the Maruts."

The Satapatha Brahmana, ix., 1, 4, 6, distinctly avows the principle of polygamy, and explains its origin. "He sacrifices to the man first, then to the women. He exalts the man in consequence of his vigour. He sacrifices to the man as to one, and to the women as to many." Hence also one man has many wives."

The re-marriage of widows was not considered a crime in the Vedicage, as it is now; and the cruel custom of childmarriage; and the borrid rite of Suttee, or widow-burning,

<sup>1</sup> R. V. i., 62, 11. 2 Ibid., vil., 20. 3. 4 Ibid., vil., 18, 2.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., L. 119, 3. 1 Ibid., L., 167, 3. Muir, vol. v., p. 458.

<sup>&</sup>quot;There can be little doubt that polygamy, as we find it among the early races in their transition from the pastoral to the agricultural life, was customary in India. We read in Herodotus (v., 3) that, amongst the Uhracians, it was usual, after the death of a man, to find out who had been the most beloved of his wives, and to sacrifice her upon his tomb. Mela (ii., 2) gives the same as the custom of the Gretae. Herodotus (iv., 71) asserts a similar fact of the Scythians, and Pausanias (iv., 2) of the Greeka, while our Tentonic Mythology is full of instances of the same feeling "(M. Müller's Hist. Am. Som. Lit., p. 48).

were then unknown. We read in A.-V., z., 5, 27, 8, "When a woman has had one husband before, and gets another, if they present the aja panchandana affering, they shall not be separated. A second husband dwells in the same world with his re-wedded wife, if he offers the aja panchandana." And in the A.-V., v., 8, 9, it is stated that, "when a woman has had two former husbands, not Brahmans, if a priest take her hand (i.e., marry her), it is he alone who is her husband. It is a Brahman only that is a husband, and not a Rajanya of a Vajava."

It appears, from the following verse, addressed to the Asvins, that it was not an uncommon thing for a widow to marry her deceased husband's brother. "Where are you by night. Asvins, and where by day? Where do you alight? Where have you dwelt? Who draws you to his house, as a widow does her brother in law to the couch, or as a woman does a man?" The same custom was in vogue in the time of Manu, for it is enacted in his code that, "The damsel, indeed, whose husband shall die after troth verbally plighted, but before consum-

<sup>&</sup>quot;There is no text to countenance laws which allow the marriage of children and prohibit the te-marriage of child-widows, and the unhallowed rite of burning the widow with the corpse of her husband is both against the spirit and the letter of the Veda" (Chips from a Garman Wardshop, vol. i., 6, 313).

R.V. s., 402. Compare Deuteronomy, xxv., 5; Geneals,

mation, his brother shall take in marriage according to

The Vedic Aryans considered it neither a sin nor a disgrace for adult females to remain at home unmarried, or for those growing old to marry. We read, "As a virtuous maiden growing old in the same dwelling with her parents (claims from them her support), so came I to thee for wealth." And the Asvins are highly praised for having cured Gosha, the daughter of Kakshivan, and given her a husband when advanced in years. There are indications even that women exercised the liberty of choosing their own husbands in those days.

The plandering and destruction of the non-Aryan races was a theme of great rejoicing. "Indra and Soma, burn, destroy the Rākshasas; annihilate the fools; slay and cast them into darkness, so that none of them may ever thence return." Indra consumed the Rākahasas with his bolt as fire a dry forest; "yea, "he slew with his bolt a thousand, ten thousand, a hundred millions of the Dasyus." "Destroy every one that reviles us; slay every one that does us injury; may all aliens perish," is the constantly recurring prayer."

We find no trace here of the ancient brotherhood of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Manu, ix, oo. And Gautama (sviit., 41) says, "A woman whose husband is dead, and who dealize offspring, may bare a son to her brother-in-law".

<sup>1</sup> R. V. A. 17. 7. 1 Hillowin 117. 7.

<sup>4</sup> Hills. Sc. 27, 11, 12, 4 Hills vii., 104, 1. 3.

<sup>\*</sup> Wild., vin 18, 10. A.V., viii., 8, 7; R.-V., ii., 14, 6, 7.

<sup>\*</sup> K.-V., &, 182, 34 Was 1 Jun 4 villa 30, VL 60, 6.

man that is so much housted of by certain Hindus of the present day!

2. What acts were considered sinful by the Vedic

Gambling is represented as most ruinous in its effects upon persons and families. "The gambler finds no comfort in his need; his dice give transient pits, and ruin the winner; it vexes him to see his own wife, and then to observe the wives and happy homes of others." "His wife rejects him, and his mother-in-law detests him." His father, mother, and brothers, ashamed of him, cry but, "We know nothing of him, take him away". "In debt, and seeking after money, the gambler approaches with trepidation the houses of other people at night." No wonder then that the advice of the Rishi is, "Never play with dice; practise husbandry; rejoice in thy property, esteeming it sufficient."

The gods are haters of falsehood, and punishers of all untruth. Hence the prayer, "Take away whatever sin has been found in me, whether I have done wrong, or have pronounced imprecations, or have spoken untruth." May the untruth, which the wise and sinless Varuna observes in us, through thy favour, Indra, disappear; for "those who practise untruths attum not the inconceivable deity."

Stealing was a crime, and dishonesty in business was deprecated. Pushan, as the god of travellers, is invoked

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., 80 340

Told , 1. 152, 1 , 111, 44, 31 fi. 27, 4; 14, 5, 5; ii. 35, ti

to "drive away from our path the waylayer, the thief, the robber"; and Indra is entreated "not to take advantage of us like a dealer".

Illiberality towards one's own kith and kin was severely condemned, while liberality was highly praised. "He who keeps his food to himself has sin to himself also." "The wise man makes the giving of gifts his breastplate." "The car of bounty rolls on easy wheels." "The house of the liberal man is like a pool where lotuses grow." "The property of the liberal man never decays, while the illiberal finds no comforter." "The givers of gifts abide aloft in the sky; the bestowers of horses hive with the sun; the givers of gold attain immortality; the bestowers of raiment prolong their lives."

Soreery and witcheraft, seduction and adultery, were denounced.8

Non-performance of religious rites; the reviling of the soul-inspiring Sonn-juice; disobedience to parents; and want of peace and concord in the family, were considered wrong. The following benediction from the Atharva-Veda has lost none of its force and beauty for domestic happiness by the lapse of three thousand years, "I unpart to you concord with unity of hearts and freedom from latted; delight one in the other as a cow in the birth of a cali. May the son be obedient to his father, and of one mind with his mother. May the wife, at

<sup>1</sup> R. V. 19, 42, 3; 10, 33, 3. \* Ibid. x. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Hill., vii., 104, 8, 23, 53 k., 107, 4; H., 39, 1; 35, 34, 3; vii., 104, 24.

peace with her husband, speak to him honied words. Let not brother hate brother, nor sister sister: concordant and united in will, speak to one another with kind words."

It is now evident that the Vedic Aryans regarded sin, not only as a Fall, but also as the voluntary transgression of divine laws entailing punishment. They recognised the reality of both a divine and a human will. The gods, of their own free will, gave the laws, and men, of their own free will, broke them. Their consciousness of sin, therefore, contains (1) a knowledge of divine laws, and (2) the voluntary transgression of them.

But whence this knowledge of divine laws? What is the origin of the idea of Law as the moral standard of right and wrong? Max Müller, in his Hilbert Lectures, says, that the idea of physical law, denoting the uniformity of natural phenomena, originated in the perception of the "recurring return of day and night, the weekly changes of the waning and increasing moon, the succession of the seasons, and the rhythmic dances of the stars"; which uniformity found expression in the word rita, right path or law, and that from this rita, the moral law, the right path for man to walk in, was deduced or inferred. There seems to be nothing in the Vedas to support this view. The word rita, though used chiefly to denote outward cosmical order, is also used to denote inward moral order; and hence the question whether the one order is a deduction or an inference from the other must be settled on grounds other than philological.

Now, granting that sensuous impressions of the uniformity of natural phenomena did produce the idea of physical law, in the sense of the right path in which the heavenly bodies should move, how could that originate the idea of a right path in a moral sense, in which man should go? If it be affirmed that the concept of outward cosmical order did not produce inward moral order as such, but the perception of it; then, we ask, how can inward mond order exist apart from the perception of it? Is not perception the condition and evidence of its existence? Again, if it be affirmed that the concept of outward cosmical order awakened the latent sense of inward moral order, then it is evident that the sense, or ides, was there already; and hence that it was not derived from the rita, the concept of outward cosmical order, which, ex hypothesi, was deduced from sensuous impressions. Before man could apply the word rifa to the uniformity of natural phenomena, he must have known the rife, the right path, and its opposite. He must have been conscious of a law within, or a standard by which he could judge what is right and what is wrong. The very terms right and torons upply the possession of such a standard. The moral law is ingrained in the nature of man, written, us the Apostle Paul says, on the heart; and hence fragments of it are found among all nations; but among none more fully than

among the Hindus of the Vedic age. The correspondence between the Vedic and the Biblical conception of air is remarkable. The former contains the essential elements of the latter. But, in proportion as we recede from that age, we find the conception of sin becoming attenuated, until, on the one hand, it is deprived of its moral character, and, on the other, of its reality. The philosophical treatises recognise evil, but no sin; and in this respect there is nothing to choose between them and the interances of the most degraded tribes.

# 3. Personal Immortality.

The immortality of man is not a doctrine of the schools, but a belief of humanity; not based on the metaphysic, or proved by the logic, of any system, but the utterance of a primary matinet common to the race, which has made itself heard more or less distinctly

"The principle of the order of the world, of the regularity of cosmic phenomena, was conceived by the Rishia to have existed as a principle before the manifestation or any phenomena. The argument would seem to be somewhat as follows. The phenomena of the world are shifting and changeable, but the principle regulating the periodical recurrence of phenomena is constant; fresh phenomena are continually reproduced, but the principle of order remains the same; the principle, therefore, existed already when the earliest phenomena appeared; in the Vedic idium, it is their father, it has given birth to them. This parentage is exactly parallel to that of heaven and earth. Heaven and earth are the first seconding to, or by reason of, the rite, the gods are born by rits" (H. W. Wallis, Casmology of the Rig-Valo).

wherever man is found. It is the glory of Christianity that it has satisfied that instinct by authoritatively ratifying the belief in immortality and placing it beyond a doubt.

The intimations of a belief in life after death are not so numerous and distinct in the first eight books of the Rig-Veda as in the last two. They are, however, sufficiently numerous and distinct to indicate that such a belief was a prominent feature in the religious creed of the ancient Aryans. All the gods are believed to be immortal, and capable of conferring immortality upon their worshippers. Agni is said to render mortals immortal. The same power is ascribed to Soma. The Maruts are besought to make their worshippers immortal; and Mitra and Varuna are asked to grant rain, wealth, and immortality.

The following hynn, addressed to Soma, in the ninth book of the Rig-Veda, contains a vivid description of life after death, expressed in beautiful language with childlike confidence:—

"Where is eternal light, in the world where the sun is placed, in that immortal, imperishable world, place me, O Soma!"

"Where King Vaivasvata reigns, where the secret place of heaven is, where the mighty waters are, there make me immortal!"

"Where life is free in the third heaven of heavens,

<sup>1</sup> R.V., L. 31. 7.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., L. 91. 1.

<sup>&</sup>quot; 1560 .. V. . 53: 4.

<sup>4</sup> Holdy V. 03, 2,

where the worlds are radiant, there make me im-

"Where there is happiness and delight, where joy and pleasure reside, where the desires of our desire are attained, there make me immortal!"

The Vedic Aryans regarded immortality as a species of apotheosis or deification. Those who were made immortal became deitles themselves, and were invoked for the same blessings as the elder deities bestowed. The Ribbus, the three sons of Sudhanyan, the dexterous humble-minded artisans of the gods, constructed "the glorious three-wheeled car of the Asvina"; "restored their aged and infirm parents to youth"; and "divided into four the new sacrificial ladle which the divine Tvashtri had made," for which exhibition of skill they obtained divinity, and " proceeded on the road of immertality to the assemblage of the gods"; whence they are invoked: "Ye who through your skill have become gods, and like falcons are seated in the sky, do ye, children of strength, give us riches; ye, O sons of Sudhanvan, have become immortal "."

The Vedic doctrine of a future life is closely connected

R.V., ix., iij. Professor Roth says respecting this hymn, "If it were necessary, we might here find the most powerful scapons against the view which has been lately revived and proclaimed as new, that Person was the only hirthplace of the idea of immortality; and that even the nations of Europe had derived it from that quarter; as if the religious spirit of every gifted race was not able to arrive at it by its own strength".

<sup>2</sup> Milly iii., 60, 4; iv, 35, 8.

with, and seems to have grown up around, the memoryof the Pitris, or ancestral fathers of families. Though they had departed from this world, they had not ceased to live. They all occupy different stages of blessedness in the celestial spheres. They have all "obtained riches among the gods"; and as "companions" of the gods, they are all invoked, like the Roman Catholic Saints, to be propitious to, and to intercede for, their descendants here on earth." Invoked to these favourite oblations placed on the grass, may the Fathers, the offerers of Soma, come; may they hear us; may they intercede for us, and preserve us. Do us no injury, O Fathers, on account of any offence which we, after the manner of men, may commit against you Bestow wealth on the mortal who worships you; Fathers, bestow this wealth upon your sons, and now grant them sustenance." Thus the ancient Arvan faith in the continued life of the Fathers, who "had departed first," was so strong as to place the doctrine of immortality beyond a doubt. Death had not annihilated them, and hence need not annihilate their sons; and therefore they pray to be "added to the people of eternity," who dwell in Varuna's world of perfect and undying light. This faith has never lost its hold on the Hindu mind. It manifests itself now in the Sraddha ceremony, or offering to his Fathers: spirit, which is the most solemn duty devolving on every Hindu son:

\* Ibid., to 01, 1

<sup>1</sup> R. V. In 15, 1, 2

<sup>2</sup> Ibid., vi., 75, 101 vii., 35, 12, 1bid., x., 15, 1, 2.

The belief in a firture life in this form appears in all branches of the Aryan race. Cicero says, "So great is the sanctity of the tomb, our ancestors have desired that those who departed this life should be held as "detties". And Plato says, "Let men fear, in the first place, the gods above: next, the souls of the dead, to whom in the course of nature it belongs, to have a care of their off-spring". Johnson, in his Oriental Religious, says, "The Latin Dif Munes and the Greek Theor Chibanial correspond perfectly to the Vedic Pitris, blessed divinities, who watch over their descendants, and expect their tributes of holy rites".

In the later books of the Rig-Veda the belief in life after death stands impersonated in Yama. Yama and his twin sister Yami, are, according to Professors Roth and Whitney, the first human pair, the originators of the race. "As the Hobrew conception closely connected the parents of mankind by making the woman formed from a portion of the body of the man, so by the Indian tradition they are placed in the relationship of twins." In the tenth book of the Rig-Veda there is a curious dialogue between Yami and her brother Yama, where she implores him to make her his wife, on the ground (1) that "the Creator made us for man and wife, while yet in the womb"; and (2) that the "immertals" desire that Yama, "the one sole mortal," should leave a descendant behind. He, however, declines, on the pleathat it is a sin for a brother to marry his mater. Max Moller, in his Science of Language, denies that Yama and Yami are the Indian Adam and Eve; and resolves the

whole legend into one of the myths of the Dawn,—Yama, the day, and Yami, the night. This explanation, however, seems too narrow and exclusive, as it leaves no room for the exercise of thought and imagination upon the origin, condition, and destiny of the human race. Surely the tragic elements of human life, birth, and death, must have touched the ancient Aryans as profoundly as the rising and setting of the sun.

The legend of Yama and Yami was the common inheritance of the Hindu and Iranian Aryans before their separation; and hence we may reasonably infer that it was one of the original traditions of the primeval home. The Hindu Yama, the son of Vivasyat, is the Iranian Yima, the son of Vivanghat. The Hindu Yama is "the first man that died, the first that departed to the celestial world, and spied out the road for many". Consequently he is "the assembler of men, the king of the departed, who first found for us the way to a home beyond the grave, which shall not be taken from us."."

The Iranian Yima is the king and founder of a golden age, the most glorious of men, during whose reign neither sickness, nor age, nor death; neither cold nor heat; neither hatred nor strife existed. But after continuing for some time to diffuse happiness and immortality, he was disturbed by the powers of darkness, and so was compelled to withdraw; together with his

attendants, to a more contracted sphere. The difference between these two legends is this: the Hindu Yama is the king of the blessed after their departure to the celestial world: whereas the Iranian Yima is the king of the blessed in this world, who have continued to live with him from the golden age. This legend, in its original form, probably contained these two versions. For the tradition of the first "man that died," the "one sole mortal," must necessarily include the tradition of the first man that lived. The Iranians emphasised the latter and the Hindus the former. This is easily explained. The Iranians, tormented with the moral antagoniams of good and evil, which they felt so keenly, clung to the tradition of a golden past when these ilid not

'Similarly, Henod in his Works and Days describes the

"When gods alike and mortals rose to birth. A golden race, th' immortals formed on earth Of many-languaged men: they lived of old, When Krones reign'd in heaven-an age of gold. Like gods they lived with calm untroubled mind. Free from the toil and anguish of our kind. Nor sad decrepit age approaching nigh, Their limbs annerved with frail infirmity. Strangers to ill, thy nature's banquets proved, Rich in earth's fruits, and of the best beloved: They sank to earth as opinte shimber atole Soft o'er the sense, and whelm'd the walling soul. Theirs was each good: the grain exoberant soil Pour'd the full harvest, uncompell'd by toil. The virtuous many dwelt in common blest, And all unenvying shared what all in peace possessed." 164

exist. But the Hindus, less moral, more imaginative. entangled in the coils of nature worship, slighing for relief, clung to the tradition of the first man that died, who had opened a way for them to the kingdom of light, where all their sorrows shall cease.

In Yama, the Vedic doctrine of a future state finds its highest expression. The Fathers are not lost sight of: but he being the Pather of the Fathers, the first Manu, or man, is exalted above them, and regarded as their sopreme ruler. He dwells in celestial light in the innermost centre of heaven.1 He grants to the departed both "an abode distinguished by days, and waters and lights," and "a long life among the gods"." He is associated with the divine Varena, worshipped as a god; and "feasts according to his desire on the oblations". " He shares his gratifications with the eager Vasishtas. our ancient ancestors, who presented the Soma libation."4

Yama and the Fathers dwell together in eternal bliss; and the most profound yearning of their children was to join them when this life had become extinct. Hence when the body was being consumed on the funeral pyre, the following verses were addressed to the soul, " Depart thou, depart by the ancient paths to the place whither our early fathers have departed. There shalt thou see the two kings Yama, and the god Varuna, exulting in independent power. Meet the Fathers, meet with Yama, meet with the recompense of the sacrifices which thou hast

<sup>1</sup> R. V., Iz., 113, 7, S.

<sup>2</sup> Ibrdy 2, 14, 8, 9, 11.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., to \$4. 7: To 13. 5.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. a. 15. 8.

offered (or laid up) in the highest heaven. Throwing off all imperfection, go to thy home. Become united to a body, and clothed in a shining form." Sometimes it is added, "Let him depart to the mighty in battle; to the heroes who have laid down their lives for others; to those who have bestowed thousands of largesses."

The Vedic Aryans did not believe in disembodied spirits, or shades of the departed in Hades, like the Homeric Greeks, but in a complete body glorified and parified by fire. The soul, "throwing off all imperfections," becomes united to a spiritual body, "clothed in a shining form". Hence when the process of cremation is begun, Agni, the god of fire, is implored not to "burn up, or consume the departed, not to tear asunder his skin or his limbs, but after the flames have done their work of maturing, or purifying him, to convey him to the fathers". For "when he shall reach that state of vitality, he shall fulfil the pleasure of the gods". The eye of the deceased is commanded to go to the sun, his breath to the wind, and his different members to the sky,

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., x., 14, 7, 8, 9. 1 lbid., x., 134-3.

The Homeric men believed that the soul, so soon as death loosened its bands, quitted the body by the mouth or a morsal wound; and, either restless and unhappy while the body was unhonoured by funeral rites, haunted the earth; or, when it had been so honoured, descended to live a ghostly life in Hades. Achilles exclaims, when he sees the shades of Patrokles: "O strange I in the house of Hades there is soul and shadow, but no mind!" (Fairbairo Stadies in the Philosophy of Religion).

the earth, the waters, or the plants, according to their several affinities. "As for his unborn part, do thou (Agni) kindle it with thy hear; let thy flame and thy lustre kindle it, with those forms of thine, which are auspicious, convey it to the world of the righteous." The spirit thus invested with a lustre like that of the gods, sours to realms of eternal life, where it receives its ancient hody in a complete and glorified form without a limb missing." "The belief in the immortality of the soul," says Burnouf, "not naked and inactive, but living and clothed with a glorious body, was never interrupted for a moment; it is now in India what it was in those ancient times, and even rests on a similar metaphysical basis."

The Vedic conception of the pleasures of heaven is sensual rather than spiritual. Mahamedan rather than Christian. The gods themselves are not regarded as possessing purely spiritual natures, but as subject to the influence of various sensual appetites. They delight continually in qualfing the Soma, and in the exhibitation it produces; Yama is represented as carousing with the gods under a tree; the Adityas as eating honey; and the Fathers as indulging in festivity or revelry with Yama. Industry said to have a handsome wife, and to enjoy pleasure in his bouse. The Gandharvas assume the form of handsome men, in order to seduce earthly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. V., x., 10. 

<sup>2</sup> A. V., 18. \*1, 24. 25. \* La Veda, p. 180. 

<sup>3</sup> R. V., x., 135. 1. 

<sup>4</sup> A. V., x., x., 4, 31. 

<sup>5</sup> R. V., x., 14, 11. 

<sup>6</sup> Ibid., 16., 35. 4, 6.

females, though they have their own celestial wives, the Asparases. If, then, the pleasures of the goda are regarded as carnal and sensual, it is too much to expect the ancient Rishis to imagine the pleasures of departed men to be anything different. It is difficult to understand, therefore, how Professor Roth could have written, wWhat shall be the employment of the blest, in what aphere shall their activity expend itself? to this question ancient Hindu wisdom sought no answer. It la distinctly stated in A.-V., iv., 34, that "in the celestial region" the "Eaithful are promised ponds fulled with clarified batter, honey, wine, milk, and curds, as well as abundance of sexual enjoyment".

Vedic futurity had its heaven, but the intimations that it had its hell are less numerous and distinct. There are passages, however, which show that the ancient Aryans believed in a place of punishment for the wicked. Otherwise we can scarcely explain such passages as those in which Yama is regarded as an object of terror. He is said to have two insatiable dogs, with four eyes and wide nostrils, which guard the road to his abode, and which the dead are advised to hurry past with all possible speed. These dogs wander among men as his messengers, doubtless to summon them to the presence of their master, who in R.-V., z., 165, 4, is identified with arrive, death, and described as sending a bird, the herald of doors. Deliverance also is sought from the bonds of Yama, as well as from those of Varua.

<sup>1</sup> A. V., W. 37, 11. R. V. K. 14, 10, 13. 1644, 2, 97, 16

It may be objected that these passages which represent Yama as an object of terror, do not prove a future hell, but only the instinctive fear of man to die. But we have more positive evidence. Mention is made of a pit (Arria), into which the hated and irreligious are hurled; and into which Indra casts those wim offer no sacrifices. This deep abyse has been produced for those who, being amners, false, untrue, go about like women without brothers, like wicked females hostile to their husbands. One poet prays that the Adity as may preserve him from the destroying wolf, and from falling into the pit. And Indra is implored to annihilate the might of malignant hosts, and hurl them into the vast and vile pit."

The doctrine of a future state of rewards and punishments is more distinctly taught in the Brahmanas than in the Mantra portion of the Vedaa. Professor Weber says, "In the Brahmanas, immortality, or at least longevity, is promised to those who rightly understand and practise the rites of sacrifice; while those who are deficient in this respect, depart before their natural time of life to the next world, where they are weighed in a balance, and receive good or evil, according to their deeds. The more sacrifices any one has offered, the more othereal is the body he obtains; or, as the Brahmanas express it, the more rarely does he need to eat. In other passages again, it is promised as the highest reward that the pious man shall, be born in the next

<sup>1</sup> R. V., 18, 73, 8. 1 Ibid., by 121, 13, 4 Ibid., 1v., 5, 5, 5, 1bid., 1v., 20, 0. 4 Ibid., 1c, 135, 5,

world with his entire body (narratanar run (ângah), Here the high estimation of individual existence culminates, and a purely personal immortality is involved.

There is no trace of the doctrine of metempsychosis in the hymns of the Rig-Veda. The old Rishis evince no sympathy whatever with the desire to get rid of action and personal existence, which became so remarkable a feature of later Hindu Theology and Philosophy. On the contrary, they manifest a cheerful enjoyment of life, and the most carnest desire for its prolongation in this world, and its continuation in the next. According to Weber,3 the doctrine of the transmigration of souls is first found in the Khandogya Upanishad, and the Vrihad Aranyka of the white Yajus; but in such a complete form as to make it certain that it existed long before the date of those treatises. Barth, in his Religious of India, says, "The doctrine which is henceforth the fundamental hypothesis common to all the religious and sects of India, is found formulated in the Upamahada for the first time. In the most ancient portions of the Brahmanas, it appears of small account, and with less range of application. The faith we find there seems simply to be, that the man who has led an immoral life may be condemned to return to this world to undergo here an existence of misery. Re-birth is only a form of punishment; it is the opposite of the celestial life, and tantamount to the infernal. It is not yet what it is here, and what it will continue to be eventually, the state of

<sup>1</sup> Muir, vol. v., pp. 314, 5, 6, Hist, of Ind. Lit., p. 23.

personal being, a state which may be realised in endlessly diverse forms of being, from that of the insect to that of the god, but all of equal instability, and subject to relapse. It is impossible to fix the period at which this old belief found in the new menaphysical ideas the medium favourable to its expansion. But it is certain that from the end of the sixth century, before our era, when Sakyamuni was meditating his work of salvation, the doctrine, such as it appears in the Upaniahads, was almost complete, and appears deeply rooted in the popular conscience. Without this point d'appui the spread of Buddhism would hardly be intelligible."

The degrading effect of this doctrine on the Hindu mind is graphically described by Dr. Wilson in his Isdie Three Thousand Years Age, "The bringing of the brutes up to the level of man has brought down man to the level of the brutes. It has driven man entirely from the apprehension of his right position in the scale of creation. It has confused, compounded and confounded him, to his great dishonour, with beasts, and birds, and reptiles, and fishes; with the lowest invertebrated animals, and even with vegetable organism of every species and variety. I am now an intelligent man, but soon I may be a chattering monkey; I am now a tender hearted woman, but ere long I may be a ravening woll; I am now a studious boy, but next year I may be a stunid buffalo: I am now a playful girl, but after my next birth I may be a skipping goat. That querolous grow may be my own deceased father, that hangry cat my own departed mother, that raging bear my quondam brother, and that

crawling serpent my late sister.' This is the legitimate language of metempsychosis. What a degradation of the sublime doctrine of the ancient Rishis! And what a complete refutation of the dictum of certain philosophers, that the doctrine of a future state has been endually evolved from dreams! The higher up we trace the Hindu Aryan doctrine of a future life, the more perfect and sublime we find it; the lower down we follow it, the more degraded and irrational it appears.

# 4. The Origin and Geneth of Caste.

The word "caste" is derived from the Portuguese word "custa," race; and is used by Europeans to represent the Sanscrit words varna, colour, and jati, tribe or class. And the three words autr. varna, fatt, are used to denote the various classes into which the Hindu conmunity is divided by hard and fast lines, which absolutely har every entrance from a lower to a higher social grade. It is not merely a social institution, defining the various grades of society like rank among other nations, but a religious institution, a radical difference between man and man, created by the Deity, the preservation of which is the most sacred duty devolving upon every Hlodu.

The rules of caste are almost innumerable but they are all connected more or less with (1) food and its preparation, (2) inter-marriage, and (3) professional pursuits. These features, however, were not developed in

the earliest part of the Vedic age? "There is no authority whatever in the hymns of the Veda for the complicated system of caste; no authority for the offensive privileges claimed by the Brahmans; no authority for the degraded position of the Sudras. There is no law to prohibit the different classes of the people from living together, from eating and drinking together; no law to prohibit the marriage of people belonging to different castes; no law to brand the offspring of such marriages with an indelible stigma." Rishis and Priests, as we have seen before,3 were from the Kahatriya, as well as from the Brahman, caste; such as Visyamitra, the author of the Gavatri, and Jamadagm, the reputed father of Parasurama, the great champion of the Brahmans And Brahman Rishis married the daughters of Kshatriyas. or kings. It is evident, therefore, that Priests and Rishia did not constitute a caste in those days, in the modern sense of the term. Indeed, the Satapatha Brahmana distinctly states that all men after the debuge sprang from Manu and Ida; and the Rig-Veda, in its account of the first created pair, Yama and Yami, implies the common origin of all nations in the beginning.

<sup>&</sup>quot;At that time there were three features of caste not as yet developed; 1st, exstriction of trade or occupation; 2nd, objections to oating with people other than caste men; 3rd, objections to inter-marriage" (A Lecture delivered by Pundit Sivanath Sastri, M.A., in Madras, Nov. 19, 1881).

Max Muller, Chips from a German Workshop, vol. 16, pp. 311, 2.

<sup>\*</sup> See p. 10, ante.

It is true that in the dialogue between Yama and Yam, he declines to take his sister to wife; but this is obviously an adaptation of the original story to suit the susceptibilities of a later age. For had he not taken her for his wife, whence came his descendants?

"The doctrine of ceremonial defilement by touch, or by eating and drinking-by which the existence of caste is particularly marked in the present social and religious life of the Hindus -is not recognised in the Vedas in a single instance."3 There was then neither horror nor defilement attached to eating beef; for "when the pious have recourse to Indra for food, they find it in the haunts of the Genra and Gazara, two well-known Indian species of the wild ax "J " Bestow (Indra) upon him who glorifies thee food, the chiefest of which is cattle." "Release Vasishtha, O King, like a thief who has feasted on stolen oxen." Indra is represented as " cutting in pieces the limbs of Vritra as of a cow";3 and as eating the fiesh of bulls and buffaloes when drinking large draughts of the Soma." Agm, to aid his friend Indra, fornified himself by eating three hundred huffaloes; T and Rishi Vamadeva confesses, that when in extreme destitution, he cooked and eat the entrails of a dog," which, according

<sup>1</sup> R. V., S., 10, 11, 12,

<sup>.</sup> Dr. Wilmin's India Three Thomand Years Age.

Professor H. H. Wilson R.-V., vii., 86, 5

<sup>·</sup> Ibid., L, 61, 12. "Ibid., 2, 28, 5

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vi. 19, 7. \* Ibid., iv., 18, 13.

even to Manu, did not make him impure under the circumstances.

But though modern caste was unknown in the Vedic age, the four social ranks, priests, warriors, husbandmen and serfs, were recognised before the collection of the Rig Veda was completed. In the 90th hums of the 10th book of the Rig-Veda, called the Purushs Sukta, the four ranks are mentioned by their technical names. "When they formed (or offered up) Parusha (primeral Male), into how many parts did they divide him? What was his mouth? What were his arms? What were called his thighs and feet? The Brahman was his mouth; the Rajanya (king) was made his arms; the Vaisya became his thugha; the Sudra was born from his feet." This highly figurative hymn proves no more as to the origin of the four castes than it does as to the origin of the moon, sun, and wind, which it represents us having been produced respectively from the mind, the eye, and the breath of Purusha. It is interesting only as showing that the four social ranks were technically known in those days. Again, in an address to the Asvins, the poet says. "Favour the prayer (brokens). favour the service, kill the Rikshasas, drive away the evil . . . favour the power (khatra) and favour the manly strength favour the row (dhenu, the representative of property) and favour the people or house (visha) "2

The exalted position of the priesthood, as a profession,

Mann, 10, 105,

<sup>\*</sup> R.-V., viii., 15-18.

was also acknowledged; and the Priest had already commenced to arrogate to himself that supreme power over all other classes of the community which ultimately colminated in his deification. "That king before whom marches the Priest he alone dwells well-established in his own house, to him the earth yields at all times, to him the people bow by themselves." "The king who gives wealth to the Priest, that implores his protection, he will conquer propposed the treasures, whether of his enemies or his friends; him the gods will protect." He met, however, with determined resistance and ridicule at first, which is evident from the following imprecations, "Whosoever, O Maruts, weans himself above us, or scoffs at the prayer (brukma) which we have made, may hot plagues come upon him, may the sky burn up that hater of Brahmana (bruhmadrish)"

"Did they not call thee. Some, the Guardian of the Brahman? did they not say that thou didst shield us against curses? Why dost thou look on when we are scoffed at? Hurl against the hater of the Brahman the fiery spear."

According to Manu, Brahma caused the Brahman, the Kshatriya, the Vaisya, and the Sudra, to proceed from his mouth, his arm, his thigh, and his foot; and some of these, by inter-marriage, and others by neglect of Brahman, cal rites, produced the other castes. And this is the

In the original Brahman, 2 R.V., iv., 50, 7, 8, 4 Ibids, via 51, 2, 3.

prevailing doctrine in India at the present day. Apart, however, from the fact that Brahma, prayer, was not developed into a god when the four social distinctions of rank were first recognised in the Rig-Veda, many of Manu's degraded castes are known to be the pre-Aryan aboriginal inhabitants of India, such as the Dravidas of the south; while others derive their names from countries and professions, such as Vaidehaa from Vidaha; and Venas, musicians, from Vena, lyre. Besides, there is no evidence that Manu's caste system ever extended to the south of India. The Aryans did not conquer the south by force of arms, as they did the north, but by the more honourable force of superior knowledge and higher civilisation. They were unable, therefore, either to impose their language upon the aboriginal inhabitants,

The following is a concise statement of the doctrine from the latimala. "In the first creation by Brahma, Brahmans proceeded, with the Veda, from the mouth of Brahma. From his arma Kahatriyas aprung; so from his thighs Valsyus; from his foot Sudras were produced; all with their femalus. The ford of creation, virwing them, said, What shall be your occupation?' They replied, 'We are not our own masters, O God, command us what to undertake . Ylewing and comparing their labours, he made the first tribe superior over the rest. As the first had great inclination for the divine praises (Brahma veda), therefore he was Brahman. The protector from ill (Kshayate) was Kahatriya. Him whose profession (Yess) consists in commerce, which promotes the success of wars, for the protection of himself and mankind, and in husbandry, and attendance on cattle, he called Vallys. The other should voluntarily serve the three tribes, and therefore become a Sudran he should humble himself at their feet."

or to treat them as seris. Hence they cunningly called the higher and middle classes of the Dravidiana " Sudrat." persuading them that in calling them by that name, they were conferring a title of honour upon them. And consequently Sudras in the south rank next to Brahmans, and the title is regarded by all classes as a title of honour. The Pariabs of the south, who were probably conquered by the present Sudras, appear to correspond to the Sudras of the north. It is evident, therefore, that Manu's account of the origin of caste is altogether imaginary.

The true origin of the four primitive Hindu ranks must be sought in the social, political and religious necessities of the Aryans on their arrival in "the land of the five rivers". That their first settlement was the Punjah, whence they gradually extended to the east and south-east, is evident from the geography of the hymna, The limits of which are, on the west, Kabha, the Kophen of the Greeks, the river Cabul and its affluents, and the Gandharis," a tribe of the valley; the Rasa, which corresponds with the Zend name of Jaxartes, appears to be mythical in the Veda.2 On the east, the Sarayu, the modern Gogra, and the tribe of the Kikatas in Bihār.4 The authors of the hymns were also acquainted with the sea, the Indian Ocean, south of the Indua . In the time of Manu they occupied the whole regions called by

<sup>1</sup> fibrid., h. 125, 7. 1 K. V., V. 53, 41 In 75, 4 \* Ridge Him S. la 14 1 thirty iv., 30, 157 v. 53, 9. 1 Ibid., vii., 05, 21 i., 19, 7, 71, 7-12

him Aryavaria, the abode of the Aryans, extending from the western to the eastern sea, and bounded on the north and south by the Himelays and Vindhya mountains.

The Aryans were then in a strange country, inhabited by a strange, uncouth people, who differed greatly from themselves in colour, language, religion, and customs. These, in consequence of the opposition which they nifered to the advance of the conquerors, are described by them in the most odinus terms, as durrus, enemies; dan; slaves; nikelane, barbarians; travel, raw flesh eaters; avrita, devoid of religious rites; abrahma, priestless: anagustra, not keeping the sacred fire; achitas, mad; and meuraderas, worshippers of mad gods. They are even accused of cating human flesh! Thus we read, "The yatudhams who gloat on the bloody flesh of men or horses, and steal the milk of the cow, O Agni, cut off their heads with thy fiery sword". There is no reason, however, to believe that this description of the aborigines by their conquering enemies as correct; for we find that the great Brahman Rishi, Vasishta, when in foul with the Raja Rishi, Visvamitra, is called not only an enemy, but a "withdhina" or demon. Besides, we learn that these people had fortified cities, fought with weapons, possessed much wealth, were governed by kings.

As might be expected, they opposed the invaders of their country and the plunderers of their wealth with all

Manu, ii., 21, 2 TR.-V., x., 87, 2,

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., i, 103, 3; x., 102, 3; viii., 24, 27; i., 51, 5; iii., 12. 6; ii., 25, 4; i., 58, 8, 9; vii., 104, 2.

the means at their disposal. But the greater physical strength and superior skill of the Aryana prevailed, and they had to submit to a foreign yoke. Here, then, was the first distinction of caste, a distinction both ethnical and political—the distinction between foreigners and natives, between the conquerors and the conquered. This distinction was heightened by the difference of colour existing between the two races; the former being "white" and the latter "black," —a difference still visible between the Aryans and the non-Aryans. And hence mens, colour, the term used by the Aryana to mark off the difference between themselves and the aboriginal inhabitants, came afterwards to be the general designation of all distinctions in Hundu society.

The aborginal inhabitants, who submitted peaceably to Aryan rule, were denominated Sudras, serfs—in contradistinction to the Aryans, "nobles". The word "Sudra" is not of Sanscrit origin, and hence must have been imported into the Aryan speech from some of the non-Aryan languages. In the Vishnu Purana we find that Sudras and Abbirar are invariably mentioned together, as if conterminous. Abbirar, according to Ptolemy, is a district above Pattalene on the Indus. The Sudras, therefore, were a people who lived in the same vicinity on the banks of the same river, and were probably the Hudralen mentioned by Megasthenes, who sent auxiliaries to the Persians before the time of Alexander the

<sup>1</sup> R.V., L. 100, 18; H., 20, 7; His, 14, 93 H., 20, 7-

Wilson's V. P., vol. ii., pp. 184. 5.

Great. These, it is natural to suppose, were the first people conquered by the Aryans after crossing the Indus; and as they gradually conquered other people, on their march from west to east, they extended this designation to them, as a sign both of conquest and of difference of blood. At first these Sudras must have been of great assistance to the Aryana; and in recognition of this, they were not unwilling to admit them to their merifices, as appears from the following passage from the Satapatha Brahmana, respecting the call of the sacrificers, " If the sacrificer be a Brahman, it is said this come; if he is a Vaisya, then it is agahi, come hither; when a Rajabandhu, it is adrawa, run hither; with a Sudra, it is adrawa, run hither". But when the Aryans had extended their conquests and consolidated their power, the old antipathy between the "white complexion" and the "dark skin" revived, and the Sudras were relegated to that humiliating position in which we find them in Manu's time, when it was enacted, "Let him (a Brahman not give advice to a Sudra, nor what remains from his table; nor clarified butter of which a part has been offered; nor let him give spiritual counsel to such a man; nor inform him of the legal expiation for his sin. Surely he who declares the law to a servile man, and he who instructs him in the mode of expiating sin, sinks with that very man into the hell named Asomerita."

A large number of the aboriginal inhabitants refused to submit to the Aryans and fled, like the Weish before

<sup>1</sup> Manu, iv., 80. 81.

the Saxons, to the mountains and other inaccessible places, which is evident from the non-Aryan character of the languages spoken by their descendants at the present day. And probably some dissatisfied deserters from the great Aryan host joined them, and, uniting their forces with those of the aboriginal inhabitants, fought against their brethren. Hence Indra is often invoked to "destroy both these our foes, our Dasa and our Arya enemies". According to the Tandya Brahmana, many of these renegades were subsequently readmitted to the Brahman community by the performance of sacrifices called postpartomas.

It was necessary, therefore, to set apart a large number of the Aryan community to protect the invaders from the constant incursions of the Natives, as well as to extend and consolidate their dominion. These were the Kahatriyas, the powerful ones; and their chiefs became first the heads of petry states, and afterwards, princes of mighty kingdoms. Their children from generation to generation were brought up in the same profession, and so, in the course of time, the profession of arms became hereditary, and the warriors a caste. And as their profession was both honourable and lucrative, leasanuch as the safety of their brethren depended on their provess, they were impelled by the most potent motives of self-interest to guard it with jealous vigilance against all intruders.

But the warriors could not exist without the husbandmen, who in every age and everywhere are the backbone

of government. They till the ground and supply the necessaties of life; and though their calling is humble; than that of the soldier, it is equally important and necessary. They were Faires, or householders, and one of the designations of the king was Vispati, the lord of the Vic. The necessities of social life compelled the Vaisyas to divide themselves into various professions and handicrafts. Carpenters and smiths were necessary to make agricultural implements; masons to build houses; weavers to weave cloth; jewellers to make ornaments; merchants to buy and sell; and physicians to attend the sick. Thus gradually rose all the divisions of the professional and artisan classes; and as each class not only became a sort of guild to guard its own interests against every other class, but brought up its children in the same calling, such calling by degrees became exclusive, and its followers a caste.

Contemporaneously with these classes, and at first imperceptibly, there grew up another class, the Brahmanical or Priestly, destined to assume the most awful prerogatives, and to exercise the most tyrannical powers within the reach of man. At the dawn of history, access to the gods by prayer and sacrifice was the undoubted privilege of every Aryan without distinction. The father was probably the first Purhihita, or foreman, who conducted the worship of the family, and after this model Arm was constituted the Purhihita of the gods. The poet, however, occupied a position so exalted from the earliest times, as to cause

<sup>1</sup> Lithuanian Wierpatis.

even kings to cover the high honour of being Rajarishis, or royal bards. No wonder, for he inspired the nation with odes in honour of the gods; he prayed for victory in the day of battle, for rain in the time of drought, and for all blessings to the friends of Indra | His prayer was apparently answered. A victory was won, or a great drought was removed by abundance of refreshing showers. Then came the war song and the hynn of praise, "Did not Indra preserve Sudas in the hattle of the ten kings through your prayer, O Vasishtas?"1 "This prayer of Visvāmitra, of one who has praised heaven, and earth, and Indra, preserves the people of the Bhamtas." "The Rish Devipi, son of Rishtishena, performing the sacrifice, and skilled in celebrating the gods, has let loose the showers of rain from the upper to the lower ocean. The waters were mint up by the gods in the upper ocean; when let loose by Devāpi, they were discharged on the plains," The poet was naturally elated, and soon began to believe that there must be some connection between his atterances and the blessings obtained. His children were prought up in the same profession and in the same belief. If not all poets themselves, they could treasure in their memories the songs of their sires-the songs that had accomplished so much-and repeat them as circumstances required. Occasionally original poets arose, then new songs were added to the literature of the nation; and as this process continued from age to age, it resulted in the production of a literature so engrmous

<sup>1</sup> R. V., vii., 33: 4 1 lbid, iii., 55, tz. 1 lbid, z., 98, 5, 6.

as, in the absence of writing, to make it absolutely necessary for a class of men to devote themselves entirely to its preservation and transmission. Add to this, that, in the course of time, the language of the Veda ceased to be understood by the multitude. The old Sanscrit of the Aryans, having decayed and given birth to new dialects, lay buried in the hymna. From that epoch Sanscrit became a sacred language, and the Veda a sacred text, which could only be taught, as well as preserved, by a class of men set apart for the purpose. Thus originated the Rishis or Seers, Hebrew raim, a class of men half prophets and half priests, whose function it was to teach, inspire, and lead the people. It is not difficult to understand how such men were soon reverenced as the best and wiseat, as those who fived nearest to, and on the most familiar terms with, the gods. A prayer, therefore, attered in behalf of any one, or a sacrifice performed by one of these Rishis, must necessarily be deemed more efficacious than if attered or performed by the head of the family, or by the individual himself; and so the Rishi gradually developed into a Purbobita; and us power generally gravitates to the wisest, especially in the earliest stages of society, he was emlowed with supreme power, both political and spiritual. Every king must have a Purhohita as his friend, counsellor, and minister. For "breath does not

The following show that the Rishi and the Priest or Brahman were identical at a very early age: R.-V., i., 817 i., 164, 35; ii. 12, 6; v., 40, 8; ii., 20, 4; vi., 21, 8; ii., 10, 5; x., 95, 5; vii., 28, 2; vii., 70, 5; L. 177, 5.

leave him before time, he lives to an old age, he goes to his full time, and does not die again, who has a Brahman as guardian of his land, as Purhobita. He conquers power by power; obtains strength by strength; the people obey him, are peaceful and of one mind." t Hence we find that the Rishis Vasishta and Visvāmitra, who, together with their families, were Purhohitas to king Sudas, not only chanted hymns and offered sacrifices, but followed his army-Bismarck-like-and counselled him as chief minister. And the long contest which these two families carried on, in order to secure for themselves the hereditary dignity of Purhohita, shows how highly the office was valued, and the tendency to make it exclusive. This tendency, persisted in, ultimately prevailed, and the office became sacred and hereditary. And as the Vedic ritual developed, four classes of priests were ordained to officiate at the various sacrifices, of which the Brahman, the utterer of prayer par excellence, was only one; he was, however, the chief priest, Purhohita, or Episcopos of all the ceremonies. And when the power, which had been distributed among many chieflains, was consolidated in the hands of a few powerful kings, the priestly families took advantage of their position as counsellors to those kings, and forming themselves into a compact fraternity, usurped supreme power on earth. No wonder, therefore, that we read in the Satapatha Brahmana, "There are two kinds of gods: first the gods, then those who are Brahmans, and who

Adariya Brahmana

have learnt the Veda and repeat it, they are human gods (manushyah desah). And this sacrifice is twofold; oblations for the gods, gifts for the human gods, the Brahmans, who have learnt the Veda and repeat it. With oblations he appeares the gods, with gifts the human gods, the Brahmans, who have learnt the Veda and repeat it. Both gods, when they are pleased, place him in bliss."

It is evident then (1) That there was no caste properly so called in the Mantra, or oldest period of the Veda; and (2) That the four social distinctions, which subsequently developed into caste, were nothing more in that early age than four social ranks, which originated in the necessities of social, political, and religious life.

Indeed, the doctrine that originally there was but one caste, is not altogether forgotten in the popular legends of the caste-ridden part Vedic age. In the Vishnu Purāna, we read, "In the Krita, or golden age, there were no castes, orders, varieties of condition, or mixture of castes"; and in the Bhāgavata Purāna, that "There was formerly only one Veda, the sacred monosyllable Om, the essence of all speech; only one god, Narayana, one Agni (fire), and one caste". Bhrigu, in the Mahābhārata, says, "There is no difference of castes; this world, having been at first created by Brahma entirely Brahmanic, became afterwards separated into castes in consequence of works. Those Brahmans (lit twice-born men) who were fond of sensual pleasures, fiery, trascible, prone to violence, who had forsaken their duty, and were

Chips from a German Workshop, vol. ii., p. 137.

red-limbed, fell into the condition of Kahatriyas. Those Brahmans who derived their livelihood from lone, who were yellow, who subsisted by agriculture, and who neglected to practise their duties, entered into the state of Vaisyas. Those Brahmans who were addicted to mischief, falsehood, who were covetous, who lived by all kinds of work, who were black and had fallen from purity, sank into the condition of Sudras. Being separated from each other by these works, the Brahmans became divided into different castes:

### CHAPTER V.

#### THE SOTERIOLOGY OF THE VEDAS.

"Pecisti nos propter te, et inquietum est cor nostrum donce requiescar in te." - Acquarine.

THE term "Soteriology" is used in this chapter to denote all the means employed by the Vedic Aryans to please the gods and to obtain happiness, both in this world, and in the world to come.

# & 1. Prayer and Praise,

"As far back as we can trace the life of man, we find the river of prayer and praise flowing as naturally as it is flowing now. We cannot find its beginning because we cannot find the beginning of the soul." It is no exaggeration to state that no nation appears at the dawn of history so full of prayer and praise as the Hinda Aryans. Their sacred hymns are called Suktus, "Laudations"; and "sacrifices of the heart sweeter than butter and honey to Indra"? Yes, "they are even as oxen, bulls and cows to Agni"." The loving praises of his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Johnson's Oriental Religions, vol. i., p. 90.

<sup>3</sup> R.-V., viii., 24, 20.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid., vi., 10, 47.

worshippers, uttered from the soul, proceed to Indra as messages, and touch his heart. "They enable him to overcome all his mighty enemies." "The gods are propitiated and their vigour enhanced by prayer and praise." "The adorable Agni is magnified by the hymns, the prayers, the praises of his worshippers." One Rishi addressing Varuna says, "To propitiate thee, O Varuna, we bind thy mind with hymns, as the charioteer his weary steed." and another declares "that prayer is his best armour."

In these hymns the gods are landed, partly on account of their intrinsic excellence, but chiefly on account of the benefits which they are supposed to bestow on their votaries. Prayers were offered to them for the necessaries of life and the removal of calamities. And in their most serious moments, when the consciousness of sin asserted itself powerfully, the old hards implored the deities to be gracious and to forgive their sins. "If, Varuna, we have ever committed an offence against a benefactor, a friend, a companion, a brother, a near neighbour, or, Varuna, a dumb man, remove it from us. If like gamesters, who cheat at play, we commit offences knowingly, or unknowingly, do thou, divine Varuna, extricate us from them all, as from loosened bonds, so that we may be dear, Varena, to thee." \* These prayers and praises were offered in childlike confidence that the

Compare Hosen, av., 2; Hebrews, xin, 15; Pa. cxix., 108.

<sup>1</sup> R. V. S. 42, 7; vil. 31, 12. " thid., viii. 12, 19, 12.

<sup>\*</sup> Thid, 110, 5, 2. \* That, No. 75, 19 \* Ikid., v. 85, 7, 8.

gods would accept them, for without faith (seaddha) offerings and prayers are vain.

Prayer and praise are the spontaneous expression of the feelings of dependence upon, and moral relationship to. God. The feeling of dependence upon some one, higher and greater than himself, naturally leads man to pray for the help which he needs, and to render thanksgivings and praise for it when received. And the feeling of moral relationship to God, involving as it does the consciousness of sin, naturally leads him to cry for pardon and reconciliation.

## \$ 2. Sacrifice.

Sacrifice (Yajia) is the soul of Veda. It is older than the hymns, for they were composed for its celebration. "Vishnu and Indra made the spacious world for the sake of sacrifice." And the "Lord of creatures" initiated sacrifice in the beginning as the means by which be created the universe! The first act performed by Manu on his descent from the ark, after the deluge, was to offer sacrifice. "Sacrifice is the axle of the world's wheel and the fecundating power of all things." It is eternal and universal, offered by gods as well as by men.

We observe, however, that the sacrifices of the earliest Vedic ritual were very much simpler than those of the later ceremonial. With the rise and growth of the priesthood, sacrifice was developed to such an extent as

<sup>1</sup> R.V., a., 1511 il., 26, 3

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., vii., 99, 4.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., in 164, 34. 5.

<sup>\* 16</sup>id., x., 82, 90; 130.

to be considered, not only the chief means of propitiating and pleasing the deities, but the source of gods, men, and the universe! By uncrifice the gods created all things? By sacrifice they became immural.3 By sacrifice the ancient Fathers, the first sacrificers, delivered the world from chaos, gave birth to the sun, kindled the stars, and became equal to the greatest of the gods." And by sacrifice their children are exalted to the same sphere of immortality and blessedness. "Thou dost not perinh, O sacrificer | nor thou who offerest libations, nor thou, O godly man!" 4 "Indra chooses for his intimate friend the man who presents offerings, but desires no friendship with him who offers no libations." Those who offer particular sacrifices "become Agus, Varuna, or Indra, and attain to union and to the same spheres with these gods respectively "." Sin contaminates not, difficulties assail not; neither does distress at any time afflict the mortal, to whose sacrifice Indra and Varuna repair "1

When the sacrificial victim was consigned to the fire, the following formula was addressed to it. "Thou are the annulment of sins committed by the gods. Thou are the annulment of sins committed by the Futhers. Thou are the annulment of sins committed by men. Thou are the annulment of sins committed by ourselves. What ever sins we have committed by day or by night, thou are the annulment thereof. Whatever sins we have

<sup>\*</sup> R.V., x., 85: x., 135, 154. \* Ibid., viii., 31, 16.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid., x., 4s. 4. \* Sal. Bearing 0, 4. 8. R. V., vii., 8z, 7.

committed slesping or waking, thou art the annulment thereof. Whatever sins we have committed knowing or unknowing, thou art the annulment thereof. Thou art the annulment of sin."

The most ancient division of sacrifice appears to have been into three classes: (1) Havis, Havir-yaina, lihti, ment offerings; (2) Pasa, Pasabandha, animal offerings; and (3) Soma, Soumya adhisara, soma offerings. We read in the Panchavimsa Brahmana: " Hatervapuatr, par deva imam lokam abhvajavam, autariksham pasumadohin, simile amuse;" i.e., by meat offerings the godly ones conquered this world, by animal offerings the middle regions, by some offerings that world, or the highest regions. To this a fourth class, Paka-pajaa, or little sacrifices, called also domestic offerings (grynharma), being partly meat and partly animal, was added. Manu is said to have sacrificed with a Paka. In Gantama's classification." Paka nains is made the first chief division, and Parabandha is included in Haviryajua. These three classes have seven aubdivisions in each.

Pakayajnas were chiefly offerings of cakes, soups, grains, fruits, butter, milk, and honey.

Tandya Br. (Sat. Br., 1, 8, 1, 7, viii., 18, 20,

They comain.—Aratula, or ascrifices on the eighth day of the four dark halves of the winter months, from October-November to January February; Párvana, sacrifices on the new and full moon: Sradia, funeral oblations: Sravani, Agrahayani, Sutri, and Assayugi, the days of full moon, from July-August, from November-December, from March-April, and from September-October. Under this head naturally fall the

HAVIEYAJNAS I had, in addition, animal offerings, such as men, luffaloes, goats, cows, sheep, and horses. In:

five daily oblations, called emphatically the five Masayapan, or great sacrifices, oblations (i) to the gods, (s) to the pitris, (3) to all creatures, (4) to the rishis, (3) to men. The first is performed by an oblation to the gods offered on the domestic fire; the second by pouring out water to the spirits of the departed; the third by an offering to annuals; the fourth by a repetition of the Verla; and the fifth by gifts to men and bospitality to guests.

They contain,-Agnyadheya, the ceremony at which the young householder kindles for the first time, by means of friction, the sacred are (garlagalya), and puts it in a separate place in the house, called agains, where, like the Jewish fire of the burnt offering [Lev., vi., u, 13], it must never be allowed to go out : Agailiotes, morning and evening oblations of units to the are called aharanga, kindled by means of the garagatos of the sgars, which ceremony must terminate only with life; Darsoburnamans, half-monthly sacrifices, perhamed at new and full moon, which was a meat offering: Agrayamant, the first fruits of the harvest, offered generally twice a year frompare Lev., sxiit. o. ral; Chausmarya, the earlifice offered at the beginning of every four months, viz .- at the beginning of Spring (Varanta), the miny reason (Franch), and Autumn (Sarad), -the obligation to perform it lasting from one to seven years; Nicodhafann handha, an animal sacrifice offered separately, and not forming an integral part of another coremony, made once a year at the beginning of the ramy season in the house of the sacrincer, and consisted of a he-goat and ment offering . Sautojewat, a cereminy forming the last act of a Somerajne, whose object was to cleaner the priests who might have drunk to excess, and to release the satrificer from all ain; a goat, a ram, and a bull, together with a r trans liquer, were necessary for this determiney.

R.-V., vi., 17, 11, Pushan and Vishnu are invoked to dress a hundred buffaloes (salammakisham) to Indra; and in another place the Rishi Gritsamada exclaims, "Agni, descendant of Bharata, thou art entirely ours, when sacrificed to with pregnant kine, with barren cows (rasa) or bulls (uksha)".\(^1\) In the Atharva-Veda, xi., 2, 9, we read, "Thine, O Bhava, are these five victims, divided as cows, horses, men, goats, and sheep". The same sacrificial victims are mentioned in the Ait. Br., book ii.\(^2\)

Somarajnas derived their name from the intoxicating juice of the Soma plant, which formed their chief substance. They were, however, accompanied with meat and animal offerings; and so corresponded to the next and drink offerings of the Jews. Somarajnas lasting one day were called Ekahas, and those lasting more than one day Akimu. When they lasted more than twelve days they were Saltras, or sessions. There were Saltras which lasted several months, a whole year, and even several years; in theory there were some that lasted a thousand years. But, whether short or long, the Soma ceremonies required elaborate preparation, and entailed much expense. Notwithstanding, they seem to have

R.-V., B., 7, 5. Hang, vol. 1, 5.

These, containing Againstoma, Atyagainstoma, Ukthya, Shadaris, Vajapeya, Ativatro, and Aptarasia, are all different forms of the Somayajna; varying in the number of victims sacrificed, but chiefly in the number of stomas, or praises offered to the deities.

been very frequent at one time; a thousand, and even ten thousands, are spoken of in the Veda.1

Though Gantama's classification of the Vedic sacrifices is the simplest and commonest, it fails, equally with all other classifications, to give an adequate idea of their number and complexity: e.g., besides the seven usually mentioned as constituting the Somartima, there are others far more costly, and lasting many days, such as the Rajariana, the consecration of a universal king, the Assanidha, the sacrifice of a horse, the Parashanidha, the sacrifice of a man, and the Sarramidha, the sall sacrifice." Indeed, it is calculated, that if all the varieties specified in the texts were reckoned up, they would amount to more than a thousand!

The three classes of sacrifices are called the thrice seven mystic rites comprised in Agni, because without Agni (fire) they could not be celebrated. For the performance of the first, one Srauta fire, the Girhapatra, was sufficient, but for the last two, three Srauta fires, the Gärhapatra, Ahammya, and Dakshina, were necessary. The last two Srauta fires were kindled from the first. These three fires are alluded to in R.V., ii., 36, 4. Bring the gods hither, sage, and offer sacrifice: at the three altars seat thee willingly, O priest.

The high antiquity of the Soma cultus is attested by the references to it in the Iranian Zend-Avesta. The human of the Zend-Avesta is etymologically the same as the Soma of the Veda. Both are from the root in.

<sup>1</sup> R. V. t. 10, 2; iii. 33, 7. 1 thid., 72, 6; 1, 2; iv., 12, 1,

Zend ha, which signifies "to beget," and "to drop," or "to press out juice": thus showing that Soma-sacrifice was prevalent before the separation of the Hindu Aryans from their brethren, the Iranians. It seems, however, to have received a new impulse on the Indian territory, as the hymns of the Veda, especially those of the ninth book of the Rig, exhibit it in a remarkable state of development. There Soma is addressed as a god in the highest strains of veneration; all divine powers belong th him, all blessings are his to bestow. "We have drunk the Soma, we have become immortal, we have entered into light, we have known the gods. What can an enemy now do to us, or what can the malice of any mortal effect. O thou immortal god?"

In common with the Scythians, the Arrambaha, or horse-sacrifice, was a very ancient rite among the Hindu Aryans, hymns 162 and 163 of the first Mandala of the Rig-Veda being used at its celebration. It was regarded as the chief of animal sacrifices; and, in later times, its efficacy was so exaggerated, that a hundred horse-sacrifices were supposed to entitle the sacrificer to displace even Indra from his throne in heaven!

According to R. V., i., the secrifice of a horse was preceded by that of a goat to Pushan. "When they (the Priests) lead before the horse, which is decked with pure gold armaments, the offering firmly grasped, the spotted goat, bleats while walking onward; it goes the path beloved by Indra and Pushan." This goat, destined for

ail the gods, is led first with the fleet courser, as Poshan's share, for Tvashtri himself raises to glory this pleasant offering which is brought with the horse. "When thrice at the proper seasons men lead around the sacrificial horse which goes to the gods, Poshan's share comes first, the goat which announces the sacrifice to the gods."

According to Katyayana, 600 other animal victims were required at the horse-sacrifice, 260 of which were forest animals, such as hons, tigers, birds, snakes and frogs. All these were fied to 21 posts; but the forest animals were released after the fire had been carried round them, so that only 349 were actually slaughtered. At the final coremony, the ana brathishis, or the oblation at the cleansing bath, a human being was sacrificed. This, however, is a later development of the Amandaha, for there is nothing in the most ancient hymns to warrant such a multiplication of animals and posts. One post only is mentioned in the hymns, to which the horse is bound, and one "goat, the portion of Pushan".

The immolators were to deal gently with the innocent beast, giving it as little pain as possible. "If some one strike thee with the heel, or with the whip, that thou mayest lie down, and thou art snorting with all thy might, then I purify all this with my prayer, as with a spoon of clarified butter at the sacrifice. The axe approaches the thirty-four ribs of the swift horse, beloved of the gods. Do thou wisely keep the limbs whole, find out each joint and strike. One strikes the brilliant horse, two hold it, this is the custom. Those

of thy limbs which I have seasonably prepared, I sacrifice in the fire as built offered to the gods. May no greedy and unskilful immolator, missing with the sword, throw thy mangled limbs together. Indeed, thou diest not thus, thou sufferest not; thou goest to the gods on easy paths."

When the horse was tied to the sacrificial post, the bystanders prayed that the halter and heel ropes of the noble animal, the head ropes, the girths and any other requisite, the grass that was put into his mouth, whatever the flies may have eaten of his raw flesh, whatever was smeared on the brush or the axe, or the hands or nails of the immulator; the place of going forth, of tarrying, of rolling on the ground; the water that he had drunk, the grass that he had eaten, might all be with him among the gods. Then the roasting and cooking of his flesh are minutely described; and every bit of him, even to the smallest that might have fallen from the spit, must be given to the longing gods. And the whole ceremony ends with the petition, "May this horse give us cattle and horses, men, progeny, and all sustaining wealth. May it keep us from sin; may the horse of this sacrifice give us strength."

Though human sacrifices were known during the Mantrax, or oldest hymns of the Veda, the evidence is too scanty for us to conclude that they were common. The ninetieth hymn of the tenth Mandala of the R.-V., in which Puruida, the primeval male, is described as "cut to pieces and offered as a sacrifice by the gods," shows that the idea of offering a man, Furusha, was familiar to the ancient

Aryans. It is true that Purusha, in the hymn, is an imaginary being; but the description of his immolation is so real and minute, as to justify the conclusion that it was taken from the well-known manner in which human beings were sacrificed. "The gods immolated him on the sacrificial grass; they bound him," doubtless, to the post ( rupa); "seven pieces of wood were laid for him round the fire," and "thrice seven pieces of fuel were employed " The same idea underlies the immolation of Prajapati, who offered himself a sacrifice for the devas or gods; and of Visvakarman, who offered himself a sacrifice to himself. In R.V., vii., 19, 4, we read, "Thou (Indra) hast destroyed, along with the Maruts, numerous enemies at the sacrifice to the gods; thou hast put to sleep with thy thunderbolt the Dusyus, Chumuri, and Dhuni, on behalf of Dubhiti". There seems to be an allusion here to the practice of sacrificing the enemies of the Aryans to the gods; like the three hundred citizens of Perusia, whom Augustus sacrificed in one day to his deffied uncle (Dim Julio); or the Grecian navigators, whom the barbarians of Tauris offered to Artemis whenever east upon their sea-shores.

Sunasepha, the son of Ajigarta, is the author of the twenty-fourth and six following hymns in the first Mandala of the Rig-Veda. Praising Varana in the twenty-fourth hymn, he prays, "I implore thee for that (hife) which the institutor of the sacrince solicits with

The text has only, "I sak that"; the Scholiast supplies "life," tadayas. The addition might be disputed, but its pro-

oblations, Varuna, undisdainful, bestow a thought upon us; much lauded, take not away our life. This (thy praise) they repeat to me by night and by day; this knowledge speaks to my heart. May he whom the fettered Sunasepha has invoked, may the regal Varuna set us free." "Sunascpim, seized and bound to the three-footed tree, has invoked the son of Aditi. May the regal Varuna, wise and presentible, liberate him: may he let loose his bonds." Here Sunasepha represents himself as "seized and bound to the threefooted tree," which is said to be the sacrificial post, a sort of tripod. He prays that Varana may "set himfree," and "that his life may not be taken away". There is reference to the same circumstance in R.-V., v. z. 7, "O Agni, thou hast released the bound Sunasepha from the pale, for he had prayed; thus take from us, too, these ropes, O sagacious Hotar, after thou hast settled here". Looking at these passages alone, perhaps we are not justified in concluding that Sunusepha was bound as a victim to be sacrificed. His "bonds" and "ropes" may be taken in a figurative sense, denoting the fetters of sin, especially as we have seen before that sin is often compared to a "bond," or a "rope," in the Veda; and, indeed, it is so compared in the last verse of this very hymn. We are not, however, left in uncertainty. The Aitareya Brithmana of the R.-V. supplies full particulars of the circumstances referred to in the

pricty is confirmed by the concluding expression, maint synk from mushelt, do not take away our life (Prof. Wilson's Rig-Veda, vol. i., p. 63).

hymns, and leaves no doubt as to the fact that "Sunasepha was bound to the three-footed tree" for the purpose of being sacrificed.

Harichandra, of the family of the Ikshavakus, was a king, who, though he had a hundred wives, had no son. This was the greatest calamity that could befall him; for by seeing the face of a son alone, could be pay his debts to his ancestors, and obtain immortality. Consequently, by the silvice of the sage, Narada, he went to Varuna and prayed, "May a son be born to me, and I shall sacrifice him to you". Varuna assented. A son was born to him, called Rohita. Then Varuna said to Harichandra, "A son is born to thee, sacrifice him to me". Harichandra replied, "When an animal is more than ten days old, it can be sacrificed. May he be older than ten days, and I shall sacrifice him to thee."

Harichandra, having exhausted all excuses, was at last under the dire necessity of sacrificing his son; but on making this known to him, Robita said "No," took his how, and departed to the forest, where he wandered for a year. Varuna was angry, and caused Harichandra to be afflicted with a dangerous disease. Robita, having heard of this, returned home; but Indra, in the form of a Brahman, met him and told him to travel. He felt bound to obey a Brahman, and so he travelled another year in the forest. And when he came home again, at the end of the second year, Indra met him in the same form, and told him. "A traveller's legs are like blossoming branches; he himself grows and gathers the fruit; all his wrongs vanish, destroyed by his exertions on the road; and so

'Travel' . Robits travelled four years more, cominghome at the end of each year, and sent back again by Indra in the form of a Brahman. During the sixth year of his sojourn, he met a starving Rishi, Ajigarta, the son of Suyavasa, who had three sons, the second of whom was Sunasepha. Robita said to him, "Rishi, I give you a hundred cows, I ransom myself with one of these thy sons". The father, pointing to the eldest, said. "Not him". "Not him," said the mother, embracing the youngest. And the parents bargained to give Sunasepha, the middle son. Robita gave a hundred cows to Ajigarta, took Sunasepha, and went from the forest to the village. Addressing his father, he said, "Father, Death! I ransom myself by him". The father went to Varuna and said, "I shall sacrifice this man to you". Varona said; "Yes, for a Brahman is better than a Kshatriya," and commanded him to perform a Rajasova sacrifice. Harichandra took Sunusepha to be the victim for the day when the Soma was offered to the gods.

Visamitra was his Hotri priest, Jamadagni his Adhiraria priest, Vasishtha the Brahman, Ayusya the Edgatri priest. When Sunasepha had been prepared, they found nobody to bind him to the sacrificial post. And Ajigarta, the son of Suyavasa, said, "Give me another hundred, and I shall bind him". They gave him another hundred, and he bound him. When he had been prepared and bound, when the Apri hymn had been sung, and he had been led round the fire, they found nobody to kill him. And Ajigarta, the son of Suyavasa, said, "Give me another hundred, and I shall kill him." They

gave him another hundred, and he came whetting his sword. Then Sunasepha thought, "They will really kill me, as if I were not a man ". " Death ! I shall pray to the gods." He went with a hymn to Prajapati (Lord of creatures), the first of the gods. Prajapati said to him, "Agni (fire) is the nearest of gods, go to him". He went with a hymn to Agni, and Agni said to him, "Savitri (the progenitor) rules all creatures, go to him". He went with a bymn to Savitri, and Savitri said to him, "Thou art bound for Varuna, the king, go to him". He went with a hymn to Varuna, the king, and Varuna said to him, "Agni: is the mouth of the gods, the kindest god; praise him, and we shall set thee free ". Thus he praised Agni, and Agni suid to him, "Praise the Visve Devah, and we shall set thee free". Thus he praised the Visve Devah, and they said to him, " Indra is the greatest, mightiest, strongest, and friendliest of the gods; praise him, and we shall set thee free". Thus he praised Indra, and Indra was pleased, and said to him, " Praise the Asvins, and we shall set thee free" Thus he praised the Asvins, and they said to him, "Praise Ushas (dawn), and we shall set thee free" Thus he praised Ushas with three verses While each verse was attered, his fetters were loosed, and Harichandra grew better; and when the last verse was mid, all his fetters were loosed and Harichandra was well again.

The Aitarêya Brahmana goes on to state that the priests asked Sunasepha to perform the sacrifice of the day, which he did; and when the sacrifice had been

performed. Sunasepha sat down on the lap of Visyamitra. Ajigarta then said, "Rishi, give me back my son". Visvamitra said, "No; for the gods have given him to Sunasepha became Devarata (Theodotus), the son of Visvamitra; and the members of the families of Kapila and Babhru became his relations. Ajigarta said, "Come thou, O son, we, both I and thy mother, call thee away. Thou art by birth an Angirasa, the son of Ajigarta, celebrated as a poet. O Rishi, go not away from the line of thy grandfather; come back to me." Sunasepha replied, "They have seen thee with a knife in thy hand, a thing that men have never found even amongst Sudras; thou hast taken the hundred cown for me, O Angiras ! Ajigarta said, "My old son, it grieves me for the wrong that I have done thee; I throw it away; may these hundred cows belong to thee". Sunusephareplied, "Who once commits a sin, will commit also another sin; thou wilt not abstain from the ways of Sudras; what thou hast committed cannot be redressed Viavamitra then said, "Dreadful stood the son of Suyavasa when he went to kill with his knife. Be not his son: come and be my son." Sumaepha said, "Tell us thyself, O Son of a King, thus as thou art known to us, how I, who am an Angirasa, shall become thy son". Visvamitra replied, "Thou shalt be the eldest of my sons, thy offspring shall be the first, thou shalt receive the heritage which the gods have given me, thus I address thee". Sunasepha replied, "May the leader of the Bharatas say so in the presence of his agreeing sons, for friendship's and happiness' sake, that I shall

become thy son". Then Visvamitra addressed his hundred sons, "Hear me, Madhuchhandas, Rishabha, Renu, Ashtaka, and all ye brothers, believe in his seniority". Pifty of his hundred sons complied, and were blessed; and the other fifty declined, and were cursed to become outcasts.

Max Müller, in his History of Sanscrit Literature, makes the following valuable remarks on this legend, which is there given in full:—

"The story of Sunasepha is interesting in many respects. It shows that, at that early time, the Brahmans were familiar with the idea of human sacrifices. and that men who were supposed to belong to the caste of the Brahmans were ready to sell their sons for that purpose." It also "reveals three distinct elements in the early social life of India. These are represented by the royal or reigning family of the Ikshvakus, by their priests or ministers belonging to several famous Brahmanical races, and by a third class of men diving in the forests, such as Ailgarta and his three sons. It is true that Afigarta is called a Rishi, and one of his sons a Brahman. But even if we accept the Arvan origin of Aligarta, the seller and butcher of his own son, it is important to remark how great a difference there must have been between the various Aryan settlers in India. Whether we ascribe this difference to a difference in the time of immigration, or whatever other reason we may assign to it, yet there remains the fact that, with all the vannted civilisation of the higher Aryan classes, there were Aryan people in India to whom not only a young

prince could make the offer of buying their children, but where the father offered himself to bind and kill the son. whom he had sold for a hundred cows. This was a case so startling to the later Brahmans, that the author of the Laws of Mans was obliged to allude to it, in order to defend the dignity of his caste. Manu says that hunger is an excuse for many things, and that Ajigarta, although he went to kill his own son, was not guilty of a crime. because he did so to appease his hunger. Now the author of the Aitareva Brahmana certainly does not adopt this view, for Ajigaria is there severely abused for his cruelty; so much so, that his son, whom he has sold, considers himself at liberty to leave the family of his parents, and to accept the offer made by Visvamitra of being adopted into his family. So revolting, indeed, is the description given of Afigarta's behaviour in the Brahmana, that we should rather recognise in him a specimen of the un-Aryan population of India. Such a supposition, however, would be in contradiction with several of the most essential points of the legend, particularly in what regards the adoption of Sunasepha by Visvamitra. Visvamitra, though arrived at the dignity of a Brahman, clearly considers the adoption of Sunasephu Devarata, of the famous Brahmanic family of the Angirasas, as an advantage for himself, and for his descendants; and the Devaratas are indeed mentioned as a famous branch of the Viavamitms. Sunasepha is made his eldest son, and the leader of his brothers, evidently as the defender and voucher of their Brahmanhood, which must have been then of very recent date, because Visvāmitra himself is still addressed by Sunasepha as Raja-putra and Bharata-rishalika." 1

Max Müller doubts the existence of human sacrifices during the Chhandas or oldest Vedic period, but sees no reason to doubt its previous existence.2 Considering, however, that the fullest and clearest account of this practice is found in the Brahmanas, and that during the Brahmana period sacerdotalism reached its zenith, it is natural to suppose that the practice became more common after the Chhandas period. It is repeatedly stated in the Brahmanas, sarram, sarram paraskamedha sarraeniotral carvasvavaruddhvai. "All, all is the human sacrifice for the obtaining of all, for the gaining of all." "By mesons of it the sacrificer obtains all."2 Puresha Narayana surpassed all things, and became all things by sacrificing with the Purushamedha. No wonder then, that it is said, Purusho hi prathama pasunam. " Man is, indeed, the first of the sacrificial victims." Indeed, so real was the practice that even the name of the man who celebrated the rite for the last time has been preserved. According to the

<sup>&#</sup>x27;In the Katha Upanishad, a father is introduced as offering a Sarvamedha, or "All-actrifice," when all that a man possessed is supposed to be given up. He, however, neglected to offer his sun, and, strange to say, the son faunted him for not having fulfilled his yow. Thereupon the father, though exceedingly angry, and against his will, offered up his son.

<sup>\*</sup> Hin. Sam. Lit., pp. 419, 20.

<sup>1</sup> S. Br., xiii., b. t. 6. 131 7. 1. 1. 12.

<sup>\*</sup> S. P. Br., vil. z. 1 18.

Satapatha Brahmana, Cyaparna Sayakayana was the last who consecrated the erection of the altar by the immolation of a human victim.<sup>1</sup>

When Sunasepha, bound to the sacrificial post, saw his father coming and whetting his knife to strike him, he exclaimed, "They will really kill me, as if I were not a man I" This seems to indicate, that the custom of offer. ing men, or at any rate Brahmans, was falling to desuctede at the time. According to the Satapatha Brahmana, xiii., 6, 6, 1, there was a typical Purushamedha, in which ceremony one hundred and eighty-five human victims of various tribes, characters and professions, were bound to eleven yapas or posts, and after the Purusha Sukta had been uttered over them, and the sacred fire had been carried round them, they were liberated unburt, and oblations of butter offered on the sacrificial fire in their stead. Perhaps this custom was beginning to prevail in the time of Suna sepha, and hence his exclamation, "They will really kill me, as if I were not a man!" This receives cotroboration from the chronological order of Aryan aucrifices, as given in the following passage of the Altareva Brahmana, "The gods killed a man for their victim, But from him thus killed, the part which was fit for a sacrifice went out and entered a horse. Thence the horse became an animal fit for being sacrificed. The gods then killed the horse, but the part fit for being sacrificed went out of it and entered an ox. The gode then killed the ox, but the part fit for being sacrificed

Barth's Religious of India, p. 58.

went out of it and entered a sheep. Thence it entered a goat. The sacrificial part remained for the longest time in the goat, hence it became pre-eminently fit for heing sacrificed."

Human sacrifices have been offered by all nations at different times in their history. In countries so remote from one another as to preclude all supposition of intercommunication, human sacrifices have invariably prevailed. Europe, Asia, Africa, and America, all furnish abundant evidence of this. Caesar's description of the ancient Gauls is applicable to all ancient nations: "When alarmed by any cause of terror, they think that their gods must be appeared; they pollute their altars and temples with human sacrifices! Under the pretence of religion they violate its very dictates! Is it not notorious, that to this day, they retain that hornble and savage practice of immolating their fellow-creatures?"

The propitiation of the gods, and the happiness of the sacrificer, are the chief objects of all Vedic sacrifices. "May these invigorating offerings propitiate him." "May we propitiate thee by our sacrifice." "May fatavedas render the immortal gods pleased by the sacrifice." "He who sacrifices, propitiates the gods." "For which deity they kill an annual, that deity is propitiated." "Be propitiated, Agni, by these hymns; accept, hero, these sacrificial viands presented with praises; he pleased, Angiras, by our prayers; may the

<sup>1</sup> K.-V. in 17.

<sup>1</sup> Ibid., viii., 10, 20.

<sup>&</sup>quot; Ibid., vii., 17, 4.

<sup>.</sup> S. P. Br., L. 9, L. J. fii., 8, 2, 4

adoration addressed to the gods exalt thee." May the liberal man ever be prosperous, who propinates thee with constant oblations and praises: may all the days in his ardnows life be prosperous, and may this his sacrifice be productive of reward."

All other nations sacrificed for the same purpose. Herodorus says that the Egyptians believed that the public or private calamities, which might be impending. were asserted by being "turned upon the head" of the victim sacrificed.1 The Kelts considered that the favour of the gods could not be secured unless the life of one man were officed up for that of another. The sacrifice of ennocent children or pure virgins was highly esteemed by the Greeks, as the best means of averting calamity at home, and of carrying it abroad among enemies. Felruary is derived from an old Roman word, Februa, which was a general term for sacrifices and ceremonies performed at the close of the year. February was the last month in the ancient Roman year, in which it was "held an essential part of filial duty to make atonement on behalf of our parents, by a sacrifice of the greatest value. Professor H. H. Wilson says that the notion of propitiating some divinity by offering to him what was most precious to the sacrificer, was widely diffused

<sup>1</sup> R.-V., IV., 3, 15.

<sup>3</sup> Ibida iv. 4. 7.

One of the curses put on its head was this:—

"If any evil is threatening
To the sacrificer, or to all Egypt,
May it be put on this head.".

throughout the world in old times, as was also the practice of the individual playing himself to act by a solemn promise or vow. "We might infer," he proceeds, "that the practice was not unknown to the patriarchal era, from the conduct of Abraham when commanded to offer up his son; for although he would not under any circumstances have hesitated to obey the divine command, yet he might, consistently with his obedience. have expressed some surprise at the injunction, had the purport of it been wholly unfamiliar. At a later date, in the lewish history, we have a similar sort of sacrifice. under a solemn previous engagement in the vow of Jophtha; and it is worthy of remark, that one of the causes assigned by the Greek writers to the detention of the fleet at Anlis, and consequent sacrifice of Iphigenia, was Agamemnon's violation of the yow which he had made to offer to Diana the most lovely thing which the year in which his daughter was born should produce Iphigenia was that thing, and the sacrifice was insisted on in satisfaction of that yow. The offering of children to Moloch, subsequently borrowed by the Jews from their idolatrous neighbours, originated probably in a similar feeling, which, it is evident, exercised a very extensive influence over the nations of West Asia in remote antiquity; and, as appears from the story of Sunasepha, was not confined to that quarter, but had reached the opposite limits of Asia at a period at least prior by ten or twelve centuries to the Christian era." 1

Essays on the Religions of the Hindus, pp. 260, 267.

There was no temple or sacred place set apart for the performance of sacrifices in the remote Vedic age. They were performed either on the domestic hearth, which was used for ordinary household purposes, or in an enclosure connected with the house, or in a special place selected for the purpose; the dimension and situation of which changed according to the nature and requirements of the ceremonies. Such a place was consecrated for the observance of a particular rite, and, when the observance of that rite was at an end, it ceased to be a sacred place. If used again for the same purpose, it required to be consecrated anew.

All Vedic sacrifices were either perpetual (sitya) or occasional (sitya). Perpetual sacrifices were compulsory, i.e., must be offered at stated times, or on the occurrence of certain events; occasional sacrifices were voluntary, i.e., might be performed, according to the will of the sacrificer, in fulfilment of some vow, or for the gratification of some wish.

In the Rig-Veda, we read that prayers and libations were offered three times a day; namely, morning, midday, and noon.

## \$ 3. The Socrificers.

Originally the father of a family was the priest who offered sacrifice for his own household, and hence was, and still is, called Vajamana, which means, literally, the

Sacrificer.) When the family grew into a tribe, and the father into a chief, it became necessary to have others to assist him; but he was still the lord at his own sacrifice, for ordered by him the priests performed it. And when the tribe became a nation, and the chief a king, he gradually relinquished the sacerdotal functions in favour of those who had been his assistants, perchitas; and who by this time had accustomed themselves to look upon the priesthood as their profession. And, lastly, when these purchitas, by the cultivation of learning, and the assumption of mysterious powers, usurped supreme authority alike over king and subjects, the sacerdotal caste was fully established.

The Priestly tribe was divided into four chief priests, each having three men to help him; viz., (1) Hotes, (2) Adhouryu. (3) Udgatri, and (4) Brahman. These sixteen priests were called Riteij, or those who sacrifice

After the flood, Noah was priest to his own family, and so also was Abraham. When the family of Abraham became a clan, Jacob, the Patriarch, was their priest. But when the Hebrews became a nation, a tribe was set apart for the priestly office.

<sup>1</sup> S. F. Mr. is, b, 1, 10.

The tribe grew into a nation soon in those days, for when the Rig-Veds was composed, the age of man, as fixed by the gods, was held to be too years (R: V., i., 80, 9; ii., 914, etc.).

The Hoiri was assisted by Maitravaruna, Ashhavaka, and Gravastut.

Adhrurya, by Pratiprasthätri, Neahtri, and Unnetti.

Udgater, by Prastotri, Sutramunya, and Pratihartri.

Bruhman, by Brähmanachhaumin, Potri, and Agnidhra.

according to the rules. The whole number was only wanted for Soma sacrifices. For the Agnihotra one priest, an Adhvaryu, was sufficient: for the Darsapurnamäsa, four priests; for the Chaturmasyu, five; for the Pasulaundha, six; and for the Agnishtoma, sixteen. At Sattras, which was exclusively a priest's sacrifice, the Vajarnana himself, if a good Brahman, became one of the Ritvijs.

The duty of the Hotris (callers) was to recite loudly and distinctly certain hymna of the Rig-Veda, in praise of the deities to whom any particular act of the sacrificer was addressed. Their daties are minutely recorded in the Brahmanas of the Bahvzickus, such as the Kaushitaki, and Aitareya Brahmanas. The Udeatric (singers) sangthe hymns which form the collection of the Sama-Veda. Their duties are prescribed in the Brahmanas of the Khandogas. The Adhourvus (persons of the ceremonies) ottered the Mantras of the Yajur-Veda in a low voice Besides, to them was entrusted all the hard manual labour of the sacrifice "They had to measure the sacrificial ground (vajnaldumi), to build the altar (codi). to prepare the sacrificial implements, to fetch wood and water, to light the fire, to bring and immolate the animals, press the Soma, and throw the oblations into the fire. They formed, as it would seem, the lowest class of priests, and their acquirements were more of a practical than of an intellectual character. Some of the offices which would naturally fall to the lot of the Adhvaryus were considered so degrading that other persons besides the priests were frequently employed in

them. The Samitri, for instance, who had to slav the animal, was not a priest, he need not even be a Brahman, and the same applies to the Vaikarias, the burchers, and the so-called Charmasadhvaryus (the assistants of the Adhvarvus). The number of hymnu and invocations which they had to use at the sacrifices was smaller than that of the other priests. These, however, they had to learn by heart. The Brahman was the general controller of the sacrificial performance. In a sitting posture be had to watch carefully the three other classes of priests, and to correct any mistake they might commit. He was therefore supposed to know the whole ceremonial, as well as all the hymna employed by the Hotri, Adhvaryu, and Udgatre. It was only at Somas that he had to take an active part, and then it was customary to elect another superintendent, called Sadasya, chairman,"1

The four chief priests, and some of their assistants, are mentioned in the Rig-Veda. In Mandala ii., 1, 2, Agni is called the Hotri, Adhvaryu, and Brahman. Again in R.-V., i., to, t, we read, "The singers sing thee, sata-krata," the reciters of the Richas praise thee, who art worthy of praise, the Brahmans raise thee aloft, like a bamboo pole. Here the singers are not called by their technical name of Udgatris, but Ghyatrias, literally those who employ the Ghyatri-metre; and the recuters are not

<sup>1</sup> M. M., Saur. Lik., p. 471.

<sup>\*</sup> See also R. V., iil., 15, 201 X., 52, 2.

A name of Imira, meaning he to whom hundreds of victims are offered at a sacrifica.

designated by their technical name of Hotri, but Arkins. This shows, however, that the Udgatri and Hotri priests existed as functionaries at that early time. Mention is also made of "Rich and Saman verses," and of "the hymna called the Rich and Saman, the metres and the Yajosh"; thus showing clearly that the division of the sacrifice between the Hotri, Udgatri, Adhvaryu, and Brahman, was fully established before the completion of the Sanhita, or collections of the Rig-Vedas.

Every priest must be whole in body, and blameless in life. He must be anywayanga, not having too few limbs: amatiriktänga, not having too many limbs: abenda, regularly shaped: anatikrishna, not being too old; and amatireeta, not being too young. He must above all be sadhurarana, a man who leads a proper life.

The priests, however, were conscious of many sins and imperfections in themselves; and hence, like the Levitical priests of old, had to offer prayers and sacrifices for the remission of the same. This they did chiefly at Sattras, when a body of seventeen or twenty-four of them met together at the ceremony, sacrificed for one another, and then solemnly consecrated each other afresh to the sacred service of the gods.

It appears that in very early times, the Aryan sacrificers in India wore a cord (mekula, ramani) at the sacrifices. This they did in three ways, corresponding

<sup>1</sup> R. V., x., 71, 11; viii., 71, 5. 1bid., x., 90, p.

<sup>\*</sup>Compare the qualifications necessary for the Levuical priesthood (Levitions, xxi., 17, 21).

to three kinds of sacrifices.\textsuperscript{1} At sacrifices made to men, it was worn round the neck, and called minin;\textsuperscript{2} at sacrifices to the manes, it was worn over the right shoulder, and called pracinavita;\textsuperscript{2} and at sacrifices to deities, it was worn over the left shoulder, and called upavita.\textsuperscript{4} It had probably a symbolical meaning, pointing to the cord (returni), with which the victim was tied to the sacrificial post, and indicating by an unmistakable symbolism, that the sacrificer himself was the real victim represented by the bound animal. This is probably the origin of the sacred thread worn by all the three highest classes in India at the present day.

## § 4. The Origin of Sarrifice.

Sacrifices, like prayers, have their foundation deep down in the necessities of the soul. Both are the natural outcome of the feelings of dependence upon, and moral relationship to, some supreme Being. Both are expressions of states of consciousness—prayers by words, and sacrifices by acts. And both represent clearly the bright and the dark, the joyous and the fearful sides of those states. The bright and joyous side is represented by sucharistic prayers and thank-offerings; and the dark and fearful, by deprecatory prayers and proputatory sacrifices. It is unnecessary.

<sup>1</sup> Tail. Sam., H., 5, 11, 1.

Shadrimus Br., Ili., 8; Kaly, Sr. S., av., 5, 13.

Ath. V., ix., t. 24. Teil. Br., t. 4. 6, 6.

<sup>1</sup> Voy. Same, Svin 17: 3, P. Hen. Min. S. 1, 19.

therefore, to suppose that prayers and praises are alone the result of the feeling of dependence upon God, and sacrifices alone the result of the feeling of moral relationship to Hun. Both are the result of the same feelings differently expressed. Hence all prayers are not praises, and all sacrifices are not thank-offerings. Some prayers are earnest petitions, imploring the Almighty to bestow that which is needed, and to avert that which is dreaded; and some sacrifices are intended wholly to atone for sin, and to turn the frowns of the Supreme into smiles. Prayers are the offerings of the lips, and sacrifices the offerings of possessions: but both proceed from the same heart with the same intention.

In a state of sinless purity, we may imagine that man would worship his Creator by praises only, the overflowings of a soul in perfect harmony with itself and all existencies, visible and invisible. But the entrance of sin into the soul was the entrance of discord, of misery, of estrangement from God. The consciousness of ain, and of the loss caused by it, would naturally impel man to do something to explate sin, and so to repair the min which it had effected. And what could be do, but relinquish, and devoutly present to God, what he himself most cherished and valued? What could be do, but perform those acts of kindness which among men are calculated to maintain friendly feelings when present, and to restore such feelings when absent? Actuated by these motives, the Vedic Aryans offered to their gods the food, -consisting of vegetable and animal, - and the drink,-consisting of milk and the soul-inspiring soma-

inice. - which they loved so well themselves. No wonder, then, that so many hymns contain invocations to the geds to descend from their ethereal mansions above to ait in a friendly manner with their voturies on the green grass of the earth, and to partake of the choice viands prepared for them! It appears from the hymns addressed to Varana, that vegetable food predominated among the Arvans in the earliest age, as it probably did among the Hebrews, reminiscence of which was preserved in the lewish "shew-bread," which was constantly kept on the altar before Jehovah.1 But when the Aryans developed into mighty conquerors, delighting in war, with Indra as their chief deity, bloody sacrifices assumed supreme importance. And human sacrifices - which originated either in grateful feelings towards the gods, for victories gained over enemies, and the consequent desire to offer the captives to them, as an expression of those feelings, or in the desire to give up to the gods one's dearest possession, one's own kith and kin, which is the logical conclusion of all other sacrifices-appeared about the same time. This is obvious from the few traces of human aggrifices found in the Mantras, or oldest portions of the Vedas, and the high value attached to such sacrifices in the Brahmanas, or later portions. There is no need, therefore, to suppose, as some do, that human sacrifices are remnants of cannibalism. Such a supposition assumes that all nations have once been casmibals, inasmuch as all nations have been guilty of offering human sacri-

Rendus, xxv., go: Lev., zxiv., 5.

fices; an assumption which derives no support whatever either from the earliest seconds of the Aryan, or of the Semitic nations.

But though sacrifice of possessions is the most natural and significant expression of man's consciousness of sin, and of his strong desire to avert the punishment due to it, by propitiating the gods; and though we grant that such an act is the spontaneous outcome of the felt spiritual necessities of human nature; yet, the true meaning of the act could no more be discovered by human reason, maided by revelation, than could the Being himself to whom such homage is due.

The Vedic notion was that, by the act of sacrifice alone, the gods could be pacified and their favour secured. And this is the prevalent notion among all heather nations. Hence the sacrificer was identified with the sacrifice, and his sin was supposed to pass directly to the victim. "The sacrificer is himself the victim. It takes the very sacrificer himself to heaven." "The animal is man by allegory." "The sacrificer is the animal "(yayamanah pasuh). "The unimal is ultimately the sacrificer himself." "The sacrificer is indeed the sacrifice." (yayamana was yayamh). The sacrificer kills, on the day previous to the Soma festival, an animal devoted to Agni-soma, thus redeeming himself from the obligation of being himself sacrificed. He then brings his Soma sacrifice, after having thus redeemed

Tait. Br., iii., 12, 4, 3-

<sup>1</sup> Sat Br., xi., 1, S. 3; Tait. Br., Il., 2, 8, 2; Ait. Br., i., 28.

himself, and become free from debts. That even the Jews, with all their privileges, completely forgot the original purport of sacrifices as revealed to them, the following quotation from Isaac Abrabenel, one of their most learned and approved writers, shows: "The blood of the offerer deserved to be shed, and his body to be burned for his sin, only the mercy of the Divine Name accepted this offering from him as a substitute and propitiation, whose blood should be instead of his blood, and its life instead of his life."

Now, that this notion is erroneous is the testimony both of Reason and Revelation.

It is an historical fact, that when the sages of the Upanishada considered philosophically the prevalent doctrine of sacrifice, as the means of liberating the soul from the bonds of sin, they pronounced sacrifices useless. And we are told that "the Greek masters not unfrequently expressed their astonishment how, and upon what natural principle, so strange an institution as that of animal sacrifice could ever have originated; for as to the notion of its being pleasing to the Deity, such a thing struck them as a manifest impossibility." This is also the testimony of Revelation. And yet the notion

Kamaita and Att. Brs. The initiation (diksta) of the sacrificer constitutes his consecration as the victim at the animal sacrifice (Sat. Br., xi., 7, 1, 3; Ait. Br., ii., 5, 9, 11); or as the sacrificial food at the harrivojus (Sat. Br., iii., 3, 4, 2; Tait. Br., iii., 2, 8, 9); or as the horse at the horse sacrifice (Tait. Br., iii., 17, 4, 5).

<sup>1</sup> Kitto.

<sup>4</sup> Heb., X., 4, 5, D.

of sacrifice being pleasing to the gods was too deeply rooted in human nature to be eradicated by philosophical speculations, either in the East or in the West. Even Buddhism, though it abolished sacrifices, failed to destroy the doctrine, which found expression for a time in asceticism and mortification of the flesh; and afterwards, in India, it reasserted itself by reverting to its original type. Here, then, is an apparent opposition between the dictates of reason and the dictates of two imperious impulses of human nature respecting the doctrine of sacrifice. In there no way of reconciling them?

It is impossible to reconcile them on the assumption that, when man began to sacrifice, he was too low in the scale of evolution to reason, and hence that he acted more like an animal from instincts and impulses than from higher data. For whether man descended from some "arboreal animal with pointed cars," or was "created in the image of God," we must believe, that at the point when he manifested religious faculties, he must have been a man in the full sense of that term-"a thinker," possessing the same powers and tendencies as he possesses at present; otherwise we have no data from which to reason about what he was either capable of, or likely to do.. Indeed, the evidence of Language is decisive on this point; and it is the only evidence worth listening to concerning pre-historic times. Every language is a monument to the fact, that man had been pre-eminently endowed with the powers of observation and elaboration when that language was formed; and, as religion is unknown without language, we may legitimately conclude

that he possessed such powers when he expressed the religious tendency of his nature in prayer and sacrifice.

Neither will the well-known argument, that bloody sacrifices naturally originated in the idea of God, as a terribly malevolent Being always thirsting for blood, remove the difficulty. For there is nothing plainer than that the higher up we trace the stream of Vedic religious thought, the more we are impressed with the fact, that the predominant characteristic of the gods was beneficence, and not malevolence.

What explanation, then, can be given of the fact that while the Vedic notion of sacrifices is repugnant to Reason and Revelation, sacrifices were eminently popular, and had their root deep down in the nature of man? The explanation is found in the true meaning of sacrifices; and for the true meaning, we are dependent upon Revelation. Sacrifices, as the result of two powerful feelings of human nature, express an eternal fact, vis., that sin must be stoned for, or punishment be inflicted. This is in harmony with reason. But the notion that the sacrifice of possessions, however valuable, can atone for sin and avert punishment, is contrary to reason. This paradox is solved in the Bible; for we read that sacrifices were constituted by God, soon after the entrance of sin into the soul of man, as " a shadow of good things to come," as symbols of the sacrifice of Jesus, "the Lamb of God," and of the doctrine included in that future act. Hence sacrifices were intended to remind man of ain and the punishment of spiritual death due to it, and to portray before him the sacrifice of the Saviour, which

alone was sufficient to atone for sin, and to satisfy the spiritual cravings which in structively and irresistibly found expression in the sacrifice of possessions. This is the true meaning of sacrifice; and, viewed in this light, the apparent opposition between the testimony of reason and the powerful feelings which produced sacrifice, vanishes, and its origin appears both human and divine It is the outcome of two original feelings of human nature,-the feelings of dependence upon, and of moral relationship to, God; and it was sanctioned and employed by God. as a type of the means by which sin cun be removed and man reconciled to his Creator. But the typical meaning was gradually obscured, and ultimately lost among all nations; and the erroneous notion that sacrifices alone can pacify the Delty, and restore friendly feelings between Him and His worshippers, was substituted. Whereas the true idea is, that only such sacrifices as were offered, with the consciousness of their typical meaning, could be well pleasing to God. Hence we read, that the difference between the sacrifices of Cain and Abel-the first sacrifices on record-lay in the disposition of the brothers. Abel offered a fuller sucrifice than Cain, because he "offered it by faith, and received the divine approlation.1 Now, faith implies a Divine communication, for " faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God".4 Abel, therefore, offered a more excellent sacrifice than his brother, because he offered it in obedience to the Divine command: or, in

Gen., iv., 3, 7; Heb., xi., 4. Rom., x., 17.

other words, because he was conscious of its typical meaning, viz., the salvation of man through the promised Redeemer. When, therefore, the true meaning of sacrifice is apprehended by the heathen, they cease to offer animal sacrifices, and that without the consciousness that any feelings of their nature have suffered violence; but, on the contrary, with the consciousness that the profound feelings which led them to sacrifice have been fully satisfied in the apprehension of the Substance, of which all sacrifices are mere shadows.

Traces of the original symbolical meaning of sacrifices are discovered here and there in the literature of the Veda. "Purosha, born in the beginning," or the first begotten, was immolated on the sacrificial grass by the gods, Sādhyas and Rishis "Visvakarman offered himself a sacrifice to himself." "Prajapati, the lord of creatures, offered himself a sacrifice for the devas;"3 and in R.-V., x., 13, 45, we read that the gods sacrificed to the (supreme) god, or that they offered him up. It is difficult to account for the origin of the idea underlying the sacrifice of Prajapati, who is elsewhere represented as half mortal and half immortal, or of Visyakarman, the creator, or Purusha, "the begotten in the beginning," except on the supposition of some primitive tradition of Jesus, the Lord of all, the "only begotten of the Father." who of His own accord offered Hunself a sacrifice for all men.

Relics of the same tradition are also found in the

R. V. X. uk 7. Tamby Br.

Teutonic branch of the Aryan family. In one of the old Rune songs, Odin, the chief Deity of the Teutons, is represented as hanging, during nine long nights, in the wind-rocked tree, "with a spear, wounded, offering himself".

I wat that I hung on the wind-rocked tree Nine long nights; With a spear, wounded, And to Odin offered Myself to myself: On that tree of which none knows From what root it springs.

#### & 5. Meditation and Aserticism.

In proportion as Monism, or Pantheism, rose, the consciousness of sip waned. When all existences, including man, were regarded as the phenomenal manifestation of the Atman-the only existence-there was no more place for ain, as the transgression of an objective law, than there was for an objective creation. Evil and misery, however, were facts too palpable to be ignored; and so an attempt was made to account for them, on the supposition that they are the result of ignorance on the part of man, which leads to the belief that he is something different from the universal Soul. The cause of evil and misery is, therefore, the mistaken notion that man is an individual, an eco, separate from the great Self; and freedom from both is obtained by that knowledge which enables him to identify his own self with the highest Self. And that knowledge can be acquired only by

Penance and Meditation. Sacrifices and good works are not wholly ignored; on the contrary, they are encouraged as the means of attaining the bliss of heaven for a time, which, according to the Upanishads, is very different from union with Brahma. In some Upanishads these are inculcated as necessary preliminaries to a life of penance and meditation in the forest. A man, we are told, must pass through the two stages of a student of the Vedas, and of a married householder, before he can retire to the forest; whence he must pass to the fourth or last stage, viz., that of the Sanniyasi or asceric. Little stress, however, is laid in the Upanishada on sacrifice and good works; penance and meditation are the most excellent way.

"Saumaka, the great householder, approached Angiras respectfully, and asked, Sir, what is that through which, if it is known, everything else becomes known?

"He said to him, Two kinds of knowledge must be known,—this is what all who know Brahma tell us,—the higher and the lower knowledge.

"The lower knowledge is the Rig-Veda, Yajur-Veda, Sama-Veda, Atharva-Veda, Siksha (phonetics), Kalpa (ceremonial), Vyākarana (grammar), Nirukta (etymology), Khandas (metre), Jyotisha (astronomy). But the higher knowledge is that by which the Indestructible (Brahma) is apprehended, that which cannot be seen nor seized, which has no family and no caste, no eyes nor ears, no hands nor feet, the eternal, the omnipresent (all-pervading), infinitesimal, which the wise regard as the source of all beings."

<sup>1</sup> Mundahu Up., L. 3, 4, 5, 0.

Frail," we are told in another Upanishad "are those boats, the sacrifices, in which the lower ceremonist or the lower knowledge exists. Fools who praise this as the highest good are subject again and again to old age and death."

"Considering sacrifice and good works as the lest, these fools know no higher good, and having enjoyed their reward on the height of heaven, gained by good works, they enter again this world or a lower one."

Every Hindu is said to be born a debtor to the gods, to the rishis, to the fathers, and to men. He fulfils the first by sacrifices, the second by studying the Vedas, the third by having offspring, and the fourth by hospitality and kindness.\(^1\) The man who fulfils these duties is free from blame; he is a performer of good works according to the "lower knowledge," and will "enjoy his reward on the height of heaven"; but he will be subject to be born again. "Those,\(^1\) on the other hand, "who practise penance and faith in the forest, tranquil, wise, and living on alms, depart free from passion, through the sun, to where that immortal person dwells, whose mature is imperishable".\(^2\)

"He who has perceived that which is without sound, without touch, without form, without decay, without taste, eternal, without smell, without beginning, without end, beyond the great and unchangeable. Is freed from the jaws of death." "As water does not cling to a

Sat. Br., in 7, 2, 4, 5. \* Ibid., ii., 7, 10, 41. \* Katha, Up. 1, 3; Valle, 15.

lotos leaf, so no evil clings to one who knows the Solf"
"The wise, who by meditation on his self, recognises
the Ancient,—who is difficult to be seen, who has entered
into the dark, who is hidden in the cave, who dwells in
the abyss.—as God, he indeed leaves joy and sorrow far
behind.

The means of acquiring that knowledge, by which a man obtains freedom from evil, and immortality in the Immortal is the sixfold Yoga, viz., "restraint of the breath, restraint of the senses, meditation, fixed attention, investigation, and absorption". "When beholding by this Yoga, he (a man) beholds the gold-coloured maker, the lord, the person, Brahma, the cause; then the sage, leaving behind good and evil, makes everything (breath), organs of sense, body, etc., to be one in the Highest Indestructible."

And thus it has been said elsewhere, "There is the superior fixed attention for him, viz., if he presses the tip of his tongue down the palate, and restrains voice, mind, and breath, he sees Brahma by discrimination. And when after the cessation of mind, he sees his own self, smaller than the small, and shining as the Highest Self, then having seen his self in the Self, he becomes self-less; and because he is self-less, he is without limit, without cause, absorbed in thought. This is the highest mystery, viz., final liberation."

<sup>1</sup> Katha. Up. Valle. 1. 2, 12.

Mastrayana Brahmuna Up., vi., in, go. Compute the words of no abbot of Mount Athes, of the eleventh century, as given

The Soteriology of the Upanishads is far more illogical and puerile than that of the Mantras and Brahmanas. For if man be only a phenomenal creature of phenomenal circumstances over which he has no control, he cannot be held accountable for his actions. He is as much the creature of organism and environment as the beast of the field. And if he be only a phenomenal manifestation of the Universal Soul, which is both the material and efficient cause of all things, there can be no room for either good or evil, which imply the exercise of free-will. Nothing, therefore, that he may do can have the least influence on his character and destiny; and hence, logically, no plan of salvation is either necessary or possible Human nature, however, is stronger than logic; and, hence, the inextricable confusion in which the philosophers of the Upanishads have involved themselves.

#### & b. Retrospect and Conclusion.

We have now passed in review the Literature, the Theology, the Cosmology, the Anthropology, and the Soteriology of the Vedas. We have followed the stream

by Gibbon: "When thou art alone in thy cell, shut thy door and seat thyself in a corper; raise thy mind above all things vain and transitory; excline thy heart and chin on thy breast; turn thy eyes and thy thoughts towards the middle of thy belly, the region of the navel, and search the place of the heart, the seat of the soul. At first all will be dark and comfortless; but if you persevere day and night, you will feel an effable joy, and no sooner has the soul discovered the place of the heart, than it is encircled in a mystical ethercal light."

of Aryan religious and speculative thought, in all its ramifications, through the Mantra, Brahmana, and Upanishad stages of its descent. We have considered the Hindu Aryana' conception of God, their speculations on the creation of the world, and their notion of the origin, nature, and destiny of man, in each of those stages. We have pushed our inquiries as far back in time as the records would permit; and we have found that the religious and speculative thought of the people was far purer, simpler, and more rational at the farthest point we reached, than at the nearest or latest in the Vedic age. The conclusion, therefore, is inevitable, viz.: That the development of religious thought in India has been uniformly downward, and not upward—deterioration, and set arobition.

We have also seen that the point reached by us wan not the point whence the deterioration began; and that in proportion as we go back in time, the number of the gods grows less, and the ethical consciousness of sin grows stronger. Hence the probability is that, if we could go back far enough in time, so as to teach the point whence the deterioration began, we should find a numbelistic religion, pure and simple.

We have seen, further, that the knowledge of the divine attributes possessed by the Vedic Aryans was neither the product of Intuition nor Experience, but a survival, or a reminiscence. We are justified, therefore, in concluding (until the contary is proved), that the higher and purer conceptions of the Vedic Aryans were the results of a Primitive Divine Revolution.

The theory of a Primitive Divine Revelation alone is capable of explaining all the religious ideas of the Vedas, such as an object of worship, sin, mercy, sacrifice, a jume state. These ideas are all foreign to Nature Sun, moon, earth, mountains, and rivers; have nothing to do with worship, with forgiving sin, and with preserving men from guilt. But they are quite at home in the theory of a primeval revelation. We must believe that the most probable theory is that which explains all the facts. The theory of Natural Evolution cannot explain all the facts. But the theory of a Primitive Divine Revelation, whatever hard words may be said about it as being unscientific, does explain all the facts. It tells us that the presence of such ideas in the Vedas as God, confession of sin, petitions for mercy, sacrifice, and a life after death, are relies of a vanishing Revelation, held mechanically, without any comprehension of their meaning. Granting that this is only a theory, the opposite view is no more. Between these two theories, there is, HOWEVER, THIS DIFFERENCE. THE ONE IS IN HARMONY WITH THE TEACHING OF A VENERABLE OLD BOOK, AGAINST WHICH NO WEAPON FORMED HAS VET PREVAILED! THE OTHER IS IN OPPOSITION TO II.





### INDEX.

Aschillochus, 34-

Artimphanes, 131.

Aryanjakna, are Veda. Aryana, 178, 179

Arkins, 210

Argyll, Duke of, buy, 110, 111

Amerakeus, ice sacrificers. Armmaic, 14 Aratus, 137. Aditi. goddesa: - Etymology of 41, 42. - Myth of, in India and Iran, 42. - Totality of all existences, 42. Aristotle, 92, 109, 148 Adityas, luminous gods, the same of Aditt, it, it. Arnold, Sir Edwor, 99-Their number and names, Aryaman, eve Adityas. Their physical import and moral character, 43. Agara; or Sacrifice Agneyi, sar Goddesses. Agou, God of Fire; - Etymology of, 37 - Origin of, 57. 58. Attributes and functions of, gn ber Agaidhra, m Siartheas Agailhotta. Agnishacouns. Agnie Agrayani. Agrays yildheya, ancehet, Akavanya, to Sarether Altima, we Sacrifice Abura-Marda, chief god of the Zerosstnana, 92. Identified with Vaccina, 12,

anishad, sor Verla.

Amesha spentas, 13. 41.

Annya, we Sacrifice.

harvas, demigrals,

Aptoryama, as Sacroice.

Ausa, are Adityan

Amaragoras, 69-

Apollo, 58.

Alexander the Circut, 45, 43

Apsarases, wives of the Gund

Ascetscium, 226-230. Ashhavika, see Sacrificare. Ashtaka, Atimera, Aryagandatoma, per Sucrifice. Astikin, Ep. Asynlayana, 15, 16. Assamodha, 190+196. Asvins, meaning and functions of, 631 64. Atharya Verla, or Verla Arman, its origin and history, 73-Augustine, 188 Hautlin, (lan), 13, 137. Barth, M. A., 18, 35, 109, 170. Altaréya Beahmana, Anaréya Up-40%. Bhaga, see Adigyas. Bhagavata Purana, see Purana Brahma, a god - Ita etymology, 77, 73. Its development into a god, マホー等の一 Its cummination, 50-5; Brahman, or Casto. Brahmana, ser Veda. Brahmanachausin, 213

Brahamanaspati, Lord of Prayer, 50.
Brahamanasa Upamehad. 60
Veda
Brihaspati, same as Brahmaspati,
58-50
Brown, Sir T., 136.
Buddham. 21.
Bunsen, Barton, 108.
Burnoll, Dr. A. C., 24, 25, 26.
Burnoll, E., 166.

C BRAR, 23, 200. Caste, stymology and eignification of the word, 171, - Origin and development of Caste, 171. - (1) According to the Purasha. Solta, 174 - (a) According to Manu, 175. 131 Real oragin, 172. — Brahmans, 182-180 - Kshairiyas, 181 Valsyas, 181-182. Sudran, 179-181. - All men originally one came. 186, 187 Chaldea, (n), 25. Chandas, metre. Chandragupta, 13, 10, Chandramas, the moon. Charmagadhyaryan, 214. Chararendaya, sev Sacrifica. Christian, Dr. Sr. gr. Cicero, 161. Calebrook, H. Then an ing. Confincing, vinc Creation, sucribed to some intelligent being, (13, 114.

- Creation from nothing, 114:

- Creation from pre-existing

- Creation a phonomenal em-

anation, 132-133. Codworth, Dr. R., intellectual

Cvagmena Savakāvama, 208.

system of the universe, 70, 73.

munter, (#1-1):

Darmesterer, M. Jin 32. Daratum, L. Darraphranton-o, as Sacrifice Dana, Slave. Danyun, 48, 49. Deluge, 137-130 Demeter, 60: Davi, 64 Dharmaliga, 23. Dharma Shreas, L. Dhatar, we Adiryan. Dhouli, 23. Diana, att. Diouswin, 40. Div. 314 Dravidas, 176, 177. Druide 11, 23. Drummond, Prof., 106. Dual deities: 69. Пушив, 31. Dynuspitar, 31, 33, 36, 109. Dyn. 30. Eury, 121, 122. Egypt (duns), 24, 41, 410. Ekahas, sor Sacrifice Emanation, me Creation Experience, as God: Eatroains, Dr. A. M., 109.

Dannia, no Adityaa. Danna, 25:

FARRAMEN, Dr. A. M., 103. Faith, 55, 190. Fall, 242, 135. Pathers, 100 Pitris. Petichium, 100.

Ganbiaeras, 30.
Ganga, river and a goddess, 60.
Gardapaya, no Sacrifice
Gardama, 192, 195.
Gardama, 192, 195.
Gibbon, E., 230.
Gibnar, 23.
Gladetone, Right Hon, W. E., 55.
God, United Aryans' contespt of,
33, 34.

- Vedic Aryana' concept of, 40, 72, 54, 80, 81, 83, 84, 83, 86, 88.

God, Vedic Aryans, their know ladge of God's attributes, 87, 88.

- How acquired? 88

- (a) By intuition? Ba-g. (2) By experience | 93-104.

(3) By revelation? 4104-131:

Goddennes, 66, 67.

Gods (1) Physical, 10-67. (a) Metaphysical, 67-83.

Gough, 77, 100, 101. Griffith, Balph T. H., 114 Chilleya Niltian, ti

HAMILTON, SH W., 68, 69, 80, 110, 117.

Haoma

Its retymology, 195-h

In nature, 49-Irs deshgation, 30

Harichandra, 201-211 Hariyamaa, 6.

Harles, M. C. de, 36-Hang, Dr., 18. sq. 194-Havirvalina, 100, 193; 194-

Heaven, the abode of the good, 100:168

Hell, the abode of the wicked, 167.

Heraclitus 40. Herodotus, 25, 80, 130, 100, 210.

Hissiad, 66, 163. Hiranyagarbha, the golden em-

bryo, a god. Hobbest, 94

Hamer, 10, 10, 105.

Hotas, as Sacrificers

1DA, 138.

Immortality of the soul:

Positively affirmed, 157-156. Connected with the Pinis. 150-101.

Embodied in Yama, 101, 165.

- Nature of, 163, 166. - Metempsychosis, 109-171 Indea, probable etymology of the wned, 30.

Origin of the person, 14, 43

- His annibutes, 45, 47-

Indra, His personal appearance.

.. His most prominent epithers, 47549

His companions, 54.

- Hla sama drinking, 47, 40-50, Halogue between bim and

the Maruta, 53:54-

Scrpticium respecting his existence, 33, 30.

Indiant, see Goddewes-Inscriptions, 43

Intuition, its God.

Iphigraia, 211. Linn; Persia.

Iranians, ancient inhabitants of Perses.

Isa-Upamehad, or Veda lahet, ary Sacrifice.

hillian, 3

AXABITS, 30, 1774 Johnson's Oriental Religiont, 84. 261, 188.

Jupiter, 17, 33, 35, 35, 79, 161), KA, 18.

Kalpa, L Kapi, 24 Katha Upunishad, av Voda Kātyāyana, 13. 1h. Kanshatika-Brahmana, see Yeda. Kena-Upanishad, ser Veda: Khatalogya-Upanishad, are Vesta. Kleanthes, 70, 737. Kalutriya, 100 Coste Kubha, 177 Kullaka, tak.

LALITAVIETARA: 23.

MANABOLEATS, L. Mahayama, 192, 194-Mahound, 111.

Mattrayana Brahmana Upanishad. ers Veda.

Man, meaning of word, 137, 138. -- Dignity of man, 130-130-

Manes, as Pitra. Mandala, we Veda-Mantin, are Veda. Manayadharmanatra, 2. Manuel, Dean, 60, 67, 66, 102, Manu, 128, 129, 137-130 Margrianda, a name of the sun. Manus, atorm gods, 51. Maurya, 13. Meditation, 226, 239-Megasthenes, 15, 26, 179. Metaphysical gods, see Gods. Metempsychoals, 163-171. Mitra, Mithra, 41. Molloch, 211 Montion, 73, 60, 100, 134, 226 Mornogamy, 149 Monathmam, 99, 100, 108, 231. Motogum, 119. Muie, Dr. J., Sameristents, quoted, 3-4, 39-50, 97, 113, 116, 124, 127, 140, 147, 150, 100 Muller, Fron Maz, quoted, z, y, 4. 14, 15, 10, 18, 21, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 37, 39, 40, 41, 04, 73, 80, 83, 65, 164, 109, 110, 115, 110, 123, 144, 139, 150, 151, 155, 161, 173, 136, 205, 207, 215 Mungherr, 25

NAKBHI-L-RUSTRAM, 25.
Nardu, 12. 16.
N212yanz, 129.
Nasayan, 127.

OUNANOS, 32, 33.

Pasavaria, 192-3. Palibothra, 15. Panini, 15.

Pantheism, 72, 73, 134, 326. Parasorama, co. Parinhs, 177. Parlanya, 486 Parmendes, 131. Pasu-Pasubhanda, see Sacrifice. Patilaputra, 16. Partalene, 179-Paul, 29, 150, 150 Pelangians, 86. Perkunia, 4th Persian (2010), 24, 25, 26, 103-Philosophy, highest abutmenen 01, 73, 99, 100, 101 Proenicia (na), 23, 24, 26 Physicalatry, 83 Picter, M. de 102 Pittite, 190. Plato, 69, 92, 134, 164. Polyandry, 150. Polytheiam, 72, 105 Putri, or Sacrocers. Praise, 188; 189. Prajapani, Lord of Creature Praetorri, ere Sacrificere Pratiliartif, ser Sacrifice, Pratipianthātni, ac Sacrificem. Prayer, 138, 129. Prium, 51. Prithlys, earth goddens, 60. Paramas, a Purchita, family priest. Figras las, man, God. Parashapadha, haman-sarince. 108-208. Punhan, God of roads.

Rāja, hing.
Rājanya, no Caste.
Rajanunya, no Saurificz.
Rajanunya, no Saurificz.
Rajanunya, ten Saurificz.
Rajanunyana, 1.
Ratus, 22.
Refligion, highest abstraction of, 150, 100.
Revelation, 1, 6, 17, 56, 28, 113, 106, 131, 221, 233, 231, 232.

Rhiblens, the dethed artisans of the gode, to. Rug, ser Veda.

Rishis, bands and priests; authors of the hymne,

Rita, law, the origin of the concept, 155-1574

Roch, Fent. von, 139, 167.

Rudra, 51.

Madrani, ny Goddennes.

#### SACHIFICE:

- Iti gonatness, 190-1.

- Classification of, 192. - Different kinds of, 163-103-

- Origin of, 227-228. - Purport of, 209-211.

Sacrificers, origin of, 212-213. - Divided into four classes, vis., Adhyaryus, Hotzis, Udgatris, Brahmam, 213:

- Work allotted to each class,

224-2131

Mint be blamchus, 216. Purification of, 210.

- Sacred thread of, 210-217.

Sama Veda, ara Veda. Samayachariba Satras, 1. Sanhittle, 1, 7, 3-

Sanyasi. 227 Sarama, 48-

Satanyati, are Goddenses. Sarvamedha, and Sacrifice.

Sat (3a), 134-

Satapatha Brahmana, sor Veda

Sattina, 10 Sautemment, 148.

Sayce, Prof. A. H., 45. Savitri, the aun, uv Aditya...

Scure, ov Rights.

Seneca, 65, 70, Semana numumia.

01. Shadvinua Brahmana, we Veda

Sikaha, T. Sin, acknowledged, 139-142.

- Frankamental idea of, 142-143. \$55.

Sin, Represented as transgressions of divine laws, 144:5.

- A bould, or rope, 145

- A burden, 149-- A sea, or a flood, 45.

- Imported, 146.

- Its effects, 147. - Acts not comidered unfal,

146-153. - Acts considered sinfal, 153-

155-

Sinwall, are Goddenes.

Skamba, 71.

Smarts Stires, to Smritt, L.

Somo, see Hauma.

Soteriology, 18K. Spencer, Herbert, 87, 89, 03, 94

Spirit, see Atman.

Stauta Sütens, L. South, 4.

Sudta, or Carle Sunssepha, 199, 211.

Silvs, the sim. Sprin, 16, 18,

Upanishad. Svetšavatara Volla.

TALITUM, BO. Taittirya Brahmana, ee Veda. Tandys Brahmana, ser Veila, Tantras, 2-That that one, 71. hr. Thunlogy of the Veda, 29-111 Tallock, Principal, 144-

Tvashtar, divine Attres-Tyrina Maximum, 70 Conatar, or Sacrificers

Ukthyk, ser Sacrifice. Upanishad, see Veda. Upavita, 217. Unhas, the dawn, his by-

VALLARYAS, see Sacrificers Valsyz, and Caste. Valupuya, see Sacethice Vak. 75.

Valakhylya, g. Varana, 37: Varma, 1710173. Varunani, 20: Goddesses. Varuna,

- firymology and physical meaning of, 32, 33

- Attributes of, 17-41, 43.

- A reflection of the true God, 40. - Oldest blacerical god of the

undivided Atyans, 34-36.
Moral grandent of, 38, 40, 45.

The degradation and suppression of, 40-41, 50, 37.

Vayu, 58.

240

Veda, stymology of, a

Denotes four collections, Sanhitan called Rig Veds, Sanna-Veda, Yajur-Veda, and Atharva-Veda, 2, 3

Each Veda divided in Muntra

and Beilieminn, j. 32

- Brahmanas contain Aryanakas and Upanishads, 4.

- Authors of 3, 20, 11, 12, 14.

- Inspiration of 640.

Age of 1948.

orally transmitted, 21-26.
 General character of, 26-28.

Vedadonta, 135 Vedangas, 1. Vishmi, 51. Visvadevali, all gods. Visvakarman, a name for the Creator. Vriad Aryanaka, see Veda. Vriad aryanaka, see Veda.

Vritea, 47. Vyškazana, 1, 127.

Wallis, H. W., quoted, 157. Weber, Prof., quoted, 25, 139, 168, 169. Whitney, Prof. D. W., quoted, 5, 51.

Williams, Peof. Sir M., quoted, r., 62, 215, (23-

William, Prof. H. H., quoted, by

th, toy, 200, 211.
Wilson, Rev. Dr., quoted, 170-Writing, when and whence infroduced to India, 23-25.

YapaMina, 212. Yapin, 100 Sacrifice Yapin-Veda, 100 Veda. Yama, 101-102. Yama, 102-103. Yima, 102-103. Yegawanda, 10.

Zenit Aventa, 33, 36. Zeun, 31, 33, 35, 36. Zeun pater, 31, 35. Zio, 35. Zoroaster, 100, 110.

# MESSRS, LONGMANS, GREEN, & CO.'S CLASSIFIED CATALOGUE

# WORKS IN GENERAL LITERATURE.

# History, Politics, Polity, Political Memoirs, &c.

Abbott Affirmation violent. In Bright A How to Avail to the Rea | France Statesty, D.R. 13 1 1.- From the Barline Those to the and the cold Course Ben part for Per II - you will Cr. man, me of

Actual and Brasome - Allied HARDET OF PARKAGE TO PAGE Ches-- begenite deren. Beite flight Hom. A. H. LIVER ACLAND M.P. and STREET HANDRE MA CHERT . S.

ANNUAL RECOURSE THE A Reand the the carries of them and

Venture of the Associate Russesser, for the years and younger cars will be built Ide math

Armstrucks - Pittanun Farens The Termer and Seath. Dy Khwash College Output Ive star

Accided. - Woney by T. Alexen, D.D. Commenty than I'M access of Rently School **Титроностите I.** страва от Моциин HEILET. But. 7s. W.

MISSALAMAGO WORKS FOR 70 Md.

Bagwell-lemant come Taranto De Remann Retreet.
Id.D. 1904 Vos. 1 and H. France to the N. Hanne to the 1803 FOR 12

Ball Hall BAL REVIEW OF THE I SO IT THE PROPERTY OF SAFEYE IS theretain from the firewater of Henry the St. of I. the Linius Diego rheal. I the Ru H. J. T. Batt. Swe., or

Beant. To Romes or Louises. It White Hills. leaders Committee 11, 90. Or bound

Brasany. - Paress And Administra By Land BRAMET, Name and Afters. tion a with Crown Ser, were

Percel L Ministeral Sheeteenr The Paragina of the Research Richard III A House to 1274 Common PAGE AR FOR

Patriot II PRESENTAL MUNICIPAL ST.

sold Crews See, at

Committee Man Period III Arres W Blan and Mr., in the tv. 1689 to 1837. Grow but

Period IV. You have roles over-\$40. Qt.

Buckle-Haroward Contrary ENGLAND AND PROPERTY OF THE CO. SCOTLAND, No THESE THESE Burning youth Chive See, 244.

Creighton. History of The Carays. trumber the Barrentron by Mastell Lermanics, D.L., L. C. Bishop of Patricusary, Von II. and IV. 1572 577 Bre 130

Cornon.-Works by my Hess. Great N. CURROR, MCP.

PRODUCE AND CHARLES FAR LLEY LALAN CHESA, CHESA With a Mr. in Characters to re-

PERSON AND THE PARE OF QUEST! With a Maps, at Illianuar ... April the color lailes were to be

De Poequevilla. - Districtant ASSESSED. IN ALEXANDER OF THE PARTY vices a vote Cream .....

Ewald - Work by Hayanda Ewalls Personal with the Laboratory and the second THE ASTROCTURE OF BRASE, S. .. ISL BE

The Hispane or Intall, Such, So-Vote I and H. see Vote HI and IV get Vet V. rft, Vot VI . zft Vot VII. see Vd VIII. : 22

## History, Politics, Polity, Political Memoirs. &c .- confined

HADNE FORT BY W. J. PITTERATEDIE. Daniel O'Cornell . Sea , 70 of.

Presultan - The Harlowscan Gao-GRAPHY OF EUROPIE. By KOWARD A. PERSONAL DEST., LLD. With 69 Maps a vote from you all

Proposite. We hade James A. Proposit. the Christenius of Canani.

THE MUTURE OF EMPLAND, SOME THE Part of Wedgey to the Delent of the Spenish Arches

Popular Edition, 12 min. Crown Arm, 32 til mich

Silver Literary Edinon. va week. Committee to be buch

THE DESCRIPTION OF CATHERINE OF ARAcontribution as which by the Imperial Ambantachus resident at the Court of House VIII. In many Laborette. Cro-s Bett, or

THE SPANISH NEWS OF THE ARMADA, and other Russys. Historical and O ... prive. Crown Sec., to

THE PARTIES IN THELAME IN THE LIMITER CENTERY, STOR CE. Brow, while

SAIGTE STUDIES OF THEAT SUMMED IL of mile the first pe fall made CERAS | a Seyech Cr. 650 . p. 64

Ourdings. Wend by SAMORL RAW. ann Canmers, M.A. Ros. LLD. Edinburgh.

HISTORY OF ENGLANTS from the Accastion of James I, to the Outbrook of the Civil Was, 1603-1542, 10 mile. Chicago Batt., Sa reach.

HISTORY OF THE GREAT CTUE WAS shar-thin a cole Ur. Sen, to such HISTORY OF THE COMMONWEALTH AND THE PROTECTORATE, 1949 1860. Visit I mapper out. Broke, were

THE STUDENT & PLATOR FOR ENGLAND, With mil Englintlage Cr. Sen. 120. Africa Three Tolorest.

Vol. L see G-A.D 1900 With tra THE THE A. LAND BYD 49.

Vol. II stop 108. With 96 filmerastone Crown She at

Val. III: redgates. With too like rumous Crown fro. at

Pitapatries - Sauer Service Greville - A Journal on the States of Kum Ground IV KING WILLIAM IV. Ami Queen Visitoria la CHARLES C. F. GREVILLE 100-01 Clerk of the Council. I cole Long Pen, de much.

> HAPL-PEACHCALESASS IN AMBRECO. GOURNAMENT BY ALBERT BROWNER HARL Philly &c. Educe of ! Speche of American Hinney, Ass., Ac. Crewn BYNN DE

Hours, The Covernment of Est. LAND HE STREET AND STED OF STREET By W. Emwarn Meanie del 12

Historio Towns.-Police by L L PHENAS, D.C.L. and Rev. Williams Hunt, M.A. With Maps and Phot Cross Svir, in 6d, cars.

BEIGIOL. By the Rev W. HUNY. CARLIELE. By MANDELL CREIGHTON. D.D. Bishop of Printerioral CINOTE PORTS De Siberace Inte

MOVE.

COLUMN BY REV. L. COTTO-ERRICAL BY R. A. FRICIAN. LOSDON. BY Rev. W. I. LINETE. CXPORIS. BY REV. C. W. HOADS. WESCHRETTE Dy Res. G. W. KO CHIN, TAD.

VOME BY ROW JAMES RAISE NEW YORK, BY TREODORE ROOMS TELT. BORDER (U.S.) By HERRY CARD Linesee.

JOYOO, - A SHORT HEST SET UP LIST ARU, frink the Enthur Trace to tent. He P. W Joren, L.L.D. Crown Fox and 66

Lang.-St. Ashanwa by Ashan LANCE With R Plane and at Ribette there is the Ten by T. Hones, and FR SEL

Locky.-Works by WHILIAM END 140 MARIPULT LECET

HATTORY OF ENGLAND IN THE TRESTRI CENTURY.

Litrory Edition Some for the Column Edition Formant Tolling Cr. New San Sant Bernahill I sults. Crown \$100, 66 mills

HISTORY OF RESIDENCE MODALS PRINT AUGUSTUS TO CHARLEMANCE

TOWN COMMENTS BUT ... INC. History or Time him and larger was OF THE SPIRIT OF RATIONALISM IN

## History, Politics, Polity, Political Memoirs, &c. - wallened

HANTS IN LEGAT CONTINUES.

THE PROPERTY IN VALUE WAS IN COURSE An In the live Acutes of the contact the HOPEN - Distance, Newspiret pe, 1864. Trellency of H.E.H. the Paper of Water Crossing to he

Macaulay, Ware by Leas Mac-ARLAY,

Contractor Goden

Calmed II much by force, Lath Lalence Bullion Broke Bring (5 St.

HEATING OF POSTERAND PROPERTIES AC-COMING OF LANGE THE SECURE

treater to be a work, Cr. bra at S War's Politon and Cr. bro., tal. Paul fe's Palling a vote Cr. 1900, 176. Caldan Lillain, Brain Pa. 8vo., 38c. Lineary Relient 5 octs. Sim, La

CRETICAL AND PROTORNAL PORTE werm Lars de Antennet Stone, la a

Propiler Silmon. Crown from an act An lancis ; Litters, Crisis and, at he arm of gets of the Short L. To Return Crewn Svy. 10 61

#### Carrical, sop Businescal Emart.

Souther Employ, 1 and Cr. Syn., ev. Prophy's Edition, 2 vols, Cr. Syn., 8). They bean Edition greats. Cr. Bro. go. Column Er tem a role Postero age I linery Politan, 3 vol. 100 . 200

beauty when may be had regardedly. processed and newed on construction.

lumit Two Ranays)

Commenters.

Runks and Glad

DECEMAN. Atthews much Marchin.

wellie Lord Pyron and The

Alter mir Wales Lord Clare. The Eatl of Ches

Original the Great. 173 B -

Boomell a

to the Comme House, Hillions weeps Hailings.

of the Rimentition. (all made and style A1004 MARKELLABOUR Westerna

Disputer Liebon Ce pro. as bet

Including Indies. Lab Lotton Frank I look, Laye of America Rossey, and Maccollancous Forms | wein Pour Pen. But.

Looky, Winted Will as Someth Macaniar. Works or Look Mac AULAY. - formed

Many and Andrews Married

Bookla v Est v al. 17. - 10 विभीत्वान विकास अ

SELECTION FROM THE MATTER OF lami Macamai: Ed and Occamional No. of by the Research went this more all C C in Brook, br.

May .- The Committeement the tray or Thestant describe Access George III specialization Bu Sie Land was Errore Mar. K.L.B. G. B. Francesco, Dec. 1

Mericale.-Wate by the Very Ray. CHARLES MARLYJLE, MAR I - THE

Linguist of the Robers bearing by

Californ Fatition Profes Cr - all Silver Library Edition 1 Bren., Mr. Sale worth.

THE PALL OF THE HOMES'S PERSON. a Street Michael of the Last Contact of the Componentile trees, 72.54

Monlague.-The Klamore or Esta-LIMIT CONSTRUCTIONS. DASPORT STATE the Explant Time to the I all Con-BIF. C. MUNTAGUE MA CHICA VG. W. let

O'Brien-teun Ippas Received ADDRESSES BY WILLIAM O BALLS SEP Ca. from the feet

Pronduction - Ingland rain the KRITORATION TO HOS FOUR LLTEEN. Anthorn ' The Lamentian Sil in Instant' Pon. 50.

Secboliza - 7.6 Partzus Val. COMMITTED Knows in the Reto the Meanway and Tribal Symme, By Francisco Springer, Web ex Mary and Plante. 12th Phy.

BRATCH -LIBERT AND THE SCHOOLSE a Henry certail train, was the Applicant of abilities in the consulty of IN REPRESENTATION OF THE REmirch Clerk in the Office of the Town Cart, of the City of Landon, 9 state Pro Villa L soit II out to see

## History, Politica, Polity, Political Memoira, &c. - entirent

Protes Supposers, M.A. Sub-liven of the City is Royal. With a Planmand Illustration in the Year, a Volt Aro, Va Pil

SHALLS - CARTHAGE ARTETUS CARTHA-M.A. Assisted Manes in Harrow School, With Maps, Plans, &c. Cz. Amir a del

Stoffigns Allamatorius in sen ga vortrios. By H. Money Street, we nation College, Oxford, y role Sec. Vola 1, and 11, 484 and 1.

Stubba History of the University or Director, him in Foundation to the Find on the Eighteenth Century By ] W ATT UP OFFICE THE PARTY

Sutherland. The Baner of ALETHALIA AND NEW ZEALAND, PROM LAND M.A. Cross free, 22 52

Shappard. - Massistate of St. Todd. Pasting or artificity to past the Pattern By the Ret. Berne Bayring Coroner By Atlanta DETERMINED OF OWER BY ALCOHOL Posto, L.L. II Com, me. not

> Wakeman and Hassall-Luan INTEROCOTORY TO THE STREET OF Education HEBER COPPLET WALREST, MA and Arrepa Har the Ma Crown Sya. 6s.

> Wulpole-Well by Wall to PHILE.

PROPORT OF EACH AND PADE BUY COMmarket in the first William THE TO KEE OWN TO STORE ....

Ing Land or House Roles wing Account of the History and Inches trees of the life of blan. The firm in

Wylles-Pleaten of Propagation HENRY IV. BY JAMES BASHLEY WYER MA, on of H A of Schools, 13 will Coron from You L apportunit teo 61. Vill III and Vil III.

#### Biography. Personal Memoirs, &c.

OF ELMSTED I ASSESSED Liked BY G. P. AVHILTONE FOR WWW. 22 GL

BROOM-LETTERS AND LITE OF FRANCEI BACOR, DESLUDING ALL HO OCCASIONAL WORLS Edition by was with a work were Lage.

Bugohot - BIOGRAPHICAL STEPPER. He WALTER HARRISON SOO. THE

Boyd. TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF ST. AKRIBERTS, 1983-1995, Up A. K. H. David O'H. L.L.D. Anthor of Russes sippe of a Country Parson, Ar - 2 vols. 800, Vol. 3, 125 Vol. 11, 130

Carryle - Immasi, sample afflancy of by Like Hy. L. A. PROUVE 1794-1675 A true Trees from 71 1844-1821 2 mil. (Jimen 200. 72

Brasmus-Lug and Letters of He know to a Series of Lecture between soldsfoot. By JAMES ASTRONY PRODUCE. Committee Breeze, Car.

Pabert .- LEZZHAN FARRET, GROSSON as Section and Marchael of France. His Life and Thors. (193) 1664. By Greaters. Hoovers. With a Portrait. 3rd, no. 62.

Armstrong.-Tas Life asu Layress Fox.-Inn Easty Remiserse Change JAMES FOX. By the Right Bles. bet O. O TREVELYAR BARR

> Library Edulan Dec. 18. Calinet Edition Crime I'm

Oranvilla, THE LETTER OF BASHET Construct Changes in the Hat I have SON CHANGE 4 -OIL See THE

Hamilton. -- Live or Siv William HAMILTON, By R. P. GRATES .... Mr. ruch Auffantit is, Dro. to me !!

Havelock-Mesons or his distri-HAVELOCK, K.C.B. By JOHN CLASS Manuallan, Charmen Style, 30 Of

Magnific Tim Last and 1 trees of Like Macaulay. By Big Hom. Sinck O TRETELIAN BAR.

Pupular Schrion: 1 vol. Cr. Sea, an, and Smann s Eddies red in 200 Cabinet Edition, 2 vols Pour 12 Liferary Editions a sede firm of

Biography, Personal Memoirs, &c .- watinued.

in Mariot Tounlains from the Preside by ARTINIA RITIA DEPERE Make Lives In . Think

Montrose--Unite of Morrison: THE MCHOICE OF JAMES, MARQUES, OF Mr crucal reprings by the Mrs. Granus Wishart, D.D. (Bishop of Killy Burgo after 1977 | Translated by the Ro. ALEXANDER MV ROUGH, F.S.A. and H. F. MORRLAND SERVICE, 400. Mile 1275.

Soubodin.-The Oxean Removes COURT ERAMINARD THOMAS Moun a Homerod their Follow Work. Be Perment Serious. 896., 144.

Eliakenpears - Courses or the LITER OF SHARESPEARS, UP J. O. HALLINGER PRINCIPLE STREET HALLINELL-PRILLIPPS sums Ulgarrathens and Par-suniles. & and Repul Bron Lt 11.

Shakepeare's True Live By law Waltes With you Blammicone by the sain E. Moira. hup. Sen str.

Marbot. The Manager of The Blancos Bhorbrooke, Lies and Latter to THE RECEIPT HOS, ROBERT LOWE, VICTORIES SHEEMSOOKE C.C.D. In A. PATCHETT MILETE. With Port of ZWIL ON THE

Stonbur. - Essays in Local Larrical. ILIOGRAPHY. By Sir JANES STEPRICE

Citizen Brief, 7 .. All

Verney-African or rue Verset FARRLY pourses this CIVIL WAS trated by the Person or Chird on House tracks by Parlack Partnerson Verner, With a Property A R Ganzonek, M.A. [1.1]. - With a Pernetta Woodcutz and Fastamer Vols. L. mid H. Royal Beer, 442

Vod. 111 Ju 24. Pres-

Walford - Pressy Estates Autors RISER By L. H. WALFGER, Crown Brita i da fel.

Wallington - Lim or the Deal WELLINGTON By the Res. G R. GERIO, M.A. Croom Bye., p. ad.

Travel and Advanture, the Colonies, &c.

KCLE SEAS AND LANCE. With Jr Illiumtions Cr. Sec., 7a al. Ching Edl-

tust. On Hon. to fee

WASHING WELLS Reprinted from Papers politished in The Duity Telegraph and I cough Journals and Moraspann With an Irhustrations for, the

AUSTRALIA US IT IS or Festi mi Francisco, Shatchest and Incolment of Ann ha and Australian Life, with Notices of New Resident, By A CLEMIT MAN, thirty condens in the unforter of New South West, Cross BWG EI

Baker. - Worse by Sor. Salar 22. Worth TRAMEST.

BRIDE YEARS IN CERLIN WHILE O The follows I was over you but

Tim Riven and the fescion of Cry-Luk allhatron on Cr. Sen., p. M.C.

Bent -- Worse to J. THERMORE BEST. F.A. F.N.G.S.

THE REPORTS CITIZE OF MASSISSEnated being a Morray) of Percention and Explanate of 1851. With Map. 13 Plans, and real Blustariess in the Test. 1, \$100 . 71 64

Arnold, - Works to So Enwis Amont Bent. - Works by J. Tottement B or P.S.A., F.R.G.S.

THE SAUDENCETT OF THE E-MENTALE. lwing a Record of Travel and berequires Abyminus in 1843. With P. Player and by Dimerations in the Total Bun : 184

Bootliby.-ON THE WAILART, OF Thomas the East and Acress Alst Brassey. Works by the Lot Land

PHEATERY.

THE LAST VOYAGE TO DO A AVE ACTUALIA OR THE SUSTEEN. With Charge and Mape, and to thereunitions for Mouli and amply 200 Elipsications have I at Dung our

A VITAGE IN THE - WHITAM, OUR HOME OF THE OCKAR FOR ELLIES. MONTH.

Libery Edinian. With I Maps. - 1

Degra, and ret lämbration

Caboot Edition. Will be - 1 55 Shan Linery Edition With #

Highrations, Crownilles, pt. 1 Popular Zimen. With to filmenton on the work in their

Pripa, as chath, or 12 when pure-Garden.

## Travet and Adventure, the Colonies, &c. - conjugaci,

Brussey Winds to the law Laur MANUEL - CONTRACT

SUMMING AND STORM IN THE EAST While a blage unit Laborary Falktion Par Mantracana Bros. 21.

With a Maps and Calence indicions ras Matterious Creenberrye tal. Spaler Relands. Wall reg Mustra Popular Religion. L'eme ates, ful recel, tr. cloth

IN THE TRAINS, IMP TRAINS, AND CHE - MOARSON FORTIES!

With Map and the Colonia Edition Historican Crown 640., 71, 62 With the Menta Popular Palmon. tions, and delicated, it, earth

THERE VOTAGES IN THE SUBSEAM". Popular Elitam, 346 Brestretinn.

plant feet

Bryden, XICE AND KARCO, Sport sound, and Neptical History in Cape By 11, A. BRETTON OF THE Califor. BAN, 14 STEEL STATE

Frond - West by Janes A FRONDS CATAPA By Loudand and hes Colonies. Within Illustrations, 15 was 840.

at bearing as for charle. THE ESPLIES IN THE WEST LABOR. or the Bow of Ulyana. With a llime training the front both as off the

Howard.-Limwing Trans-Special SAVARRA No B. DenIGLAS HOWARD, M.A. Creen Sec. 84

Howitz VIIII TO REMARKABLE PLACES, Old Harts, Hartle Selfe. Committee of Straking Persons in Fagish History and Poster By WILLIAM HOWITT. With 8a Rimersstude Cerren dec., 32 bel.

Reducht.-Works by R. E. KRIGHT THE CREAT OF THE 'ALLETS' the Name of a Search for Tremmitten the Theory I danie of Trimblad a Music aint og liturvansen. Cr. Svo., 32 66

WHERE PROPE EMPIRES MAET; & NACnature of Record Travel in Kashima. Wenner Titel, fininger, Lides, Official and the adjoining Countries. With a Man and 34 Blustrations. Callin man

Lamented Clutterbuck - B C 1887" A RAMBELL IN BUTTISH COLUMNIA. BY J. A. LEEK and W. J. CLUTTERSUCK. Author of These in Norway With Manual or Chatrations 1 r. 8vo. 3t. och

Montague, TALETTE A NOMARS OF Sport and Strin. By Charles Mus-

Mardoon ... : cost | heart age to 75 ANYARCTIC: An Article Act and Skamber during the films - month Especial day of 1502 3. 19 W G Esta-Militer on With a Chapter by W. a Branch Namanga at the Design

Nanton Wate by the France NAMES.

THE PORT COCCING OF GUT ALOTS With animotope Destruction --Mag. Crown 240. 22.00.

Andreas With prilinging to

Pearly,-My Archic June MALL & For arming lead alle and while to be Junearum atmer ractions are west ng Plates, 3 S. mig blaps and do Illustrations in the Test, Sen., 141

Roukhill-In Landin Till Lands Notes of a fourney thirting Chain. De golde, and The L. By William W. Com-VILLE ROCKINGS. With a Mary and or Illustrations. No. 150

Smith Cinema of the Burrell have by W. P. Hassery Second With Blanceione by Rian Coan. Part I ENGLAND. FOR OVA. 1 M. Part III. SCOTLAND. To proper

Standar. - The Phayground of Standar, by Lantin Strength, benefit. President of the Alumn Claim, No. Erimon, with \$1 most and 4 Ill statiome, Crown Sec. in --

THREE IN NO WAY OF THE O Them Wittin Map and to Blase tions. Co. Sep., or boards, as do place

Von Hohnel - Discrept of LANS. REPORT AND STREAM IN NAMED A Compt Samuer, Princis Lie .... and Harite Fa above on Equatorial Artis in 1 5 20 30 Distinguished Laparett States With 1914 Illinoxieroms and a high a will Avenue

Whishaw.-Cur or People of Taxle. LASTIJ A Recent of the Seconds and Denge of a Waintere in Re-FREE J. WILLIAM Cr. ROOL P. AL

Sport and Pastime. THE BADMINTON LIBRARY.

With the Philipsenson. THELEOL. Crown Pro. co. --

ATRILLITIES AND FOOTBALL BY MORTAGON BICCARRON, Will IN

MO GAME SHOOTING By C. PIRE three Wolker F C School St. District the tole, real and

DATING BY W R WOODGATE With an Introduction by the Rev Emineral Warre, D.D., and a Chapter on ' Ros-Water Harrison Cr. Sec. on 64

COURSING AND FALCONRY By Hanteles Con and the Hom GREAUM Chawa 200 ... 150 '66,

CRICKET IN A G. Screen and the Hon. R. H. Lyrranion. With Couries. come by Asprew Last, R. A. H. Siri. CHULL W. C. Grane, and E. Gall. With his Dimensions are described

By Vincenter Burn | Last CVCLING. of Alleguarde). K.C.M.G., and G. LACT HELLIAM. With So Illimus-Long CHYAN Bree, sub fall

DELVING BY the DURE OF EVALUERY With 65 Illimentons, Cr. Sen, mr. 64.

PENCISS, POXING AND WREST-LINE By WALTER H. POLICE, F. C. GROVE, C. PREVONT, E. R. MOTTHELL, MA WALTER ARMITHING. With 40 Bloom Dra., cor Se.

FIGHTING By H. CHICAROSTRIAT PRO-MELL. With Commissions by the Manuals of Exercia, House & Francia Sam Josep P. Transmin. G CHARTERIES HASIES, R. B. MAR. minus, all

Vol. J. Salmon, Trees, and Grayling. With and Illustrations Grown Dec. BOOK! WILL

Vol. II Pine and other Course Pale. Wat and Hamerinian Crossy to THE RE

GOLF, By HORSER G HATTERDAY the Mr. A. J. Hallerin, M.P. Survey, M.P. L. Buryers, M.P. L. Lukin W. S. L. WOULD H. S. C. P. TRAIND, ARRIVED WITH SE Harm Come Crown to the fall

POLE AND HELE COME ROS & W L. DAYSES, DRUBT COLLERS, and Atlenna E T Wares With 53 Madesons Crown bra, to ak

MOTINTAINEERING BY T. H. ST. S. F. DOLLSCA BUT. W. M. CONWAY. DIRECTAL PERSONNEL F. E. MA. ruces, he with col librors

Crown Front Plan 4.5

RACING AND STERPED HASING By the Ends in Surman a Asy forms corner W. O. Craves, Astron. Crown brown mr. 6d

ROBERT WEST, J. MODAY EXCUS. ON Dive or Biaternst Man, the Last. of Spront & amp Incamman, dr. Wah

of Illuminations Cr. Sec., no. of SSIDOFTING De Land Waterlean and and Sir Ralest Paymediateury have. LOUD C. L. KKER, the flow C. Law CREATE, and A. J. STULET-WINDTERT Vot. I. field and Covert With the

Resemblish Court from the Will 61 Thursdoor Cr. box, rm M. SKATING CURLING TOPOGA

NING, AND OTHER HT SPORTS By J. M. HEATHCOIR, C. G. TRESSETT. T. MAXWELL WITHAM, the Res. Dilling REER, CREED HARE and Colonis Buck With the Illegrations. Co. SYD. YOU GH.

SWIMSLING De ABCRURALD COURSE and William famor Winted Illino

TENNIS LAWN TENNIS BAL GUETS AND FIVES BY EM and C. C. BEATPOINE P. O. PLEXICAL-Complianains by the Plan A LIVETER PLOC. W. L. MARRIALL, Min L. Don. Se, Wildry Bilderian C. be of the YACHTING.

Vol. 1 Criming, Categorie Sea, Physics, Submitted Out at Hear Lowant Setzivan, Bur., Loep Indiana, R.C.B. L. E. Sern Sutth, C.E. A. With the Bland. Co. thin and full Vot 11. Yacht Clette, Vacidates in

Anon to and the Congress, Yould Rac-EARL OF CHILDRY, ILC MITS AS Who to the Creen bear at M.

# Sport and Pastime—authoral. FUR AND FEATHER SERIES. Education 2. I. Warren.

THE PARTRIESE. Natural Hestery, by the Rev H. A. Macrusanni, Shiolong, by A. J. Studyt. Worther, Company, by General Saurrana, and Vignatic by A. J. Studyt. Worth 14 Sillings (Bantanan and Vignatic by A. J. Studyt. Worthey, and C. Wathern, and 12 Diagrams in the Test of A. J. Studyt. Worther, and 12 Diagrams in the Test of A. J. Studyt. Worther, Committee, Q.

WILDSTOWL by the Hom Jones Scarri-MONTAGE, M. P., &c. Huntrated by A. J. STEART WORTLAY, A. THOURIES, and others. It's perforation

THE GROUSE. Named History by me Rev. H. A. Machumann; Shooting by A. I. Studers Worthers Lieberg, by George Sammonury. With it illustrations by J. Studers Worthers and J. Timouring and carried line grams in the Total Crown Rose, of

THE HARE AND THE RABBIT. by the Hom Gread Laboration. Ro

THE PHEASANE, Dr A. J. STRARF WORTLAT, the Rev. H. A. MACVIER 2006, and A. J. HISTO SHAND.

[/= trop-ration

Campbell-Walker. The Correct Campur of How to Play at Whit; a Wise Carecham. By Major A. CAMP-1811.-Walker, P.R. L.S. Pep Box.

DEAD SHOP (THEE) or Sperimental's Complete Outde Reing a Treatme On that One of the Gun, with Ruchmenney and Presiding Lessons on the Art of Shoning Game of all kinds, also terms Deiring, Wild-Fowl and Pigeon. Shorting, One Breaking, etc. By Marketman, Grewitsen, row. 64.

Palkemer.—Game ancient and Orlegatal and How to Play Torne By Edward Palenner. With animorous Phinography, Diagrams, &c. 840.

Parel.—The Throns and Practice of Archere. By Honarz Fosts. New Edition theroughly Revised and Responsor to W. Burt, M.A. With a Pro-Lectly C. J. Lewinson, M.A. Sec., 141.

Fow let, Reconstrained of Our Country Life, Social, Political, Sporting, and Agricultural. by J. K. Fowner, I. Rusten, L. Kemerly of Agricultur, With Parract and to Hingrations. Sec., 445, 56

Princis —A floor on Amilian: or, Treatme on the Art of Faking in every firmen; locating hill librariated List of Salmon Files. By Francis Francis. With Petrali and Coloured Pains. Cr. 810, CU.

Hawker.—The Dray of Coloral, Physic Hawker, sucher of "Intrations to Vanag Sportness". With an introduction by Six Raless Payers Oallway, But. 2 vol. 5vo., 221. Liong Man, -Chass Createde. Sy Phen W. Loweman. Fep. Pro., at \$24 Manked Pro. - Strangs and Flatter at Complete Revelation of the Secreta at Change at Country of Chancer and Said. By John Navit. Manager vis. of the Perpitan Hall. With 6a Managering Cross Stop. 6.

Payno-Gallway. - Works by Sir RALFR PAYNE GALLWEY, Sket

Letynes to You St. Smoothes Heat Series. On the Choice and the of a Gen. With at Illustrations. Cr. Son., 77, 64

Lattragero Votra Sindotem. (Second Series). On the Fredmann, Prescrition, and Killinged Conne. Wat the Breating in Kernevera. Wat a Format of the Autime, and rea Blacomtions. Cross too, 122-164.

nous. Crown bio., ref. ist. Pole. Die Textus or the Mound Scientific Game in White. By W. Pole F.R.S. Pop 800, at 25.

Proctor. Works to R. A. PROGRAM HOW TO PLAY WHIST WITH THE LAWS AND EXPOSITE IN WHISE Crown See, 36 %

Hank Witter: no. Easy Grade to Co-

rect Play: 1600c. 17.
Ronalds. The First Funer's ENTOMALOGS. By Altrett Rosalus. With
coloured Representations of the Natural
and Artificial Insect. With no Coloured
Plates. See, etc.

Wildcolm. The Sea Frequential And Compensing the Color Methods of Holds and Line Falling in the Berlin and other Seas, and Remarks to Ness, Josephan and Rooting, By J. C. Wildcolm Haward. Cross 280, 66

#### Yeterinary Medicine, &c.

Stool - Works by June Manner Street. A THEATHER ON THE DIRECTOR OF THE Dog Ill Hurtratium, Pro., tor. bd.

A II ATIL ON THE DIMEASES OF THE OWN WITH THE Blowminns.

899-15L PARATUR OF THE PERSONS OF THE Three Witt po History on Jet.

OUTLINES OF EQUINE AMATIONS: 8 Alexand for the use of Vetermary Saudimia in the Dissecting Room. Cappin Dvo. 31. Ac.

Plinwroum, House and Syania. By Major-Geologi Sir F. French public flart. With 36 pages Billibrations Serie, mi. 622, met-

"Stonehenge." - The Double Hualite AND DEPLOY. BY STONEHERS. With its Illustrations will, po def-

Youngh -- World by WILLIAM YOUATT. The House. With noncessed line-The Dog Wall managemen Bustes-

tions 8vo . OA.

#### Mental, Moral, and Political Philosophy.

LOGIC, RHETORIC, PSYCHOLOGY, ETC

F. K. ARBERT, R.D. 1200, M.

A wintentile. Weeks by.

THE PRESTICES CO. Hither's Greek Test of Backs L. HI. IV, (VIL) with an English Translation by W. E. Bot. EAST, M.A.; and short introductory Essays by A. Liang, M.A. Exsues

Hou, 79 60 Fig. Polaries: Introductory Embra. Di Antere Lakes Orms Rediand and Long's Pointer 1 Cr. Sec. S. &c. Tue Cymca Urech Test, Ille trated

with from and Notes. By St Alexa ARVAR GRANT, BET. 2 ichi. Bro.,

THE NICOSACHUAN ETHICS Newly Denniform total Proposite. By Richard WHILEARL Crown From 75 the

AN INTRODUCTION TO ASSECTATION SERVICE THROUGH X. c. 1. iz. to an Appendix.) With a conal. in to an Appendix.) With a con-New E MINORE D.D. Cr. Street, sear Sel.

Bacon. - Works by Francis Racis. COMPARTS WORKS Edited by R. L. FELDS. J. SPENISSON, and St. D. HEATS. 7 vols. Sec. 2,1750.56 LETTERS AND LOFE PROBLEMS IN THE

occasional Works. Edited by JAMES THE Exares with An oursions.

BRUBARN WHATELY, CLD. MINE.

The Parker With Introduction Name. and lucken. By E. A. Annort. D.D. g was Four ten, to The Tree would for a only, with at introduction and Name of One Volume. For these 21 65

Abbott, The Elementson Louis, By Balm. - Works by ALEXANDER Barn, Liter

> MENTAL SCIENCE Cross fro. at. 66 The real moves on above can be had by two

parame, gran in bit. SERVIN AMPURE DOWNLESS. BOX. 134. EMOTIONS AND THE WILL. BOX. 136. Logic, Deputity sur tancers. Part I., at Part Hay he find

PRACTICAL ESSAYS CON BIOL P.

Brny .- Works by CHARLES BRAY.

The Periodylery on Nathaness on Lauren Shadowin Matter Co bear 5

THE EUROPETON OF THE PUBLISHER . Mond Symme for Schools Crown Sun at the

Bray Lesson for Home and Sound Teaching. Do Mea CRASLES BEAT. Line Spin, to 62

Orozier.-Civitalation and M.D. With New Process, no fully explaining the rather of the New Orgapost much in the scalings of its preference Fren, 1145.

Davidson-Tue Lucie (\* Derm. THOS, Expensed and broad in WILLIAM L. DAVISSON MA COM State , Sec.

Greath- Int Works or Promas Hitz. tioner Paretty & L Mercreunit Vola I and U. Philosophers Works Marie Ade, mark

Vol. 111. Miscellanes. Wan laster to the stone Volumes, and Manuar. In-

DIT.

#### Mental, Moral and Political Philosophy - antiques.

- Hearn.-The Astan Households and Ladd.-Worse by G. T. Lapp ---programs out its fares process. Incoming to Comparative Judgets po to the Lower Highest Tro. 150
- Hodgann Works by heanworth H. Totalson.
  - The And Space; a Manaphysical Essay, Jun., 16c.
  - THE THERM FOR PRACTICE : an Historia Engury, wools we ap
    - om Pantal oppr to REFERENCE. 2 TO THE WOLLD
- Turne, The Philosophical World or David House, Educad to T. H. Togen and T H. GROSE avide Sva. the (by sparsing) Easiert, o willi--site after
- Johnstone. A Sunsy Dyrandoction to the Nium of Long. By Latwas Jonesiana With Questions. t's West, my 4d
- Jones As Investment to Care-PAR LOUISE BY E. E. CONSTANCE
- Instinian in featurers or fea-reman Lain Text, chiefly that of II. Lie, with English Introduction. Transaction, Notes, and Summery. By
- Kant.-Warm by IMMARUEL KANT.
  - CENTROPE OF PRACTICAL RESIDER, AND OTHER WORES OF THE THEORY OF ETHORS. Transmostly I. K. ARROTT, B D. With Monney Sec. 141 tol.
  - INTEGRICATION TO LOCK, AND HIS ESSAY BY THE MO TAKEN SONTHING OF THE FOUR PRODUCE. PROSERVED BY T. A. AMEDICA, and with North by T. C. CLERENGE. Box. 5.
- Killiek-Harrack to Make his TRU OF LOCK BY ROT AT HE KILL Lies M. L. Croun Rose, 34 64
- Larlet Works by General Transmitt. L-ARITI
  - DEADON'S OF PROPERTY SIDES FOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY NAMED IN
  - Consult or Physical Prithe all to collise A Tables of Mercal Scrime for Analysides and Calego, BUG. BEL.

- Percentiliogy, Descriptive, and Es-PLANATURY: a Treatment the Present meno Land and Development of
  - Harrian Mental Life But, are Lawes - The library of Philosoph from Those to Confo. By Girman HENRY LEWES OF IL
- Max Mtiller Workshy I. Wax MCr. LEE
- THE STEPPER OF THE PERIOD OF A GER Directo decisionoritario de la conque de time betimen my Thinning, 11, Ed
- MIHL-ANALYSIS OF THE PHRADESIA OF THE HUMAN MIND He I since MILL a rolly Brit am
- MILL-WORKS by JOHN STREAM MILL
  - A STITEM OF LOUIS, Cr. Str., ... M.
  - ON LIBERTY Cr. SW., IS ALL
- ON REPRESENTATIVE CONTRACTOR Crown Svo., 42.
- UTBLITANIANIAN DOL; SL.
- EXAMPLE TON UP SIR WILLIAM Mannaport Paul Court Sec. 10
  - NATURE, THE UNITED BY KEEP AND THERESE THE LOSS.
- Mondic-laracoucture to local By W. H. S. Money. Court for a
- Aldewick-Introcessors and ha Criticism of Subset. By harrier totals WICE Crown West, St.
- Stock-Depocate Low by Chicago Sygon Fig. and - -
- Bully, Works by JAMES SELLY.
  - THE HUMAN MIND. . Test-book Pechalicy, and had been
- OUTLINGS OF PHYCHOLOGY DOLLARS
- THE TRACTURE HARMANN OF DES. CHUIL OT CHANGE DIO. SE
- Swinbarna-Purrue Licht Attempt to Popularie On America Remainer By ALFORD JAMES SUPERINGE, M.A. Walt of Workship

#### Mental, Moral and Political Philosophy-makinged

on Pure and Applied Log by Will-Areninship of Verk Pour frie Co.

Webba-Tue Van or turn a Seim of Explys on Centura By T. E. Wester 800 - NOW feel

Whately,-Wests by R. WHATELY, D. In

HACKETT EMAYS. With ARRESTMENT TOY IL WHATCLE EVO., LOR GE ELEGENIES OF TAXIS. Cr. Svo., 41 Oc.

PLEATURE OF RESTORIC, CE DIO.

At. Oak LEMMAS ON REASONANT POP SON. THE SEC

Thomson.—Outsides of the Nects Zeller.—Worst by In Toward Lea-LEE Profited in the L'interestry of

THE STREET LINES WELLS, AND OUR THE Translated by the Rev. O. 1. Reaction, M.A. Crisica des., 251

SECREPARED THE PURPOSE OF GREEK Tommospit. Translated by SARAH F ALLEYSE and EVELTY APPRITE CLEONT HOME INC. SAL

PLATO AND THE OTHER ACADEMY COMMUNICATION OF PARAMETER ALLETTO and Alexant Counteries, It & Comma Bridge Hayl

SOCIATELAUTHE SOCIATICS CHOKED Tambled by the Rev. O J. Hateman. MAN Trems Sep. 12 (2)

### MANUALS OF CATHOLIC PHILOSOPHY.

/ Smayment Servez /

A MANUAL OF POLITICAL ECONOMY | MORAL PHILOGOPHY | ETHER AND NATI-Hy C. N. Dievan, M.A. Cr. Sec., 61, 64, PERSON PRINCIPLES OF KNOWLEDGE. By JOHN RICKSOY, S.J. Crown Stra., Q. CHREAL METAPHYSICS By JOHN RECE.

tar, & | Cman \$10, 42 By RECHARD F. GLAREN &L. Crown But. D

BAL LAW! BY YOURFE RECEASE SE Crown bro. U

NATURAL PREDICTOR BY BELLEVIOLE honones, S.I. Cheen See, to to

PAYCHEROUTE, BY SIGNARD MARILE SI. Drimp Ero, to the

### History and Science of Language, &c.

Exclusin Wouns: Explained and Eamorphist. By William I. Davis-

PREPAR LANGUAGE AND LANGUAGES By F. W. PARRAM, D.D., F.R.E., Co. 300 . GE

Granata - Tong our Sysouries Classic had and Explained; with Practical Experience By & F. Granam Fep. BYA. DE

Max Miller-Wools by F. MAX MINTER BR.

THE SCIENCE OF LANDONGE, FORESLES on Lagrance deficated as the Reput Institution in 1804 and 1864 a roll. Crown Sec., Mil.

Increasure of Wines, Asti Tilk HOME OF THE ASTAL COURT ISO., - 6d

Davidson.-Leadurgant September Max Muller-Works by E. Max MULTICE - WITHOUT

> THERE LACTURES ON THE SOURCE OF LANGUAGE, AND ITS PLANT IN GREERAL EDUCATION, Officered at Oxford 1879 Crown Sen. at

Rogel - Philadrin of Dullin Wester and Philade, Champelland Arranged up on to Pacificate the Capersonned Libert and tracks in Liberts Companion By Paren Mara Sincer, M.D. F.E.S. Recomposed throughour. same god and impressed, partly from the Author's North and wall a full frales. by the Amter's Son June Law-ROBER TYPER BIR. IN THE

Whately - Erctim Sysowym by E. JANE WHATELT, Pop. Sen., 30

Political Economy and Economics.

Andley. - Essenist Economic Herman Mill: - Pourment Roomans Sh Jone and Tourney. By W. J. Abite C. Spear Mills.

M.A. Chart See , Part L. of Furt TEL YOU WE

Bagaliot - Economy States By WALTER BARRIOT. BOX BA GA

Barnett, - Phacricagia Secretion Espays on Social Review. By the Heve. S A and Mrs. HARRETT. Co. But. Ga

Brungsy, "Farms AND Athentique on WORK AND WARES By Lord Reserve. Labor to J. Porran, and with lains-Charles by Greater Howers, M.P.

Peyra ... A Layuat or Perrical Economic By C S Dayas, M.A. Coundier, to 64 (Messaled Catholic

Markey Str.

DOWNIL-A HURIOR OF TAXATION 150 TAKES IN ENGLAND, from the Paster Towes to the Year 1825. By Sparman Luwrett (4 cols for.) Veit. L and IL The History of Taxatine Pastr. 414.

Jordan-Tun Stanham of Value. He Withham Languages Imman Sec.,

Lealle, Essare of Political Scorcur. by f. E. Chiera Linnin Sta.,

Macland,-Walsby Heary Departs MACLEON MAL

DIMETALISM 900. C. SET. THE BLANKER OF BANKOID. COMM Bets., 33, 6-2.

THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF BARK-

THE THEORY OF CREDIT. See. Vol. L too mit. Val. IL Part L. qr. 60. Vol. II. Part II., sur 6d.

Popular Edillinis. Crown from a dall Latinary (baltilary, is now Arrows

Shirron-An Analysis of the local OF ECONOMICS BY I. P. SIMAN B.A. nometans Finance Under Sources of the Covermous of Bengal Crow-GIG., Ct.

Syntes - Political Reproper - Main Fruit-bench of Position Legendery, Water Problems for Schutto, and Hone !-Supplementary Meading by Peul L. E. System, M.A., or University College, Numerical Cricis Syn, 42, 42

Toynbac-Lacrents on the be-DESTRIAL REVOLUTION OF THE 18th CENTERT OF ENGLAND. BY OF USE TOTAL WITH A Marrier of the Anthor by B. Jowers, Pro., see 6

Wabb.-THE HISTON OF TRACE Untoxitial By Silber and Buayance Wans, Wah Manard Adl Bittlements of the Sulleret dam, the

Wilson, -Works by A. | Wilson Chiefly reprinted from The form -Kerrists.

PRINTICAL RIGHT TO SHALL IS PROYCUL Chamber, 25.

PLAN ADVERSANCE LIVE INCURANCE. Centro fivo, 41

Cloud - Works in Erorano Crons. THE STORY OF CHEATRON'S & Pinin Accomi of Evolution. With 27 Blump. proved Commenters, 32, 6st.

Prince or Frontrior being a Popular Armigont Edition of The Serv of Common. With Blant Fep. 1900, 13 6d. (In the Proc.

HUTH .- THE MARRIAGE OF BEAU KIN. permitted and with Respect to the law of Vations, the Result of Experience, and the Tembunes of Hinlegy. By ALFREST

Lang-Chams And Mrin: Soules

LAM, M.A. With 13 Blumbons. Crisco Stor, 3s. 6d.

Evolution, Anthropology, &c. Tros and the Primater Coods in al More By the J Likewood, Bart, M. ! With 5 Paper and so literatures.

Romanes -- Wests by Gresses John ROMANUS, MALLEL P.R.S.

DARWIN, AND ATTER DARWING OR S. position of the Darwinson Theory, and a Discoursion on Pent-Days white Questions Fars I. The Carrieron Theory, With Postrat of Darwin and the Mastrations Come Port.

AN EXAMINATION OF WHIMANNESS Crown \$10., &c.

#### Classical Literature and Translations, &c.

Harry on Green Poery, Phil phy. Hustory, and Rengian, Edward by Every America, M.A., L.L.D. Ten.

Prohylms.—Personer of Femrical Lin With Muricial English Lemantican.

Aristophaues. The Armanian or And tournelles, tour land into Brights.

BOCKET - Windaley Protector Buckets Ball to or, Roman Scouts in the Time of Auguspie, Businesse, Part Pro-

72 60.

Private Lie of the Analysi Gradu. Hampened Past Pear, 70 fel.

Dr M. Y. PERFELL, Vols I., U., III. feet, eath, mg. Vol. IV., 150.

Parmull. Green Lyaid Portay a Complete Collection of the Buryling Personner them the Greek Stone Winner Arranged with Preference Amicha, to medicing Matter and Community. By Ger ton S PARRELL MA. With I

Harrison-Myros co THE Christs IN AST AND LITERATURE. BY JAKE E Hanning Illustrates with Out

Bidder, Phil.

Lang Closes And the Eric. AND IN LANCE COURSES, US ONLY Mackail, Serger Evillant ston THE CHEEK ANTIQUESCO. By J. W. MACKAIN, Pullow to Million Colleges. Online Colleges. Importantes, Toundaries and North

Abbott-Huzzuca A much a Hale-Parments Plant Feet MAGUINE 800 7 AL

> Rich - A DICTIONALT OF ROMAN AND GREEK ARTHURIUM By A. BIER B. L. Will soon Woodens Comes 如此。如此

Bophocles.-Templeted Into English VICE BY ROSELT WHITELAW, MA. Assessed Mader in Rught School Late Tallow of Trippy Culture Controls Crown Sin., St 64.

Theografius. The levils OF Their Merry's Temperated into Employ Ver-By James HERRY HALFARD MA Come Fup sta at ac

Trreath-Thank arious este water AND LATIS VESSE Educal by R. Y.

Virgil.-Tue Engine Vincia. Train isted into English Verse by Joun Com-DESTOR. CHURCHEN, by

Translated THE PORMS OF VIRGIL. into English Proce by Jours (Xeono-

THE PERSON VINGE PROPERTY. iona Bagilah Blank Verm. By W. L. CHONORILL COME FOR , 34 Ad

THE ENTE OF VIRGIT. Books . II. VI. Translated lens English Vorm by James Runaries Crown \$20. 50.

Wilkins - The Owners or the Hose REST PORMS. BUILDING SEC. ..

### Poetry and the Drama.

LW WILLIAM Allungham - Works ALLEHOUAN.

form forms and Point With Free Superior of the Waterfall of Association Fep. Suc. 4

Wills Pos-LAMBRICK SECONSTITUTE matt the patter by ben 32 bet

PLONER PRESENT DAY, AND NUMBER SONES, BALLAIN. WHILE DESIGNS by IA G. HUMBERTEL. Pep. Sec., 52 1 large paper edition, coLIN ARU PHANTAST BE FICEL poor by Sir J. E. Mothaps, Sain, and Design by Astrone House. Fen, but an there paper offices, to

DRURGHT AND WHILE, AND ADDRESS OF THE Author (1804), spri loss Theorems Scores drawn by his Albingham. Fren from for a large paper estation, tar-

Відеканцика, Іпревий вант, фе

title of the share is only more to said to matter building band bearing, pour yes

#### Poetry and the Drama-continued.

Armstrong - Westery G. F. Savane Goothe. Party, Part L. the German Tang with

Poster Levical and Dramatic Pro-Burn St.

Kung Styl. (The Tragedy of Irred.

Phys I.) Fest first U. Henry David (The Tropped) of Israel.

Part II.) Fap. tro., for The Tragery of NOW SOLUMON Peo Bro., fu Impet. Part ULA

Univers a Truewdy. Pigs Suc., for

A Gatherst prok Grence Posmi-Scories de Wentown Posmi Up-

340.1.7E 60 MERSHATOPHELES IN BEOADCLOTE: 4

Manya. Fopt that, at ONE IN THE IMPORTE : a Posse. Co. Pents 75, 60

Armstrong. The Postical Worse of Education I American. Pep.

Armyld-Worle by Sa Knwm at week, KCIE, Ammor of The Light of

Artic Az THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD OF the Dent Chemunentien A. Noon

Cresta dron 7s. 66 but

Propostation follows Wat sa library potions by W. Horman Hear PUTTIFIELD WIFE, and other Possus

Constitute \$1 met

ADMINA; or, the Japanese Wife. A Play. Crown Sen. to 64 net.

Ball CHAMBER COMMERCE: a Colortion of Plays and Maniologues for the Densing Room, By Mrs. House Burs. Crewn ten, &

Distribute to Boustmen BUGGISTER

Patron Sang: a Play. Tennelated by WILLIAM WILSON CE POLLSE

lun Esginh by Onnas Prevarus With Perrout of the Author Crown Lytton.-Works by The East. drop, SJ

Cochrane. - Die Kerrens Next. and other Verses. By ALFRED COVER. HARLE FOR BYO., 167 Sec.

Dante -- LA COMMEDIA DI DANTE -- A New Text, carefully restord with the aid of the runt process Editions and Callagrant Small from to

Introduction and Notes. He ALERT Cr. Birt. M. MI Smak Ph D M.A.

FAUST, Transland, with Notes By F.E. Wenn, Sec. 131 55.

Ingelow.-Wirth by Jean Ismerow. PRETICAL WORKS 4 volt. Fem. Sec. COL

LTBEGG AND CTHER PORMS SHOULD from the Williams of Jean Inchesew Pup Ben ar. 6d. ; elonte piula, e. The Head

Kendall -Soom reon Dreamlast He MAY KENDALL FOR BYOLD SE SEL

Lang.-Works by Annuaw Land.

HAN THE APPEAR RUN A RAIL OF fuglifies Rhomes Fep from p

HEREN OF PARRASSES FOR ME. no foll men

BALLACE OF BOOKS Entleut ber ASSERT LANG. Free Stor, &c.

THE BLUE PORTEY BOOK. Edited by Animaw Land. With in Plotor and 25 Bastrations to the Text by H. J. FORD and LANCER OF SPREEZE COMME Boo. . de

Special Hilliam. pro- will on Indian. paper. With Name day millered Musteuciens Crown Riv. 70.

Locky .- Pount By W. E. H. LECKY. Fort But. 11.

Leyton.-Working Frank LEYTON.

THE SHADOWS OF THE LAKE AND Other Popular Character State of the Contract of t Phone Eduline Crown Fra 30 67

SHILLION LEAVES PORTE BUCK - PO.

Lerron (Owen Minneneria).

MARKAR Pep. Byo., fix fid. Water a Kird Pobpy: a Fantonia Plate and Design on This Page by Sir Etc. BURNE LONG, A.B.A. Crew from your too.

THE WANDKEER OF THE DE SU LUCILE. Crown avo., and tol. SECRETED POINTS. Cr. Brit., 200 64.

#### Poetry and the Branis - untinsed

HE BY LOW MAINURE

Ill server by the server from the

- Por Fillia CHINA IN SCHOOL IND

- Progradur Eulitoria I'm ata, hi wow to shuth

mounted by J. R. Westerlan Crown Box . 10 67

consumed Etimo. First Sec. LL. week to be distri-

Manber - Lary ago Laginas MEASUR MER HUMER BLAND! Pleas Ser Crawn der 30. Serond Sen wich Partrull Union den

#### Pisth-W -- by Sanau Platt

Waste posternal of the Autony. PORM a voils. Crown fried, sur-

AN PROPERTY AVILE, AND OTHER fugue Picropa, Pormazand Propie in Preixiel Gross line at Sal.

Managilar, Larger Assister Rose, Pints, Wolfe by Jones Pares, Pares. lawah alway Lucius the roll from VALUE OF COURSES, W.

LIPTER NEW WORLD DVLD Cr. 100 .

Rhondon-Trans. SMID HOMORI, De JAMES RESARRA Sun, 31, 66. Complete

Rilloy Water by James Worrecom BULKY

DER PARRIER ROLL FORMA PERMIT TO

Dorms Hans At House, Done Ton. As nex.

Hoberta. Some of the Chiefe PAST, AMP ATH OR CONTROL TO Configury By Charles Q 10, Roll 2rs Charles for, 31, 52

Shakospoare. - Howhite's Fashy Server and Will of Wooder pivel am, and Or a death Par Brit. III.

THE SHARLWRANG DURTHMAT PRISE By Mary I I'v ones general to be Drawing Room Estation, work of the graphs. Top See, and the

Sturgia - A Some of home III | Links STUNDIS. SUPP. 11

#### Works of Fletion, Humour, &c.

Ametay.-Works by F. Assert Ambon Baker. - by the Warters Sta. of Van Versh

Ten. In this Property and other Sentes. Crown thro - tenantile as the sales,

Regulated from Visita POPULL. Pand' Fen Seira With so III stracked by 1, Page Aug PARTY

THE THATELAND COMPANIES. Retrue and by J. Bassan Parriette. Phille John Cit

THE MAN PERM BLANKEFT'S A STORY w com on other Sheetlen With as Illustrations by J. Busick and Party. necessary Paper and the

AMON. A JOURNAL INCIDES WHITEHA Rimming of the Future Is John Jatan Artus: With to Humatica. Es My b

PARTE BACCE Author of Jahn Worse. cert Crown was, m. a.c.

Benconshold.-Were by the for of FOR ALTOMOSPHER LED

MOTTER AND TALES. Chery have Compliante ex volu Co Bre C. M. media.

Hencetta Tomide The Young Dalas Ac. Venetic Transit Vivian Girra Alexe, trop, &c. Control Spill. Confessor Syrull

MOYELA AND TALKS The Hughwater Reference War a Proposite und ca Vicenius Arrest Car 12

Cloud.-Davis, Loom a 5 7 1 Merchania life in the entry verse of the Many the Contract by four Taxa-PORT CITATION CHANGE IN ...

#### Works of Fiction, Humour, &c, -amanual

Langa, Audiene of " John Ward".

THE STORT OF A CRILL! Cr. Sec. 34.

Mr. Tracer Borry and other Stocke. Crimin Bio., be.

Panias Ann nie Wira. Cz. 300., for

Dougail .- Works by L. Dougail. Blemaker ALL. Crown 8vo., 30.66 WHAT NECESSITY KNOWS COMM Ewo. Gi

Dowle .- Works by A. Coxan Dorne.

MINARI CARRES & Tale of Moneyouth a Rebellon, With Feentiquees and Vignorie, Cr. 1800, 28, 64.

THE CAPTAIN OF THE POISSTAR, BRIT other Tales. Cr. 8vo., p. 6d.

THE RESERVES: a Tale of Two Con-HIMPITES. Cr. Bres. Co.

PATTOT .- DARAGEM AND DAWN . CC. Science in the Days of News An Hiscore Tale. By Archabanton EAREAS. Cr. Yest, 79. 66

Forstor, Mahm fosings. By Francis. PERSONAL COMMENTS AND ALL

Fronds,- Tos Two Cours or DEXnor no Irish Romeson of the Last Century. By J. A. Fabures. Cr. Sva. IL OL.

Gillion - Thu Think That Hath BEEN; or, a Young Man's Missake By A. H. Gillers, M.A., Maner of Daiwich College, Author of ' Boys and Manters' Crown Byo. 6s.

Hagrard-Works by H. Rings HAG-GARD.

CHE With 32 Illustrations Crown May strait

ALLAN QUATER STATE WITH BY Bluetratumi Carwy Dec. pi Co

Majwa's Revenue; or, The Wor of the Little Hand, Cr. Swarza bounds. to the rinth.

COLUMBI QUARTERN V.C. C. Benz. M. S.L.

CLEDEATEA Crown from 32 and

PRATEIRE CE, Son, or hel.

Doland -- World by Manuager Dr. Haggard -- World by it Store Hag-CARD SPINSON

> Exec flammers as Well at flames tions Cr 810 31 6/

> NAME THE LATE WHEN BY DE MINISTER Home Cr. dwg his

MONTESTEMA'S DAMERGET, With as Blackerman, Crown Too, or

ALLAN'S WIFE. Will by Blumestons. Cross bic, a ch

Tim Wirett's Hadis. With to His transmit. Crown we at his

MR. MERROOF WILL Wan 16 L trations. Crown 000 . . . ...

DAWN, With 16 Hauttapiers, Course 3m. n. 66.

THE PROPERTY OF THE MANY W. R. S. Illimitations Cr. Sec. 7

Haggard and Long .- In Watth Denium. By H. Smy's Hascann and Andrew Liver William Illinop' by M. Gren printages Cr. Sm. at

Harte, - Di ren Casquinas Wikina, and other Storm. He Bant Harra. Cr. firm, 35 for

Hornting-Inc Usman G etc. By E W. Hingmungs Cayun See 12.

Lynli.-World by Gova Lyall, Au of Donovan, don

THE ARTOMOGRAPHIE OF A SLANFORM Fep Bro. 11 weed

Personation Edition. With an illus-Training by LANCILOT STEEL DE firm, as not not

Dittame; The bury of a Sager Co. Time de

Malvilla.-Wasks by C. J. Warra MELVILLE

The Chattainers. Holmby House Kale Commun. The Interpreser Date Grand Fred for No bing.

The Openia Marion | Convent | Cr kvo. 12 the cuch

Oliphant - Works by the Others to MADAM. Cr. 840., 11 EL to Theory, on Man, an Safe

With my Historians Party. Can ruth in Lived By Mrs. St. 6d. Fave, Author of Thereties Fox. Co. Witness field

#### Works of Fiction, Humour, &c .- andieus

Pays. -- Worse by James Paus. The Lives of the Dansella. Berry to Ad

DECERN INON WATER CO. POS.

11 60.

Phillipps-Wolley.-Swar a Legard of the Lone Mountain. By C. Pittle LIPPS WOLLDY With at Illustrations by H. G. WILLIAM. Cr. Ser. 30 Sel

Soweth-Worse by Engineers M.

SENTELL

A Gilming of the World, Anny Herbert Cleve Hall Lameton Purnomina. Margaret Percenti. Gurtrade. Home Life. Katharam Asidira. Alter Lilly.

The Carl's Langiner. The Experiment of Life Virginia: Lyons Ca five on the epon cloth plant. ar, 62. each cloth extra, gilt eitges

Biavenson.-World by Rosers Lakes.

STETESHOL. STRANGE CAME OF DO JERYLL AND For Sco. is pravil. Mr. Brust. as the others

THE PERSONNEL SET STR. IN POSSIL. er auf eletha

Stavenson and Cabourns. The Whole Box. By Hower Louis Sta-ringers and Learn Oscolests. Co. \$10 pt 62

Butiner -LAY DOWN YOUR AFM Play II of a Avader - The AutoLogouphy of himthe Tilling. By BRETTER VON SUTTINE Translated by T. HOLMES Cr. But. It. but.

Proflome - With by Ampious Tank.

THE WARDED. Cr. Sep. II. GL. HANCHESTRE TOWERS OF SYOL, to be

TRUE, A. RELATION OF 101 TRAVELS AND PUBLICON ADVEN-THERE OF MATHEW DUDGESS, Until man ! Wherein is bully ber down the Manner of his Tahing, the Long Time of his Statery in Algiera, and Means of his Delivery. Writins by Hannell, and now for the first time printed Cr. Swig st Walford - Works by L. R. Walson

Mr. Sperry : a Part of his file. Count.

Syn as not

THE BAST'S GRANDMOTHER CHOOKS BYR. EL SI

Courties. Court Bro. at 56.

TROUBLESCARE DALUETERA Choins Ben . un hif.

PAULINE CIOSE STORE OF

DICE NETHERNY. CHOSE SVO. 41, 64 THE HISTORY OF A WHEN Caronam Bro. ne Gr.

A STIFF OF CRED CEREMATION. COMM.

\$100 gr. of

NAN, and or or Sunters. L. Sec. 34 of THE MISCHIEF OF MORNIA Croom Bent, an &d.

THE USE COOL GUEET. Cr. SVIL BLOC. Provinces, and calle Stories Crean

Syon, bi

THE MATCHEAUER, TYON C. BO. West-Works by H. H. WEST

HALE-ROOM WITH THE MILLION. arnes: Showing how much bard a !! is to meed a mollion than to make it. Lin Brow, &L

SIN SINOS VAKER RECEIVED, AMPLICA 1900 UTS ANGESTORY Two Krhame

tions. Crown Sco., at

Weyman, Works by S. J. WEYMAN THE HOUSE OF THE WOLF CO. Sec. N. Def.

A GENTLEMAN OF FRANCE CE. MIG. E.

#### Popular Science (Natural History, &c.).

Butler.-Our Household Insucra. An Assume of the Insert-Ferry found or December House, by Edward A. BUTTER, S.A. B.Sc (Lond.) With and Bustentime. Creen Bro. de

Purposur - With by W. Finneaux.

THE OUTPOON WORLD; Or. The Young Callegor's Handhook AVISIS 18 Pinter to of weath my observed, and 540 History; one in the Test. Lew wit 800. 74. 00

BUTTORILER AND MOTES (British). With th coloniest Plates and aga Divinitations in the Text. Crewn Sec. Per fall met.

Hartwig ... Works by Den Greenes HARTWEIL.

THE SEA AND ITS LIVING WOODEN With to Plates and sog Woodcatt. Brang ju mit

THE TROPICAL WORLS, WILL Places and the Woodenia Bon, fa net. The Polah World, With J Miles

Place and \$5 Woodents. 810., 72

THE SUPPLEMENT WORLD WITH a kings and to Western tre. 71

THE ARRIAL WORLD. WHE Map, & Planes until 60 Woodnests 670. TV1-

#### Popular Science (Natural History, &c.).

- PERSONAL PRESENCE WORLD. 10 Hampathin Carren Ser. 20.
  - WOMENTS OF THE TROPICAL POSTERTS. or Ripsens and Court State To
  - Wanter Paper Till Guglige Bluerations Crews Sec. 34.
  - MARNELS OTHE DUE BIRADE OF U-Bushesman Crown Bros. ac
- Sea Allerten ann Sha Binna Edministration Committee, or Ad-
  - Di notenni de vite Dane. 117 Illiania tome. Caren Sec., as, 6d.
- VIZZOROBB AND EARTHQUARTS P Bhighabbes, Crown Vog. 21, 64.
- WHEN ASSESSED OF THE TENTER. AS Ifmariatumes Cruera beg. 1. 50
- Halmholtz Porugan Lacrones on SCHERYDRE SCHERCES. D. HARMAND. z cols. Crown five., pr od onen
- Proctor.-Works by Ridnaud PROGRAM
  - LIBERT SCHOOL FOR LEISURE HIRES. Francisc Essays on S. ortific Subjects. grote, Court Stu., is each
  - CHARCE AND LUCE. . TREMBED OF the Laws of Lank, Cor simpor, Wagers, Locuries and the Pallacles of Cambridge Ac-Ur. Da. 21 popular in his clock.
  - BOURDS WAYS MADE SMOOTH ENTH inst Laures on Scientific Schoots. Street Library Edition Course Sec. 10, 64
  - PLANAST WATE IN SCHOOL CO. from 3n. Silver Literry Edition. Crown were, 30 tel.
  - INCORRAL PRODUCT, CHEET ATONY Thun and Iruma. With thistenman Louis & U.
  - NATURE STUDIES. By R. A. PROCTOR, LARLEST ALLESS, A. WILLOW, T. FIRST AND E GLOOM COMM Smil 52 Silver Library Edulum. Charles Brow ja 64
  - THE REGION A. WHACK T. FORTER and A. C. NARVARD. Cr. A ...

- Hartwig-Worse for Dr. Gamera Stanley A FAMILIA HARANT BELL Works for Dr. Gamera Stanley A FAMILIA HARANT D. D. STANLEY D. D. B. C. STANLEY D. D. C. STANL MAINER CL. BIO, N. O.
  - Wond World by the Rev. L. G. Wone.
  - House berrieus Hakes A Demogtion of the Habitation of Aximalia charest seconding to the Pensigh at Construction, With two Billiotrations BYG. 72 BIL
  - INTEREST AT HEME A PROPER ASSESSE of British besome their becomes Habits and Transfer it of Acecos liligarations. Por co los
  - INDEED ARROAD : a Papalar Accuraof Foreign Intern. of the ter-Habits and Termiornature. With the Harrythan In. 7
  - IURLE ANDIALE: a Description of ever Living Constant are appeal to the Supplement With the History Done, Kro., 74, mil.
  - PERLAND REVINITED With 35 Iller mations. Cr. 8vo. 31 mg
  - Oct of Doors; a to this of 6 p. ind Articles on Francial Named History, White or Blumpat Cr STONE SE SE
  - STRANCE DWZILINGS & Description of the Halmannes of An -1. alridged from "Home with Hamps', With to Industry
  - Begin Little on time that the par filliance fione Or Bie, gr. co.
  - WONDERFUL NEVEZ TO CHIMPSON Co. Iven 34 66
  - HOMES CAUSE THE GREEN STREET trations. Ci ave ye to
  - WILD AMERICAN OF YOR PROLE. OF Illiantacione Cr. Cr. . - ha
  - Domestic Admidta or Till 1983 Buritahoos, Cr. ber.
  - THE BRANCH BUILDINGS. at Itsuratheir Cr. Prof. at 64.
  - SCALAL HABITATION AND PARTIES. News 12 Bloodstone Cr.

#### Works of Reference.

- mor armir sty Treatury. Was Supprimary transport days to \$22. Be Ret, Janes Woods Fep 3m. oc
  - TREASERS OF NATURAL HISTORY LOC. Pippethi Distingery of Zoobsey. With on Woodston, Fep. Sec. as.
  - PREASURY OF GEOGRAPHEY, Physica. Historical, Description and Political, With 7 Maps and 30 Plans. Pep. STOL, SAL
- THE THEALTH OF BINES KNOW-With a Marry of Planes, and goo Woodputs Fup. Pers. or
- HITTUREST TREASURY CHILDREN of Planter al Hausey, Separate Hatories of all Nathins For Feet, 6s.
- LIBRARY OF ENGRESCE, CONpresing an English Dictionary and Grammer Director Greener, Charle Distingery, Low Fep Pro., or

- Maundor's (Samuel) Progenities | Maunder's (Samuel) Treasuries - and tree was
  - SCHAPPING AND LITEDARY TREASURY. Fice Wran -
  - THE TREASURY OF PETEAST. Ethiori by f. 13 court F.R.S., and I'more, F.I.S. West of West out and so Sued Plates. a role Fen Sua, col.
  - Roget-Tursavies or Fret sufficient AMD PRESANT Country and An ranged in at to Fertinate the first makes of the mad assist in Library Claim-pendrium. By Payer Man's Routi J. M. I. Y. M. S. Rectary and this achi-nel, entarised and improved paying from the Author's Notes, and actimill trebes, by the Author's Son Kham Laure River. Cown 500, and 64
  - Willieb .- Portlan Tanier for giving perty, the Puldie Funds de les Chanten M. William Sound by If Benez Jones Crown to . .

#### Children's Books.

- Rows THE FAIR! or, the First Circo-nicle of Assembles. Crown Swit-
  - ALTERACTIC CLASS CO. IChro Will at A way there to say as the
  - The Rical Here: being the Tend CE STILL IN ME
  - THE HOUSE OF WALDERSE, A Tale of the Chainer and the Forest in the Ones of the flarom' Ware Crown FTD IN SE
  - Hatta Fire Corner A Story of Walbrighted Castle and Descheder Albert. Cr. Ben. wo det.
- Ingulow.-Vest Vocas, and Quite AMERICAN STREET FOR SECTION BY
- Lang Works educated Assured Liter. THE PART PART BOOK. With the Theoret Hood, Coren Sen, for

- Crake Winks by Roy A. D. Crake. | Long. Works shed by Astronew Labor THE RESIDENCE
  - THE ROO FART HOOK With E-Highward by H. J. Fosts and LANCELOT SPEED Co from
  - THE GREEN FAIRT BROKE, WHITE LOVE - Bharmucoi by H. J. From and L. Pearly Cheun Pro., do.
  - Tim YELLOW PARTY BOOK. With 100 Discounting by H. I. Panti, Lines. BYON DA
  - THE BLUE POSTER BOOK WITH SELECTION BY II I FORT SELECTION STORY COOKS AND SELECTION A
  - the Blue Poster Book. School Latera, without Blassenbers, Fry. BYOL BE GE
  - THE THEN SPERT BOM, With 40 Tiliatrations for H. L. Ford (LCIR-DAVIS, C. M. M. Kran, Lasta-LOT Speed, and Tacamary from s. Ceptan live., &c.

#### Children's Books-continued

Mande.-Works by L. T. Manne.
Days vic Box. Illimitable Comp.

9mi : as 64

Des and the Documes. Glentanel. Crown Sec., 3t, 6d

Statement - A Charles of Various By Rosent Louis Statement, Small Sqs. Sco., 52 Molesworth.-Wats by Mo. Mana.

Sovermoor Illustrated Cr Sec. 1.

The Parace in the Games II ...

NERSHADERA Blue Cross Sec. 20 64

#### Longmans' Saries of Books for Girls.

Cover ton, take at 64 metr

AYMARK (THE) FOR LYS: SO AS AN STREET IN the Reign of Terror.

BY THE SAME AUTOUR

Manuscratte Mona; a Tile of

THAT CORD (Banassi by Gordon Brancer,

SHEER A CLOUD

THE PROPERT OF LEGAU. WHA THES-

A COULD OF THE REVOLUTION WITH REMUMERS by C. J. STANILLER

HESTER & VENTURE.

(M THE CLEEN TIME: a Tale of the Present War in Geramer.

I OR YOURSES SHOULD

ATBURATIONS PRIMATE BY L. N. COSTS THE TRIES MESS ST QUESTIN. De

Mrs. Marinewarni The Synke of a Swiffin Marketon, &c. Br Mrs. Molesworth Handried.

NEIBREDONA. By Mrs. Molniwogen.

Very Young; and Quy: Angring Story. The Stores to lear less Low.

KEIDS DERAMORE. Dy the Amber of Miss Mully

SIGNEY By MANGARD DELATIF

LAST WHILE TO GOLD ON LOT AT SCHOOL AND ATTER SCHOOL AND ATTER SCHOOL AT MR. W. GREY

### The Silver Library.

CHOWN 800 3A GA ERCH VOLUME

Brookd's (Sir Edwin) Sess and Lands, With 7) Hhutration 34 nd.

Enhar's (Ric E. W.) Eight Years in Caylon. With 6 Blustrations in Sci. Balanc's (Sir S. W.) Hills and Honde in Caylon. With 8 Blustrations in Sci. Barling-Dould's (Eav. S.) Carlons Myths

of the Middle Ages. 30 63 thating-Goold's (Rev. 8.) Origin and Dereiepment of Religious Sellet. 3

maje 2s say mage

Brancy's (Laity) & Voyage to the 'Suscam'. Who 65 Routrations. 37, 66. Eleda's (E.) Story of Greation's a Flain Account of Evennum. With 77 Blus-

trajeam gr. 54.
Complement (Fer. W. J.) and Howenn's
(Very Ser. J. B.) Life and Epittles of
St. Paul. 45 Historians 9, 64

Dungali's (L) Buggare All; a Novel

boyle's (& Conas) Micah Clares : 5 Tan

Doyle's (A. Coman) The Emptain of the Potestar, and differ It is. 14 Fraudris (J. A.) Ebert Stunten on great Schington a via at a decide

Subjects, 4 vols, or of coths Fronte's (J. A.) Chaury of Micros. Fronte's (J. A.) Thomas Carlyint a Hittey of hit Life.

1709 1832 g mile 32.

Fromte's (J. A.) The Toro Chiefs of Denheys an Islah (commune of the Lant Century 31.00.

Promise J. A. The History of England, from the Part of Weber to the Union of the Spound Armeda, 23 vise p. tal. meth.

Gielg's (Ber. C. E.) Life of the Buke of Wallington, With Portrain 3: 44

#### The Silver Library-ambiant

Assentis of Business of Honor of

Haggard's 184 & Alune Quatermats.

decembra H. H.) Calenti Quartinh,

Maggard's (H. R.) Cheopatra. With my

Raspard's (H. R.) Eric Brighteyes.

Han and a (B. R.) Scattler. 33 ( Hangard's (B. R.) Sline's Wite. With

Parada (H. ft.) The Witth's Head.

With Hasternian as for Wills.

Raggard's (H. R.) Dasen. With 16 libu-

Haddersta (H. M.) and tenta (A.) The World's Desire. With my Hantschine.

Marie's (Brei) In the Carquines Woods,

Helminite's (Bermann von) Popular Leclares on Scientific Sobjects. With of Warrison 2 vols. 3 Co.

Pinches (W.) Vista to Bremerkable

lemnion (6.) The Stary of My Heart:

Jefferten (E. Finis and Hedgeren, Last E type of Whit Purpost 30 of Jefferten (E.) Red Sear. With 57

Residentians by Crantiton and H.

televier | 18. | Wood, Regist a Folder Wild French space and Vignesis by E. V. B. 3: 65

Judician' (B.) The Tellare of the Field. With Powerst from the Burn in Sailslary Cadmiral 34, 66.

anignt's (f. f.) the Cruise of the 'Alarts' (the Magneticutic Search for Triscator on the Lawert Island of Thinitial, With a Magnetic State of Thinitial, With a Magnetic State of Thinitial County of the Cruise of

tange (A. Conton and Myth; Studies of Early Course and India, to foll

Lens (F. b.) and Cottlerbuck's (W. J.) B.C. (1987, B. Saimhe in British Colombia. With Mappine 175 House 21 62 Basestay's (Lord) Recays and Lays of Ancient Bones. With Postrall and Higherat ...... 30 Cd.

Manlood (M. R.) The Elements of Banking. 3: 12

Rarational's (J. C.) Bennales of air Harry lineary

Star Rhiter's (F.) India, what can it teach us? 50 to

Sax Maller's (F.) Introduction to the

Barrysin's (Dean) History of the Romasto under the Employ Buck. 18 20

will's (f. E.) Patricial Loonons, P. of

Military (Gen.) Country Pleasures, 31. 44.

builtipps Wolfer's (C.) meant a Legant of the Less blooming With in Philareness of the

Processes in A. I The Criss Armand Us.
I canys for use Moon and Pinnets.
Motors and Courses, the Sun and
Galennet Piers of him 11. 74.

Fractor's (B. L.) The Expense of Search. Emily on the Worder of the France.

Procest's Ch. A.) Other Worlds than

Proster's (E. A.) Emigh Ways made fimeeth. 30 5c.

Precior's (R. A.) Present Ways to Science. 31 64.

Proctor's (R. A.) Syths and Barvels of Astrodomy. To be

Proptor's [6, A. Haimes Stindles. 10

Rossett's (Maria I.) A Shadow of Bunte: long at Liear towards and big Himsell, has Westl. and he Pigrannge, With Fronting at 19 Dated Granter Rossett's p. 64

harith's (R. Bowerth) Carthage and the Carthaginisms. 3: 6d

Blantsy's (Blattop) Familiar History of Births. (in [Batterstone. - fel.

(Llayd) The Wrang Sec. to M.

Wayman's (binniay J.) The House of the Walfs a Morniper on Sal

Wood's (Rev. J. 6.) herange Dwellingto With the Illustrations 30, in

Want a | Day, J. G.) that of Doors, 17 Bandanism, M. Gd.

#### Cookery, Domestic Management, &c.

Action. With 150 Woodents Pep.

140. Lt. 63

Bull-Werks by Tribbas Ruca, M.D. HIRTS TO MOTHERS ON THE MANAGE. MERCENT THE PLANTAGE AND THE THE PERSON OF PERGRANCE PED. Sec. . EX BIS

THE MATERIAL MANAGEMENT OF CHE DEER OF HERETH AND DIRECT

For Sen, at the

Da Balts - Works by Mrs. Dr. Salita CARRO WED COMPRISONES & LA MONE. Fen Je | no fall

frees a Marmad for American For.

Bilds.

Denser Gash and Poul by Lan Mappe Name in a table President Veneraute à la Mobie

From Strang LL Sal Duryka kua Mone Fee Souph of

personal ALAMORE. For System to take White the large agreement the presidence ALL PERSONAL FOR MY IN CAL

NATIONAL VINEE Exp. Fig.

To the Proces NEW-LAW PLEAS HIMS for Asserted Contract And Minney For Sen, 15 tol.

Acton. - Minpared rowers By Rame De Rulla - Works by She the Same white the strength of

Puputana and Parret a ca More

Fep. 800. Ja 66.

Savening to Music Feb. Ed. Roll. SCHOOL AND DESIGN OF PERSON AS A SECOND

Fig Sm, acht.

MERTI AND SOFTER CHARGE A LA MODEL POR BULL OF SEALA, IN

срзия. Рер. 600., 31 бы.

WRISTERS AND NOTHING to a 1 was 1 Houseness Colons, ta -

Lear. - Manuage Commune By #1 1. SHEET LEAN INCO

Proclass Checkery rem vite Phasery by W. H. and Mes. Power. Will Prefers by the Pary For him was Walker. Allanoncourse Morney

being Shaple Hints to Women at the Management of that Hallib daily Pregnancy and Continuous to the with Plans Directions as & the Lane Inlant By land II Water I. R. C.P. or I. L.M. Land C.E. of M.D. House Cz. 840. pz. 64.

West-Tire Morning Manual on Children's Distant by Child WEST M. D. For dvs . 12 42

#### Miscellaneous and Critical Works.

Affingham, Vanieros de Proin, Royd (\*A. K. H. B.).—Word by the Witchest Allandram, 1 edla Cr. A. K. H. Boyn, D.O. [13-D. doc. at. [Vide. 1 and a. Rambles, by Patricov Walker Vol. 3, finds tion, 14 (Value t and a Ramatics, by Partitions) Watern Vol. 3, Irish Shorthest van i

Armstroug. - Emara astronomer By Emphate I. Assertances. Pep-Sect of 12

Bagebot - Litzgaar Syphia Br WALTER BARRETT, 2 vols from ship

Baring-Gould.-Contour Merm or the Michael Asset by Ros. S.

Battyo. - Picture or Prom or NATION WHIESTON, AND HOUSE LICE. Do Avers Curron Barren, B.A. Crown Brown &.

BRUTTON SMAKULPRARK STRIMEN, AND Course I as a Dy the lare Thomas Service Barnes, Lt. B. LT. D. With a I proposed Profession by Prof. LUNE CAMPBELL Crown Sec. 72 56

AUTUMN MOLIUATE OF A COUNTY

PARMA CHERRISTS AND ALLES COMMONPLACE PRINCIPALS

frit. 31 91. CHILICAL BREATH OF A COURT'S

Panishon. Crown Blon. pt. 64. SAIF COAST DAYS AND SERMOUS

Committee, p. 44. DEPOSITE AND MARKET

tring Country, a of Lehene Hotel in Town Liber Brown, Jan St.

O's larges Live To See ( Mintel BE the BOOK .

Our HOMES COMPANIES OF THE TAXABLE

Cream \$10., 1 def

RECEIVATION OF A CHIEFFEE PARENT. 

## Miscellaneous and Critical Works-ordinard

Butler. - Works by SARCEL BUTLER. PHYSICIAN, LT. Law. 31

Tow Park Haven, A Work is Defined of the Min-takon Element in our Licel's Minetry, Cr. 800, 72 fee

Live and Handy. An Passy time a Complete View of Evulutions Cr. \$100 . 21. D.

ESTRIPTION, OLD AND NEW CA. SOL. Mar. Bid.

ALS AND SANGTUARIES OF PTERMITTEE AND CARIOR PICHO, Bingmand.

Des sec. 100.05
LINES OF CURSON, AS THE MAIN
STRAFFOR CONDAINS MUNICIPALISMS

Er Jan 71 til.

Mercis of New Jerusalem at Verallo-Sans. Cream lett., 100. Oct.

Prantis Junto Revealed for he matering Controlog, H. R. Flancis M.A. his Fellow of St. John's College. Commedity - Debu de

Hodgson, - Currany Engle AST VERM TRANSLATIONS. By II, SHAD weight Houseson Crown bro. Br. of Hallah -Westly J. Ht .Lan, L. D.

Cocust or Lacrowto of the Bripour or Monage Mone, two, \$6 pc. DOLLER OF LEGICIES ON THE TRANSP. RIDS PRESID OF MARRIEL PRESIDEN.

francis limit fed.

Infference-Works by R. | presents Proportion discovered by Lough With Parsial. Crown fro. 3c fel. The Stort of My Heater: Walt Person and New Pritters by C. J.

Ren Der Wah er Bentratum.

NOW 31, 10.

Ton Forces or two teats While Post of Cow Sec. 12, 4st.

Manuel With Francisco and Variety E. V. h. Cr. ben, p. 64

Johnson The Patenter Mayers . I traine on the Law and Practice of . Parcel Agains, Mr. Bull. 100 64 LARIE - Works by ARDREW LAME

LATTERS TO DITAL AUTHOR FOR HOLL BY BYL

Larryns on Lerenarums. For But, subd with

William so STREET AND BOOKSEN. Che Fringer, Pep See, as he not the Fringer, Pep See, 25 ac. ac. Cock Laws and County Sees. I'm Brid , the feet met.

Leonard-THE CARD By Use and Management By Major - Toff Cans. LEONARD ROOM Sin , and sad.

Machineon -LECTURES IN HALMOST Max Miller-Works by P Max

MULLER BURG WHATCASET PARCE

1967 Ce. Sec. 34 64

CHIPS THOM A GERNA! WERESHIP New Editionin a Visla. Vol. 1, Know 11 Exempt and Addresses. Crown Sec. on tellmen (Buthy).

In Preparation-Vol. II., Sugraph and Charge Vot III Loave on Langthe Sciences of Language, or Thought.

and of Methology.

Mondalasaha. Tau. Levrens to PERSE MEMBEL OFFIN, Templand In Linky WALLACE. I VINE LT AND, THE

Militer -- Works by Genrus Minway Cistorias Platasques de Chron a Vege thanks in a Clarker. Cr. 840

100 STUDIES OF NATURE ON VIII. COALL ON AREAS: With Illustrations by

W. NOCL JOINGON BOTH & GALDAN Perring.-HARD EXOIS OF SHAKES PEARS, Dr Sh Pinnar Prenting, Burn

\$10 7L 66 Proctor.-Works by E. A. Paterton

STREETH AND HAPPINESS. With ... Discontinue Crown Sec. 22 Synthetis. How to get Siring on keep Strong, with Chapters on Re-

ing said Swimming, Cal. Age, and the Waist. With 5 little Cr. 200, po. Richardson.-Naturest Heatsu

A Receive of the Works of Ser Edwin Chedwick, R.C.h. By Sir B. W. RICHARDON, M.D. Cr. Sec. 44.

Rometti-A Season or Danne be ing an Entry towards studying Unionity BULL, 54, 6%

Southey. - Consentantice with Cavenage fowler, to it Service Educat by E Thomas . 200 . ALL

Wallaschek Prourse Make Inching hate the Course and Personal ment of Music, Sough Institute Distress and Pentommen of Square Rome By RICHARD WALLAS HER With Muchael Employers, Jones and

Wort-Wills and How Not 19 MAKE THER With a Selection of Leading Came. By R. R. Wany. Pop.

BPEL MUSIC

Miscellaneous Theological Works.

a spin time to the second and house Cashell, there are Marries beautiful to be arrelal Carrier

Royal William 701, Andrews author of 2 - - - of - County Faren, A. AND MORE TROOPED AND A CITY PARTY Tome to a st

SCHOOL STEEDWARD IN THE PARTIE Capement or a Secretain Continuently

CITY, Clement have be the CHANGED AND CTA OF ENGLANCED To True & prosp Ser. 2. 64

PARCON THE STREET COURSE

Carrier Day Champers, Commissa,

TO MELL VIEW CLASS of the Charles of

De Les BRIDDINGTO, -A MANUAL OF THE STREET OF RELIGION DE PORT. three by the time the franchis in rate Mar Munical Cimes Ive. in 62

Radisorh - Women by M. M. Katescill, brack Services Part L. The Prodenotes of Detarm Ben, err, 62, Part I The land of Joseph Ben too be

stil, her Temple for Vol 1 (12-20 for, the Oradinisting the General President ton, Vol. 11. Streeter, etc. Or manpine for the Court Resider to Vol. IV. Laviners Per-l. 521 Ob alleged for the Opensal Beacher S. Vol. IV. Laviners, Pari If you by adopted the the Greener's

Marijnesu Works by James MAN-TIME AP, ELD, LL O.

There's to The Court on Salety Person Two Victimes of Serpones. Chines 244, 58, 56, 56

Experience from the Chairres. Low Downer Co. Both, 71, 6d THE SECTION ADVISORS TO SERVICE

200 121 Market Springers, and konstitutes a Universities, 21, 60 tack. Stalk .

Property Popularia II Property and Historical III The Land of the Principles of IV Assessment I Religious

Hart Province with This Street on the White Working Come See 3s. 50 HE TO SHARE TO SHARE

Mardonaid Within 17 - 3 Mr. products Likely.

The same of the same Describing Proposition (Annual Peris, Jan & Committee

THE MUNICIPAL OF DAY LAND. Trees. Note that the party

A REST OF STREET, IN THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PARTY OF y Lugar, fix.

Max Muller-Wood by P Ma-ALLE LEN

Director Latertonia dei run Chia appriliance and a president of their central for the Belleview of these Carrier byo., ye 64

INTERPORTED TO THE MILE OF Rezigner For Leaves all control the Kayal burn etc.

NATURAL RUBERT THE PARTY Louisian deposit loss of the भारतस्य सं विकास मान्य स्थान 100 fee.

PHYLICAL RESIDENT IN CHIEF Lectures, debouted batter in Unver by I Care visit to the THE PARTY

ANTHROPHISHES & SANTON fred Lockers Malreys I have the University Chapter 1 100 C BYC. US 64

THE OLD FARE OF PERSONS AND POLICE POLICE. 120th The Commit Legan should beforests to a many some in many LP. HALL TON SAL

THEFT INCIDENCE OF THE VALUE OF Philippenett somet at its pro-Permittenge in Abarra, Ana

Schollstock Labrers of Course History and South Leading and S gri of Latting Little, for many Papers Transand Denotify Committee for the W School is Tracked of control in the Waters. 30. 62

SUPERNATURAL PRESIDES many into the Kenty of the land Son. 2 als. Fra. 2

Right Foldproads (Land) provide Local-By the Author of "Searce of the Berger Vinc. 60

The Course and Duples to the Print a county. He the Ample of Sec. continual Buildings of the fee AMADEM CHITAGAS PROS





# Central Archaeological Library, NEW DELHI. Call No. 29 4-1 Phi Author- Phillips, Maurice. Tille Teaching of the Date of Issue | Date of Return Bostower No.

"A book that is shut is but a block"

NEW DELHI.

Please help us to keep the book clean and moving.